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## 1 Cauchy problem for systems of PDEs. Cauchy - Kovalevskaya theorem

### 1.1 Formulation of Cauchy problem

Consider a system of $n$ PDEs for the functions $u_{1}(x, t), \ldots, u_{n}(x, t)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial t}=f_{i}\left(t, x, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_{x}, \mathbf{u}_{x x}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}^{(m)}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{1.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that the vector-valued function $\mathbf{u}(x, t)=\left(u_{1}(x, t), \ldots, u_{n}(x, t)\right)$ defined for $x \in$ $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right), t \in\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$ satisfies the system (1.1.1) if, after the substitution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial u_{i}(x, t)}{\partial t} \\
& \mathbf{u}=\left(u_{1}(x, t), \ldots, u_{n}(x, t)\right), \quad \mathbf{u}_{x}=\left(\frac{\partial u_{1}(x, t)}{\partial x}, \ldots, \frac{\partial u_{n}(x, t)}{\partial x}\right), \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

into (1.1.1) it becomes an identity valid for every $i=1, \ldots, n$ and all $x \in\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right), t \in\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$.
Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$
t_{0}<0<t_{1} .
$$

The Cauchy problem is formulated as follows. Given $n$ functions $\phi_{1}(x), \ldots, \phi_{n}(x)$ find a solution $u_{1}(x, t), \ldots, u_{n}(x, t)$ defined for $0 \leq t \leq t_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}(x, 0)=\phi_{1}(x), \ldots, u_{n}(x, 0)=\phi_{n}(x) . \tag{1.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next section we will prove that the Cauchy problem (1.1.1), (1.1.2) has a unique solution provided the right hand sides of the equations and the initial data are analytic functions. The idea of the proof is very simple: using the system (1.1.1) we can compute the time derivatives of any order of the solution at the point $t=0$. For example for the first derivative we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\dot{\phi}_{i}(x):=\left.\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}=f_{i}\left(0, x, \phi(x), \phi_{x}(x), \ldots, \phi^{(m)}(x)\right), \\
\ddot{\phi}_{i}(x):=\left.\frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}}{\partial t^{2}}\right|_{t=0}=\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial t}+\sum_{k=0}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial u_{j}^{(k)}} \partial_{x}^{k} f_{j}
\end{gathered}
$$

etc. Here all the functions $f_{i}, f_{j}$ and their derivatives have to be evaluated at the point $\left(0, x, \phi(x), \phi_{x}(x), \ldots, \phi^{(m)}(x)\right)$. The operator $\partial_{x}$ is defined as follows $\partial_{x} f\left(t, x, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{x}}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}^{(m)}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f\left(t, x, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{x}}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}^{(m)}\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{j}^{(k+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{j}^{(k)}} f\left(t, x, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{x}}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}^{(m)}\right)$.

On a similar way one can compute all the derivatives $\partial^{k} u_{i} / \partial t^{k}$ at $t=0$. We obtain then the solution in the form of Taylor series

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}(x, t)=\phi_{i}(x)+\dot{\phi}_{i}(x) \frac{t}{1!}+\ddot{\phi}_{i}(x) \frac{t^{2}}{2!}+\ldots \tag{1.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next section we will prove convergence of this series.

### 1.2 Cauchy - Kovalevskaya theorem

Theorem 1.2.1 Let the functions in the right hand sides of the system (1.1.1) be analytic in some neighborhood of the point

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=0, \quad x=0, \quad \mathbf{u}=0, \quad \mathbf{u}_{x}=0, \ldots, \mathbf{u}^{(m)}=0 . \tag{1.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover assume that the initial data (1.1.2) is analytic at $x=0$. Then the Cauchy problem (1.1.1), (1.1.2) has a unique solution analytic in some neighborhood of the point $x=t=0$.

Proof: At the first step we will reduce the Cauchy problem (1.1.1), (1.1.2) to another problem for a system of first order quasilinear equations. For simplicity let us consider the case $n=1$, $m=1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=f\left(t, x, u, u_{x}\right), \quad u(x, 0)=\phi(x) . \tag{1.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introduce new functions

$$
p=u_{t}, \quad q=u_{x} .
$$

One obtains a first order quasilinear (i.e., linear in derivatives) system of three equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t}=p  \tag{1.2.3}\\
& q_{t}=p_{x} \\
& p_{t}=f_{t}(t, x, u, q)+f_{u}(t, x, u, q) p+f_{q}(t, x, u, q) p_{x}
\end{align*}
$$

along with the initial data

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 0)=\phi(x), \quad q(x, 0)=\phi^{\prime}(x), \quad p(x, 0)=f\left(0, x, \phi(x), \phi^{\prime}(x)\right) . \tag{1.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, let us show that the Cauchy problem (1.2.3), (1.2.4) gives a solution to the original Cauchy problem (1.2.2). First, using the first and the last equations one obtains

$$
u_{t t}=p_{t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(t, x, u, q) .
$$

Integrating in $t$ we obtain

$$
u_{t}=f(t, x, u, q)+g(x)
$$

where $g(x)$ is the integration constant. At $t=0$ we have

$$
u_{t}(x, 0)=p(x, 0)=f\left(0, x, \phi(x), \phi^{\prime}(x)\right) .
$$

Hence $g(x) \equiv 0$, that is

$$
u_{t}=f(t, x, u, q) .
$$

Next, differentiating the first equation in (1.2.3) in $x$ and using the second equation gives

$$
u_{x t}=q_{t} .
$$

Integrating in $t$ we arrive at

$$
u_{x}=q+h(x)
$$

with a new integration constant $h(x)$. The initial data (1.2.4) imply

$$
u_{x}(x, 0)=\phi^{\prime}(x)=q(x, 0)
$$

So $h(x) \equiv 0$ and thus $u_{x}=q$.
We have reduced the original problem to a Cauchy problem for a system of first order quasilinear equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}_{t}=\mathbf{A}(t, x, \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{x}}+\mathbf{b}(t, x, \mathbf{u}) \tag{1.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a Cauchy data

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}(x, 0)=\phi(x) . \tag{1.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathbf{A}(t, x, \mathbf{u})$ and $\mathbf{b}(t, x, \mathbf{u})$ are some matrix-valued and vector-valued functions respectively. At the next step we eliminated the explicit dependence on $x$ and $t$ by means of the following trick. Introduce two new unknown functions $\tau, \sigma$ and consider the new Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{u}_{t}=\mathbf{A}(\tau, \sigma, \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{x}}+\mathbf{b}(\tau, \sigma, \mathbf{u}) \sigma_{x} \\
& \tau_{t}=\sigma_{x} \\
& \sigma_{t}=0 \\
& \mathbf{u}(x, 0)=\phi(x), \quad \tau(x, 0)=0, \quad \sigma(x, 0)=x . \tag{1.2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

It can easily be derived that the functions $\tau(x, t), \sigma(x, t)$ satisfying (1.2.7) must be of the form

$$
\tau(x, t)=t, \quad \sigma(x, t)=x .
$$

So $\sigma_{x} \equiv 1$ and the problem (1.2.7) is equivalent to (1.2.5), (1.2.6).
We have arrived at a system of first order quasilinear PDEs with coefficients with no explicit dependence on the space-time variables $x$ and $t$. Moreover, the right hand sides of the system are linear homogeneous functions of the derivatives. For these reasons it suffices to prove the Theorem for the Cauchy problem of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{u}_{t}=\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{u}_{x} \\
& \mathbf{u}(x, 0)=\phi(x) \tag{1.2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{1}(x, t), \ldots, u_{n}(x, t)\right), \quad \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{u})=\left(A_{i j}(\mathbf{u})\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, \quad \phi(x)=\left(\phi_{1}(x), \ldots, \phi_{n}(x)\right) .
$$

We will now apply the procedure of solving the system (1.2.8) in the form of power series explained in the previous section and prove convergence of this procedure.

Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$
\phi(0)=0 .
$$

Indeed, if this was not the case then one can shift the dependent function

$$
\mathbf{u} \mapsto \mathbf{u}-\phi(0) .
$$

The analyticity assumption implies that the functions $\phi_{i}(x)$ and $A_{i j}(\mathbf{u})$ can be represented as sums of power series

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{i}(x)=\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \phi_{i, p} x^{p}  \tag{1.2.9}\\
& A_{i j}(\mathbf{u})=\sum_{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}=0}^{\infty} A_{i j, \mathbf{p}} u_{1}^{p_{1}} \ldots u_{n}^{p_{n}}
\end{align*}
$$

convergent for

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x|<\rho, \quad\left|u_{i}\right|<r, \quad i=1, \ldots, n . \tag{1.2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We want to prove that the Cauchy problem (1.2.8) admits a solution in the form of a power series

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}(x, t)=\sum_{p, q \geq 0} u_{i, p q} x^{p} t^{q}, \quad u_{i, 0,0}=0 \tag{1.2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

convergent for

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x|<\delta, \quad|t|<\tau \tag{1.2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive $\delta, \tau$. From the previous arguments it is clear that such a solution is unique.
Observe that the coefficients $u_{i, p q}$ can be expressed as polynomials in the Taylor coefficients of the functions $\phi_{j}(x)$ and $A_{k l}(x), j, k, l=1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i, p q}=P_{i, p q}\left(\phi_{j, r}, A_{k l, \mathbf{s}}\right) \tag{1.2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with universal coefficients. Universality means that these coefficients do not depend on the particular choice of the system. For example,

$$
u_{i, p 0}=\phi_{i, p} .
$$

In order to compute the coefficients $u_{i, p 1}$ of the Taylor expansion of the function

$$
\frac{\partial u_{i}(x, 0)}{\partial t}
$$

one has to use the equations (1.2.8) along with the initial data:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \geq 0} u_{i, p} x^{p}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}} A_{i j, \mathbf{s}} \phi_{1}^{s_{1}}(x) \ldots \phi_{n}^{s_{n}}(x) \phi_{j}^{\prime}(x) . \tag{1.2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding the right hand sides in Taylor series one obtains expressions for $u_{i, p 1}$. For example,

$$
u_{i, 01}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{i j, 0} \phi_{j, 1}
$$

etc. Observe that the assumption $\phi(0)=0$ is crucial to arrive at polynomial expressions. It is clear that the coefficients of these polynomials are nonnegative integers.

We will consider also another Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{v}_{t}=\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{v}) \mathbf{v}_{x} \\
& \mathbf{v}(x, 0)=\psi(x) \tag{1.2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

of the same size with analytic initial data and analytic coefficients

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{i}(x)=\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \psi_{i, p} x^{p} \\
& B_{i j}(\mathbf{v})=\sum_{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}=0}^{\infty} B_{i j, \mathbf{p}} v_{1}^{p_{1}} \ldots v_{n}^{p_{n}} \tag{1.2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

that gives a majorant for the Cauchy problem (1.2.8), that is, all coefficients of the series (1.2.16) are nonnegative real numbers satisfying inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i, p} \geq\left|\phi_{i, p}\right|, \quad B_{i j, \mathbf{p}} \geq\left|A_{i j, \mathbf{p}}\right| \tag{1.2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}(x, t)=\sum_{p \geq 1, q \geq 0} v_{i, p q} x^{p} t^{q} \tag{1.2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the solution to the Cauchy problem (1.2.15) in the class of formal power series. Like above one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i, p q}=P_{i, p q}\left(\psi_{j, r}, B_{j k, \mathbf{s}}\right) \tag{1.2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the same polynomials $P_{i, p q}$ with nonnegative integer coefficients. Hence the inequalities (1.2.17) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i, p q} \geq\left|u_{i, p q}\right| . \tag{1.2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our goal is to find a majorant for the Cauchy problem (1.2.8) in such a way that the formal solution (1.2.18) to (1.2.15) converges for sufficiently small $|x|$ and $|t|$. Then the inequalities (1.2.20) will imply convergence of the series (1.2.11) for the same values of $x$ and $t$.

In order to construct such a majorant let us recall the Cauchy inequalities for the coefficients of convergent power series:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\phi_{i, p}\right| \leq \frac{M}{\rho^{p}} \\
& \left|A_{i j, \mathbf{p}}\right| \leq \frac{M}{r^{p_{1}+\cdots+p_{n}}} \tag{1.2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

for some positive constant $M$. The radii $\rho$ and $r$ are defined in (1.2.10). We choose

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{i, p}=\frac{M}{\rho^{p}} \\
& B_{i j, \mathbf{p}}=\frac{\left(p_{1}+\cdots+p_{n}\right)!}{p_{1}!\ldots p_{n}!} \frac{M}{r^{p_{1}+\cdots+p_{n}}} . \tag{1.2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe obvious inequality

$$
B_{i j, \mathbf{p}} \geq \frac{M}{r^{p_{1}+\cdots+p_{n}}},
$$

so

$$
B_{i j, \mathbf{p}} \geq\left|A_{i j, \mathbf{p}}\right| .
$$

We obtain the initial data for the majorant Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i}(x)=M \sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{x}{\rho}\right)^{p}=\frac{M x}{\rho-x}, \quad|x|<\rho \tag{1.2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the coefficient matrix

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{i j}(\mathbf{v}) & =M \sum_{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n} \geq 0} \frac{\left(p_{1}+\cdots+p_{n}\right)!}{p_{1}!\cdots p_{n}!}\left(\frac{v_{1}}{r}\right)^{p_{1}} \cdots\left(\frac{v_{n}}{r}\right)^{p_{n}}  \tag{1.2.24}\\
& =\frac{M}{1-\frac{v_{1}+\cdots+v_{n}}{r}}, \quad\left|v_{1}\right|+\ldots\left|v_{n}\right|<r .
\end{align*}
$$

We arrive at the following majorant Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial t}=\frac{M}{1-\frac{v_{1}+\cdots+v_{n}}{r}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial v_{j}}{\partial x}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \\
& v_{i}(x, 0)=\frac{M x}{\rho-x} . \tag{1.2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us look for a solution to the problem (1.2.25) in the form

$$
v_{i}(x, t)=v(x, t), \quad i=1, \ldots, n .
$$

The function $v=v(x, t)$ must satisfy the following scalar Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{t}=\frac{M n}{1-\frac{n}{r} v} v_{x}  \tag{1.2.26}\\
& v(x, 0)=\frac{M x}{\rho-x} .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 1.2.2 The solution of the Cauchy problem (1.2.26) is determined from the quadratic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(v+M)\left[\left(1-\frac{n}{r} v\right) x+M n t\right]=\rho v\left(1-\frac{n}{r} v\right) \tag{1.2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where one has to choose the root of the quadratic equation vanishing at $x=0, t=0$.

Proof: Let us apply the implicit function theorem to the equation (1.2.27). Differentiating the quadratic equation in $x$ and $t$ one finds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{x}=\frac{(M+v)\left(1-\frac{n}{r} v\right)}{\rho\left(1-\frac{2 n}{r} v\right)-M n t-\left(1-\frac{M n}{r}-\frac{2 n v}{r}\right) x} \\
& v_{t}=\frac{M n(M+v)}{\rho\left(1-\frac{2 n}{r} v\right)-M n t-\left(1-\frac{M n}{r}-\frac{2 n v}{r}\right) x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applicability of the implicit function theorem is guaranteed by non-vanishing of the denominator at the point $x=t=0$ :

$$
\rho\left(1-\frac{2 n}{r} v\right)=\rho \neq 0
$$

(we have used the condition $v(0,0)=0$ ). Substituting the above formula into the PDE we obtain

$$
v_{t}=\frac{M n}{1-\frac{n}{r} v} v_{x} .
$$

At $t=0$ the quadratic equation simplifies to

$$
(v+M)\left(1-\frac{n}{r} v\right) x=\rho v\left(1-\frac{n}{r} v\right)
$$

that gives the needed solution

$$
v=\frac{M x}{\rho-x} .
$$

It remains to observe that at $x=t=0$ the quadratic equation (1.2.27) reduces to

$$
v\left(1-\frac{n}{r} v\right)=0 .
$$

The latter has two distinct roots

$$
v_{1}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad v_{2}=\frac{r}{n} .
$$

Hence the roots of the quadratic equation remain distinct for sufficiently small $|x|$ and $|t|$. The lemma is proved.

The root we are looking for can be written explicitely

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{M}{\rho}(x-r t)+\frac{r}{n}\left(1-\frac{x}{\rho}\right)}{1-\frac{x}{\rho}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{\left[\frac{M}{\rho}(x+r t)-\frac{r}{n}\left(1-\frac{x}{\rho}\right)\right]^{2}-4 M^{2} \frac{r t}{\rho}}}{1-\frac{x}{\rho}} \tag{1.2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This function is analytic for

$$
|x|<\rho \quad \text { and } \quad\left[\frac{M}{\rho}(x+r t)-\frac{r}{n}\left(1-\frac{x}{\rho}\right)\right]^{2}-4 M^{2} \frac{r t}{\rho}>0
$$

These inequalities hold true for sufficiently small $|x|$ and $|t|$. Hence the above arguments based on the technique of majorants prove convergence of the series for the solution of (1.2.8).

Remark 1.2.3 The theorem can be extended to the systems with complex coefficients replacing the real variable $x$ to a complex one $z$. The assumption of analyticity remains crucial in the proof. Recall that a complex analytic function $f=f(z)$ can be considered as a function of two real variables $x, y$, where $z=x+i y$, satisfying the Cauchy - Riemann equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} & =0  \tag{1.2.29}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+i \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 1.2.4 The analyticity assumption is fundamental for validity of the theorem. Indeed, in 1956 Hans Lewy found the following counterexample. He considered the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x}+i u_{y}-2 i(x+i y) u_{t}=g(x, y, t) \tag{1.2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation has solutions analytic near the origin provided the right hand side is analytic. However Lewy proved existence of $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ functions $g$ such that (1.2.30) has no solutions in any neighborhood of $x=y=t=0$. Later (1962) S.Mizohata found another counterexample considering the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x}+i x u_{y}=g(x, y) \tag{1.2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2 Linear differential operators

### 2.1 Definitions and main examples

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be an open subset. Denote $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ the set of all infinitely differentiable complex valued smooth functions on $\Omega$. The Euclidean coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ will be denoted $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$. We will use short notations for the derivatives

$$
\partial_{k}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}
$$

and we also introduce operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{k}=-i \partial_{k}, \quad k=1, \ldots, d \tag{2.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a multiindex

$$
\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{d}\right)
$$

denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\mathbf{p}|=p_{1}+\cdots+p_{d} \\
& \mathbf{p}!=p_{1}!\ldots p_{d}! \\
& \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{p}}=x_{1}^{p_{1}} \ldots x_{d}^{p_{d}} \\
& \partial^{\mathbf{p}}=\partial_{1}^{p_{1}} \ldots \partial_{d}^{p_{d}}, \quad D^{\mathbf{p}}=D_{1}^{p_{1}} \ldots D_{d}^{p_{d}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The derivatives, as well as the higher order operators $D^{\mathbf{p}}$ define linear operators

$$
D^{\mathbf{p}}: \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega), \quad f \mapsto D^{\mathbf{p}} f=(-i)^{|\mathbf{p}|} \frac{\partial^{|\mathbf{p}|} f}{\partial x_{1}^{p_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{d}^{p_{d}}}
$$

More generally, we will consider linear differential operators of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=\sum_{|\mathbf{p}| \leq m} a_{\mathbf{p}}(x) D^{\mathbf{p}} \\
& a_{\mathbf{p}}(x) \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega)  \tag{2.1.2}\\
& A: \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega) .
\end{align*}
$$

We will define the order of the linear differential operator by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ord} A=\max |\mathbf{p}| \quad \text { such that } \quad a_{\mathbf{p}}(x) \neq 0 . \tag{2.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Main examples are

1. Laplace operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\partial_{1}^{2}+\cdots+\partial_{d}^{2}=-\left(D_{1}^{2}+\ldots D_{d}^{2}\right) \tag{2.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Heat operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta \tag{2.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

acting on functions on the $(d+1)$-dimensional space with the coordinates $\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$.
3. Wave operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}-\Delta \tag{2.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. Schrödinger operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\Delta . \tag{2.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2 Principal symbol of a linear differential operator

Symbol of a linear differential operator (2.1.2) is a function

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(x, \xi)=\sum_{|\mathbf{p}| \leq m} a_{\mathbf{p}}(x) \xi^{\mathbf{p}}, \quad x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \tag{2.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the order of the operator is equal to $m$ then the principal symbol is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m}(x, \xi)=\sum_{|\mathbf{p}|=m} a_{\mathbf{p}}(x) \xi^{\mathbf{p}} \tag{2.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symbols (2.2.1), (2.2.2) are polynomials in $d$ variables $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{d}$ with coefficients being smooth functions on $\Omega$.

For the above examples we have the following symbols

1. For the Laplace operator $\Delta$ the symbol and principal symbol coincide

$$
a=a_{2}=-\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+\cdots+\xi_{d}^{2}\right) \equiv-\xi^{2} .
$$

2. For the heat equation the full symbol is

$$
a=i \tau+\xi^{2}
$$

while the principal symbol is $\xi^{2}$.
3. For the wave operator again the symbol and principal symbols coincide

$$
a=a_{2}=-\tau^{2}+\xi^{2}
$$

4. The symbol of the Schrödinger operator is

$$
-\left(\tau+\xi^{2}\right)
$$

while the principal symbol is $\xi^{2}$.

Exercise 2.2.1 Prove the following formula for the symbol of a linear differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(x, \xi)=e^{-i x \cdot \xi} A\left(e^{i x \cdot \xi}\right) . \tag{2.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we use the notation

$$
x \cdot \xi=x_{1} \xi_{1}+\cdots+x_{d} \cdot \xi_{d}
$$

for the natural pairing $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Exercise 2.2.2 Given a linear differential operator $A$ with constant coefficients denote a $(\xi)$ its symbol (it does not depend on $x$ for linear differential operators with constant coefficients). Prove that the exponential function

$$
u(x)=e^{i x \cdot \xi}
$$

is a solution to the linear differential equation

$$
A u=0
$$

iff the vector $\xi$ satisfies

$$
a(\xi)=0 .
$$

Exercise 2.2.3 Prove that for a pair of smooth functions $u(x), S(x)$ and a linear differential operator $A$ of order $m$ the expression of the form

$$
e^{-i \lambda S(x)} A\left(u(x) e^{i \lambda S(x)}\right)
$$

is a polynomial in $\lambda$ of degree $m$. Derive the following expression for the leading coefficient of this polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-i \lambda S(x)} A\left(u(x) e^{i \lambda S(x)}\right)=u(x) a_{m}\left(x, S_{x}(x)\right) \lambda^{m}+O\left(\lambda^{m-1}\right) . \tag{2.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
S_{x}=\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{d}}\right)
$$

is the gradient of the function $S(x)$.

Exercise 2.2.4 Let $A$ and $B$ be two linear differential operators of orders $k$ and $l$ with the principal symbols $a_{k}(x, \xi)$ and $b_{l}(x, \xi)$ respectively. Prove that the superposition $C=A \circ B$ is a linear differential operator of order $\leq k+l$. Prove that the principal symbol of $C$ is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k+l}(x, \xi)=a_{k}(x, \xi) b_{l}(x, \xi) \tag{2.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the case $\operatorname{ord} C=\operatorname{ord} A+\operatorname{ord} B$. In the case of strict inequality $\operatorname{ord} C<\operatorname{ord} A+\operatorname{ord} B$ prove that the product (2.2.5) of principal symbols is identically equal to zero.

The formula for computing the full symbol of the product of two linear differential operators is more complicated. We will give here the formula for the particular case of one spatial variable $x$.

Exercise 2.2.5 Let $a(x, \xi)$ and $b(x, \xi)$ be the symbols of two linear differential operators $A$ and $B$ with one spatial variable. Prove that the symbol of the superposition $A \circ B$ is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \star b=\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(-i)^{k}}{k!} \partial_{\xi}^{k} a \partial_{x}^{k} b . \tag{2.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.3 Change of independent variables

Let us now analyze the transformation rules of the principal symbol $a(x, \xi)$ of an operator $A$ under smooth invertible changes of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=y_{i}(x), \quad i=1, \ldots, n . \tag{2.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that the first derivatives transform according to the chain rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}=\sum_{k=1}^{d} \frac{\partial y_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}} \tag{2.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transformation law of higher order derivatives is more complicated. For example

$$
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}=\sum_{k, l=1}^{d} \frac{\partial y_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial y_{l}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{k} \partial y_{l}}+\sum_{k=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^{2} y_{k}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}
$$

etc. However it is clear that after the transformation one obtains again a linear differential operator of the same order $m$. More precisely define the operator

$$
\tilde{A}=\sum(-i)^{|\mathbf{p}|} \tilde{a}_{\mathbf{p}}(y) \frac{\partial^{|\mathbf{p}|}}{\partial y_{1}^{p_{1}} \ldots \partial y_{d}^{p_{d}}}
$$

by the equation

$$
A f(y(x))=(\tilde{A} f(y))_{y=y(x)}
$$

The transformation law of the principal symbol is of particular simplicity as it follows from the following

Proposition 2.3.1 Let $a_{m}(x, \xi)$ be the principal symbol of a linear differential operator $A$. Denote $\tilde{a}_{m}(y, \tilde{\xi})$ the principal symbol of the same operator written in the coordinates $y$, i.e., the principal symbol of the operator $\tilde{A}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{a}_{m}(y(x), \tilde{\xi})=a_{m}(x, \xi) \quad \text { provided } \quad \xi_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{d} \frac{\partial y_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \tilde{\xi}_{k} . \tag{2.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Applying the formula (2.2.4) one easily derives the equality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{m}\left(x, S_{x}\right)=\tilde{a}_{m}\left(y, S_{y}\right) \\
& y=y(x) \\
& S_{x}=\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{d}}\right), \quad S_{y}=\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial y_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial S}{\partial y_{d}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the chain rule

$$
\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{i}}=\sum_{k=1}^{d} \frac{\partial y_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial S}{\partial y_{k}}
$$

we arrive at the transformation rule (2.3.3) for the particular case

$$
\xi_{i}=\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{i}}, \quad \tilde{\xi}_{k}=\frac{\partial S}{\partial y_{k}} .
$$

This proves the proposition since the gradients can take arbitrary values.

### 2.4 Canonical form of linear differential operators of order $\leq 2$ with constant coefficients

Consider a first order linear differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=a_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\cdots+a_{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{d}} \tag{2.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with constant coefficients $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}$. One can find a linear transformation of the coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{d} c_{k i} \tilde{\xi}_{k}, \quad i=1, \ldots, d \tag{2.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

that maps the vector $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}\right)$ to the unit coordinate vector of the axis $y_{d}$. After such a transformation the operator $A$ becomes the partial derivative operator

$$
A=\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{d}}
$$

Therefore the general solution of the first order linear differential equation

$$
A \varphi=0
$$

can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right)=\varphi_{0}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d-1}\right) \tag{2.4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\varphi_{0}$ is an arbitrary smooth function of $(d-1)$ variables.

Exercise 2.4.1 Prove that the general solution to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \varphi+b \varphi=0 \tag{2.4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $A$ of the form (2.4.1) and a constant $b$ reads

$$
\varphi\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right)=\varphi_{0}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d-1}\right) e^{-b y_{d}}
$$

for an arbitrary $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ function $\varphi_{0}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d-1}\right)$.
Consider now a second order linear differential operator of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\sum_{i, j=1}^{d} a_{i j} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}+\sum_{i=1}^{d} b_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}+c \tag{2.4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with constant coefficients. Without loss of generality one can assume the coefficient matrix $a_{i j}$ to be symmetric. Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\xi)=-a_{2}(x, \xi)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{d} a_{i j} \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \tag{2.4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

the quadratic form coinciding with the principal symbol, up to a common sign. Recall the following theorem from linear algebra.

Theorem 2.4.2 There exists a linear invertible change of variables of the form (2.4.2) reducing the quadratic form (2.4.6) to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2}+\cdots+\tilde{\xi}_{p}^{2}-\tilde{\xi}_{p+1}^{2}-\cdots-\tilde{\xi}_{p+q}^{2} . \tag{2.4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The numbers $p \geq 0, q \geq 0, p+q \leq d$ do not depend on the choice of the reducing transformation.

Note that, according to the Proposition 2.3.1 the transformation (2.4.2) corresponds to the linear invertible change of independent variables $x \rightarrow y$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} c_{k i} x_{i}, \quad k=1, \ldots, d . \tag{2.4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Invertibility means that the coefficient matrix of the transformation does not degenerate:

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(c_{k i}\right)_{1 \leq k, i \leq d} \neq 0
$$

We arrive at
Corollary 2.4.3 A second order linear differential operator with constant coefficients can be reduced to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{1}^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{p}^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{p+1}^{2}}-\cdots-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{p+q}^{2}}+\sum_{k=1}^{d} \tilde{b}_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}+c \tag{2.4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

by a linear transformation of the form (2.4.8). The numbers $p$ and $q$ do not depend on the choice of the reducing transformation.

### 2.5 Elliptic and hyperbolic operators. Characteristics

Let $a_{m}(x, \xi)$ be the principal symbol of a linear differential operator $A$.
Definition 2.5.1 It is said that the operator $A: \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is elliptic if

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m}(x, \xi) \neq 0 \quad \text { for any } \quad \xi \neq 0, \quad x \in \Omega \tag{2.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example the Laplace operator

$$
\Delta=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}
$$

is elliptic on $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{d}$. The Tricomi operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+x \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} \tag{2.5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is elliptic on the right half plane $x>0$.
Definition 2.5.2 Given a point $x_{0} \in \Omega$, the hypersurface in the $\xi$-space defined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m}\left(x_{0}, \xi\right)=0 \tag{2.5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called characteristic cone of the operator $A$ at $x_{0}$. The vectors $\xi$ satisfying (2.5.3) are called characteristic vectors at the point $x_{0}$.

Observe that the hypersurface (2.5.3) is invariant with respect to rescalings

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi \mapsto \lambda \xi \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the polynomial $a_{m}\left(x_{0}, \xi\right)$ is homogeneous of degree $m$ :

$$
a_{m}(x, \lambda \xi)=\lambda^{m} a_{m}(x, \xi) .
$$

The characteristic cone of an elliptic operator is one point $\xi=0$. For the example of wave operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}-\Delta, \quad \Delta=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{d}^{2}} \tag{2.5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the characteristic cone is given by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{2}-\xi_{1}^{2}-\cdots-\xi_{d}^{2}=0 \tag{2.5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus it coincides with the standard cone in the Euclidean $(d+1)$-dimensional space. The characteristic cone of the heat operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta \tag{2.5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the $\tau$-line

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{1}=\cdots=\xi_{d}=0 \tag{2.5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.5.3 A hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ is called characteristic surface or simply characteristics for the operator $A$ if at every point $x$ of the surface the normal vector $\xi$ is a characteristic vector:

$$
a_{m}(x, \xi)=0
$$

In particular the hypersurface defined by equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x)=0 \tag{2.5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a characteristic surface if the smooth function $S(x)$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m}\left(x, S_{x}(x)\right)=0 \tag{2.5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

at every point of the hypersurface (2.5.9).

As it follows from the Proposition 2.3.1 the characteristics do not depend on the choice of a system of coordinates.

Example. For a first order linear differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=a_{1}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\cdots+a_{d}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{d}} \tag{2.5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

the function $S(x)$ defining a characteristic hypersurface must satisfy the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A S(x)=0 \tag{2.5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is therefore a first integral of the following system of ODEs

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}_{1}=a_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \\
& \ldots  \tag{2.5.13}\\
& \dot{x}_{d}=a_{d}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Indeed, the equation (2.5.12) says that the function $S(x)$ is constant along the integral curves of the system (2.5.13). It is known from the theory of ordinary differential equations that locally, near a point $x^{0}$ such that $\left(a_{1}\left(x^{0}\right), \ldots, a_{d}\left(x^{0}\right)\right) \neq 0$ there exists a smooth invertible change of coordinates

$$
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \mapsto\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right), \quad y_{k}=y_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)
$$

such that, in the new coordinates the system reduces to the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{y}_{1}=0 \\
& \ldots  \tag{2.5.14}\\
& \dot{y}_{d-1}=0 \\
& \dot{y}_{d}=1
\end{align*}
$$

(the so-called rectification of a vector field). For the particular case of constant coefficients the needed transformation is linear (see above). In these coordinates the general solution to the equation (2.5.12) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right)=S_{0}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d-1}\right) \tag{2.5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider a linear differential operator $A$ acting on smooth functions on a domain $\Omega$ in the $(d+1)$-dimensional space with Euclidean coordinates $\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$. Denote $a_{m}(t, x, \tau, \xi)$ the principal symbol of this operator. Here

$$
\tau \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} .
$$

Recall that the principal symbol of an operator of order $m$ is a polynomial of degree $m$ in $\tau$, $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{d}$.

Definition 2.5.4 The linear differential operator $A$ is called hyperbolic with respect to the time variable $t$ if for any fixed $\xi \neq 0$ and any $(t, x) \in \Omega$ the equation for $\tau$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m}(t, x, \tau, \xi)=0 \tag{2.5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

has $m$ pairwise distinct real roots

$$
\tau_{1}(t, x, \xi), \ldots, \tau_{m}(t, x, \xi)
$$

For brevity we will often say that a linear differential operator is hyperbolic if all its characteristics are real and pairwise distinct. For elliptic operators the characteristics are purely imaginary.

The wave operator (2.5.5) gives a simple example of a hyperbolic operator. Indeed, the equation

$$
\tau^{2}=\xi_{1}^{2}+\cdots+\xi_{d}^{2}
$$

has two distinct roots

$$
\tau= \pm \sqrt{\xi_{1}^{2}+\cdots+\xi_{d}^{2}}
$$

for any $\xi \neq 0$. The heat operator (2.5.7) is neither hyperbolic nor elliptic.
Finding the $j$-th characteristic of a hyperbolic operator requires knowledge of solutions to the following Hamilton-Jacobi equation for the functions $S=S(x, t)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}=\tau_{j}\left(t, x, \frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\right) \tag{2.5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the course of analytical mechanics it is known that the latter problem is reduced to integrating the Hamilton equations

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\dot{x}_{i} & =\frac{\partial H(t, x, p)}{\partial p_{i}}  \tag{2.5.18}\\
\dot{p}_{i} & =-\frac{\partial H(t, x, p)}{\partial x_{i}}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

with the time-dependent Hamiltonian $H(t, x, p)=\tau_{j}(t, x, p)$. In the next section we will consider the particular case $d=1$ and apply it to the problem of canonical forms of the second order linear differential operators in a two-dimensional space.

### 2.6 Reduction to a canonical form of second order linear differential operators in a two-dimensional space

Consider a linear differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=a(x, y) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+2 b(x, y) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y}+c(x, y) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}, \quad(x, y) \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} . \tag{2.6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The characteristics of these operator are curves

$$
x=x(t), \quad y=y(t) .
$$

Here $t$ is some parameter on the characteristic. Let $(d x, d y)$ be the tangent vector to the curve. Then the normal vector $(-d y, d x)$ must satisfy the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(x, y) d y^{2}-2 b(x, y) d x d y+c(x, y) d x^{2}=0 . \tag{2.6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming $a(x, y) \neq 0$ one obtains a quadratic equation for the vector $d y / d x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(x, y)\left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)^{2}-2 b(x, y) \frac{d y}{d x}+c(x, y)=0 \tag{2.6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator (2.6.1) is hyperbolic iff the discriminant of this equation is positive:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{2}-a c>0 \tag{2.6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For elliptic operators the discriminant is strictly negative.
For a hyperbolic operator one has two families of characteristics to be found from the ODEs

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{b(x, y)+\sqrt{b^{2}(x, y)-a(x, y) c(x, y)}}{a(x, y)}  \tag{2.6.5}\\
& \frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{b(x, y)-\sqrt{b^{2}(x, y)-a(x, y) c(x, y)}}{a(x, y)} . \tag{2.6.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, y)=c_{1}, \quad \psi(x, y)=c_{2} \tag{2.6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the equations of the characteristics ${ }^{1}$. Here $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are two integration constants. Such curves pass through any point $(x, y) \in \Omega$. Moreover they are not tangent at every point. Let us introduce new local coordinates $u, v$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\phi(x, y), \quad v=\psi(x, y) . \tag{2.6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.6.1 The change of coordinates

$$
(x, y) \mapsto(u, v)
$$

is locally invertible. Moreover the inverse functions

$$
x=x(u, v), \quad y=y(u, v)
$$

are smooth.

[^0]Proof: We have to check non-vanishing of the Jacobian

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\partial u / \partial x & \partial u / \partial y  \tag{2.6.9}\\
\partial v / \partial x & \partial v / \partial y
\end{array}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{x} & \phi_{y} \\
\psi_{x} & \psi_{y}
\end{array}\right) \neq 0 .
$$

By definition the first derivatives of the functions $\phi$ and $\psi$ correspond to two different roots of the same quadratic equation

$$
a(x, y) \phi_{x}^{2}+2 b(x, y) \phi_{x} \phi_{y}+c(x, y) \phi_{y}^{2}=0, \quad a(x, y) \psi_{x}^{2}+2 b(x, y) \psi_{x} \psi_{y}+c(x, y) \psi_{y}^{2}=0
$$

The determinant (2.6.9) vanishes iff the gradients of $\phi$ and $\psi$ are proportional:

$$
\left(\phi_{x}, \phi_{y}\right) \sim\left(\psi_{x}, \psi_{y}\right) .
$$

This contradicts the requirement to have the roots distinct.

Let us rewrite the linear differential operator $A$ in the new coordinates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\tilde{a}(u, v) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial u^{2}}+2 \tilde{b}(u, v) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial u \partial v}+\tilde{c}(u, v) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial v^{2}}+\ldots \tag{2.6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the dots stand for the terms with the low order derivatives.
Theorem 2.6.2 In the new coordinates the linear differential operator reads

$$
A=2 \tilde{b}(u, v) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial u \partial v}+\ldots
$$

Proof: In the new coordinates the characteristic have the form

$$
u=c_{1}, \quad v=c_{2}
$$

for arbitrary constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$. Therefore their tangent vectors $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ must satisfy the equation for characteristics

$$
\tilde{a}(u, v) d v^{2}-2 \tilde{b}(u, v) d u d v+\tilde{c}(u, v) d u^{2}=0 .
$$

This implies $\tilde{a}(u, v)=\tilde{c}(u, v)=0$.

For the case of elliptic operator (2.6.1) the analogue of the differential equations (2.6.5), (2.6.6) are complex conjugated equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{b \pm i \sqrt{a c-b^{2}}}{a}, \quad a=a(x, y), \quad b=b(x, y), \quad c=c(x, y) . \tag{2.6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming analyticity of the functions $a(x, y), b(x, y), c(x, y)$ one can prove existence of a complex valued first integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x, y)=\phi(x, y)+i \psi(x, y) \tag{2.6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
a S_{x}+\left(b-i \sqrt{a c-b^{2}}\right) S_{y}=0 \tag{2.6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us introduce new system of coordinates by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\phi(x, y), \quad v=\psi(x, y) . \tag{2.6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 2.6.3 Prove that the transformation

$$
(x, y) \mapsto(u, v)
$$

is locally smoothly invertible. Prove that the operator $A$ in the new coordinates takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\tilde{a}(u, v)\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial u^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial v^{2}}\right)+\ldots \tag{2.6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some nonzero smooth function $\tilde{a}(u, v)$. Like above the dots stand for the terms with lower order derivatives.

Let us now consider the case of linear differential operators of the form (2.6.1) with identically vanishing discriminant

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{2}(x, y)-a(x, y) c(x, y) \equiv 0 \tag{2.6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Operators of this class are called parabolic. In this case we have only one characteristic to be found from the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{b(x, y)}{a(x, y)} \tag{2.6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\phi(x, y)$ be a first integral of this equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \phi_{x}+b \phi_{y}=0, \quad \phi_{x}^{2}+\phi_{y}^{2} \neq 0 . \tag{2.6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose an arbitrary smooth function $\psi(x, y)$ such that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{x} & \phi_{y} \\
\psi_{x} & \psi_{y}
\end{array}\right) \neq 0
$$

In the coordinates

$$
u=\phi(x, y), \quad v=\psi(x, y)
$$

the coefficient $\tilde{a}(u, v)$ vanishes, since the line $\phi(x, y)=$ const is a characteristic. But then the coefficient $\tilde{b}(u, v)$ must vanish either because of vanishing of the discriminant

$$
\tilde{b}^{2}-\tilde{a} \tilde{c}=0
$$

Thus the canonical form of a parabolic operator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\tilde{c}(u, v) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial v^{2}}+\ldots \tag{2.6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the dots stand for the terms of lower order.

### 2.7 General solution of a second order hyperbolic equation with constant coefficients in the two-dimensional space

Consider a hyperbolic operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=a \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+2 b \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y}+c \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} \tag{2.7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with constant coefficients $a, b, c$ satisfying the hyperbolicity condition

$$
b^{2}-a c>0
$$

The equations for characteristics (2.6.5), (2.6.6) can be easily integrated. This gives two linear first integrals

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=y-\lambda_{1} x, \quad v=y-\lambda_{2} x \\
& \lambda_{1,2}=\frac{b \pm \sqrt{b^{2}-a c}}{a} \tag{2.7.2}
\end{align*}
$$

In the new coordinates the hyperbolic equation $A \varphi=0$ reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial u \partial v}=0 \tag{2.7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general solution to this equation can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=f\left(y-\lambda_{1} x\right)+g\left(y-\lambda_{2} x\right) \tag{2.7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ and $g$ are two arbitrary smooth ${ }^{2}$ functions of one variable.
For example consider the wave equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{t t}=a^{2} \varphi_{x x} \tag{2.7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is a positive constant. The general solution reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x, t)=f(x-a t)+g(x+a t) \tag{2.7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $f(x-a t)$ is a right-moving wave propagating with constant speed $a$. In a similar way $g(x+a t)$ is a left-moving wave. Therefore the general solution to the wave equation (2.7.5) is a superposition of two such waves.

### 2.8 Exercises to Section 2

Exercise 2.8.1 Reduce to the canonical form the following equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{x x}+2 u_{x y}-2 u_{x z}+2 u_{y y}+6 u_{z z}=0  \tag{2.8.1}\\
& u_{x y}-u_{x z}+u_{x}+u_{y}-u_{z}=0 \tag{2.8.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Exercise 2.8.2 Reduce to the canonical form the following equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{2} u_{x x}+2 x y u_{x y}-3 y^{2} u_{y y}-2 x u_{x}+4 y u_{y}+16 x^{4} u=0  \tag{2.8.3}\\
& y^{2} u_{x x}+2 x y u_{x y}+2 x^{2} u_{y y}+y u_{y}=0  \tag{2.8.4}\\
& u_{x x}-2 u_{x y}+u_{y y}+u_{x}+u_{y}=0 \tag{2.8.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Exercise 2.8.3 Find general solution to the following equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{2} u_{x x}-y^{2} u_{y y}-2 y u_{y}=0  \tag{2.8.6}\\
& x^{2} u_{x x}-2 x y u_{x y}+y^{2} u_{y y}+x u_{x}+y u_{y}=0 \tag{2.8.7}
\end{align*}
$$

[^1]
## 3 Wave equation

### 3.1 Vibrating string

We consider small oscillations of an elastic string on the $(x, u)$-plane. Let the $x$-axis be the equilibrium state of the string. Denote $u(x, t)$ the displacement of the point $x$ at a time $t$. It will be assumed to be orthogonal to the $x$-axis. Thus the shape of the string at the time $t$ is given by the graph of the function $u(x, t)$. The velocity of the string at the point $x$ is equal to $u_{t}(x, t)$. We will also assume that the only force to be taken into consideration is the tension directed along the string. In particular the string will be assumed to be totally elastic.

Consider a small interval of the string from $x$ to $x+\Delta x$. We will write the equation of motion for this interval. Denote $T=T(x)$ the tension of the string at the point $x$. The horizontal and vertical components at the points $x$ and $x+\Delta x$ are equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{\text {hor }}(x)=T_{1} \cos \alpha, \quad T_{\text {vert }}(x)=T_{1} \sin \alpha \\
& T_{\text {hor }}(x+\Delta x)=T_{2} \cos \beta, \quad T_{\text {vert }}(x+\Delta x)=T_{2} \sin \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

where $T_{1}=T(x), T_{2}=T(x+\Delta x)$ (see Fig. 1).


Fig. 1.

The angle $\alpha$ between the string and the $x$-axis at the point $x$ is given by

$$
\cos \alpha=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+u_{x}^{2}}}, \quad \sin \alpha=\frac{u_{x}}{\sqrt{1+u_{x}^{2}}} .
$$

The oscillations are assumed to be small. More precisely this means that the term $u_{x}$ is small. So at the leading approximation we can neglect the square of it to arrive at

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\cos \alpha \simeq 1, & \sin \alpha \simeq u_{x}(x) \\
\cos \beta \simeq 1, & \sin \beta \simeq u_{x}(x+\Delta x)
\end{array}
$$

So the horizontal and vertical components at the points $x$ and $x+\Delta x$ are equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{\text {hor }}(x) \simeq T_{1}, \quad T_{\text {vert }}(x) \simeq T_{1} u_{x}(x) \\
& T_{\text {hor }}(x+\Delta x) \simeq T_{2}, \quad T_{\text {vert }}(x+\Delta x)=T_{2} u_{x}(x+\Delta(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the string moves in the $u$-direction, the horizontal components at the points $x$ and $x+\Delta x$ must coincide:

$$
T_{1}=T(x)=T(x+\Delta x)=T_{2} .
$$

Therefore $T(x) \equiv T=$ const.
Let us now consider the vertical components. The resulting force acting on the piece of the string is equal to

$$
f=T_{2} \sin \beta-T_{1} \sin \alpha=T u_{x}(x+\Delta x)-T u_{x}(x) \simeq T u_{x x}(x) \Delta x .
$$

On another side the vertical component of the total momentum of the piece of the string is equal to

$$
p=\int_{x}^{x+\Delta x} \rho(x) u_{t}(x, t) d s(x) \simeq \rho(x) u_{t}(x, t) \Delta x
$$

where $\rho(x)$ is the linear mass density of the string and

$$
d s(x)=\frac{d x}{\sqrt{1+u_{x}^{2}(x)}} \simeq d x
$$

is the element of the length ${ }^{3}$. The second Newton law

$$
p_{t}=f
$$

in the limit $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$ yields

$$
\rho(x) u_{t t}=T u_{x x} .
$$

In particular in the case of constant mass density one arrives at the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}=a^{2} u_{x x} \tag{3.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $a$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}=\frac{T}{\rho} . \tag{3.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 3.1.1 Prove that the plane wave

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=A e^{i(k x+\omega t)} \tag{3.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the wave equation (3.1.1) if and only if the real parameters $\omega$ and $k$ satisfy the following dispersion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega= \pm a k \tag{3.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]and the total mass $m$ of the same segment is equal to
$$
m=\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} \rho(x) d s(x) .
$$

The parameters $\omega$ and $k$ are called resp. the frequency ${ }^{4}$ and wave number of the plane wave. The arbitrary parameter $A$ is called the amplitude of the wave. It is clear that the plane wave is periodic in $x$ with the period

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{2 \pi}{k} \tag{3.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the exponential function is periodic with the period $2 \pi i$. The plane wave is also periodic in $t$ with the period

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{2 \pi}{\omega} . \tag{3.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to linearity of the wave equation the real and imaginary parts of the solution (3.1.3) solve the same equation (3.1.1). Assuming $A$ to be real we thus obtain the real valued solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} u=A \cos (k x+\omega t), \quad \operatorname{Im} u=A \sin (k x+\omega t) . \tag{3.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2 D'Alembert formula

Let us start with considering oscillations of an infinite string. That is, the spatial variable $x$ varies from $-\infty$ to $\infty$. The Cauchy problem for the equation (3.1.1) is formulated in the following way: find a solution $u(x, t)$ defined for $t \geq 0$ such that at $t=0$ the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 0)=\phi(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=\psi(x) \tag{3.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold true. The solution is given by the following D'Alembert formula:
Theorem 3.2.1 For arbitrary initial data $\phi(x) \in \mathcal{C}^{2}(\mathbb{R}), \psi(x) \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ the solution to the Cauchy problem (3.1.1), (3.2.1) exists and is unique. Moreover it is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=\frac{\phi(x-a t)+\phi(x+a t)}{2}+\frac{1}{2 a} \int_{x-a t}^{x+a t} \psi(s) d s . \tag{3.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: As we have proved in Section 2.7 the general solution to the equation (3.1.1) can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=f(x-a t)+g(x+a t) \tag{3.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us choose the functions $f$ and $g$ in order to meet the initial conditions (3.2.1). We obtain the following system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x)+g(x)=\phi(x) \\
& a\left[g^{\prime}(x)-f^{\prime}(x)\right]=\psi(x) . \tag{3.2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating the second equation yields

$$
g(x)-f(x)=\frac{1}{a} \int_{x_{0}}^{x} \psi(s) d s+C
$$

[^3]where $C$ is an integration constant. So
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=\frac{1}{2} \phi(x)-\frac{1}{2 a} \int_{x_{0}}^{x} \psi(s) d s-\frac{1}{2} C \\
& g(x)=\frac{1}{2} \phi(x)+\frac{1}{2 a} \int_{x_{0}}^{x} \psi(s) d s+\frac{1}{2} C .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Thus

$$
u(x, t)=\frac{1}{2} \phi(x-a t)-\frac{1}{2 a} \int_{x_{0}}^{x-a t} \psi(s) d s+\frac{1}{2} \phi(x+a t)+\frac{1}{2 a} \int_{x_{0}}^{x+a t} \psi(s) d s
$$

This gives (3.2.2). It remains to check that, given a pair of functions $\phi(x) \in \mathcal{C}^{2}, \psi(x) \in \mathcal{C}^{1}$ the D'Alembert formula yields a solution to (3.1.1). Indeed, the function (3.2.2) is twice differentiable in $x$ and $t$. It remains to substitute this function into the wave equation and check that the equation is satisfied. We leave it as an exercise for the reader. It is also straightforward to verify validity of the initial data (3.2.1).

Example. For the constant initial data

$$
u(x, 0)=u_{0}, \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=v_{0}
$$

the solution has the form

$$
u(x, t)=u_{0}+v_{0} t
$$

This solution corresponds to the free motion of the string with the constant speed $v_{0}$.
Moreover the solution to the wave equation is stable with respect to small variations of the initial data. Namely,

Exercise 3.2.2 For any $\epsilon>0$ and any $T>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that the solutions $u(x, t)$ and $\tilde{u}(x, t)$ of the two Cauchy problems with initial conditions (3.2.1) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}(x, 0)=\tilde{\phi}(x), \quad \tilde{u}_{t}(x, 0)=\tilde{\psi}(x) \tag{3.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in[0, T]}|\tilde{u}(x, t)-u(x, t)|<\epsilon \tag{3.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided the initial conditions satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}|\tilde{\phi}(x)-\phi(x)|<\delta, \quad \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}|\tilde{\psi}(x)-\psi(x)|<\delta . \tag{3.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.2.3 The property formulated in the above exercise is usually referred to as well posedness of the Cauchy problem (3.1.1), (3.2.1). We will return later to the discussion of this important property.

### 3.3 Some consequences of the D'Alembert formula

Let $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ be a point of the $(x, t)$-plane, $t_{0}>0$. As it follows from the D'Alembert formula the value of the solution at the point $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ depends only on the values of $\phi(x)$ at $x=x_{0} \pm a t_{0}$ and value of $\psi(x)$ on the interval $\left[x_{0}-a t_{0}, x_{0}+a t_{0}\right]$. The triangle with the vertices $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ and $\left(x_{0} \pm a t_{0}, 0\right)$ is called the dependence domain of the segment $\left[x_{0}-a t_{0}, x_{0}+a t_{0}\right]$. The values of the solution inside this triangle are completely determined by the values of the initial data on the segment.


Fig. 2. The dependence domain of the segment $\left[x_{0}-a t_{0}, x_{0}+a t_{0}\right]$.

Another important definition is the influence domain for a given segment $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ consider the domain defined by inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+a t \geq x_{1}, \quad x-a t \leq x_{2}, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{3.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Changing the initial data on the segment $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ will not change the solution $u(x, t)$ outside the influence domain.


Fig. 3. The influence domain of the segment $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$.
Remark 3.3.1 It will be convenient to slightly extend the class of initial data admitting piecewise smooth functions $\phi(x), \psi(x)$ (all singularities of the latter must be integrable). If $x_{j}$ are the singularities of these functions, $j=1,2, \ldots$, then the solution $u(x, t)$ given by the D'Alembert formula will satisfy the wave equation outside the lines

$$
x= \pm a t+x_{j}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots
$$

The above formula says that the singularities of the solution propagate along the characteristics.

Example. Let us draw the profile of the string for the triangular initial data $\phi(x)$ shown on Fig. 4 and $\psi(x) \equiv 0$.


Fig. 4. The solution of the Cauchy problem for wave equation on the real line with a triangular initial profile at different instants of time.

### 3.4 Semi-infinite vibrating string

Let us begin with the following simple observation.

Lemma 3.4.1 Let $u(x, t)$ be a solution to the wave equation. Then so are the functions

$$
\pm u( \pm x, \pm t)
$$

with arbitrary choices of all three signs.

Proof: This follows from linearity of the wave equation and from its invariance with respect to the spatial reflection

$$
x \mapsto-x
$$

and time inversion

$$
t \mapsto-t .
$$

Let us consider oscillations of a string with a fixed point. Without loss of generality we can assume that the fixed point is at $x=0$. We arrive at the following Cauchy problem for (3.1.1) on the half-line $x>0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 0)=\phi(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=\psi(x), \quad x>0 . \tag{3.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution must also satisfy the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0, t)=0, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{3.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The problem (3.1.1), (3.4.1), (3.4.2) is often called mixed problem since we have both initial conditions and boundary conditions.

The solution to the mixed problem on the half-line can be reduced to the problem on the infinite line by means of the following trick.

Lemma 3.4.2 Let the initial data $\phi(x), \psi(x)$ for the Cauchy problem (3.1.1), (3.2.1) be odd functions of $x$. Then the solution $u(x, t)$ is an odd function for all $t$.

Proof: Denote

$$
\tilde{u}(x, t):=-u(-x, t) .
$$

According to Lemma 3.4.1 the function $\tilde{u}(x, t)$ satisfies the same equation. At $t=0$ we have

$$
\tilde{u}(x, 0)=-u(-x, 0)=-\phi(-x)=\phi(x), \quad \tilde{u}_{t}(x, 0)=-u_{t}(-x, 0)=-\psi(-x)=\psi(x)
$$

since $\phi$ and $\psi$ are odd functions. Therefore $\tilde{u}(x, t)$ is a solution to the same Cauchy problem (3.1.1), (3.2.1). Due to uniqueness $\tilde{u}(x, t)=u(x, t)$, i.e. $-u(-x, t)=u(x, t)$ for all $x$ and $t$.

We are now ready to present a recipe for solving the mixed problem for the wave equation on the half-line. Let us extend the initial data onto entire real line as odd functions. We arrive at the following Cauchy problem for the wave equation:

$$
u(x, 0)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\phi(x), & x>0  \tag{3.4.3}\\
-\phi(-x), & x<0
\end{array}, \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\psi(x), & x>0 \\
-\psi(-x), & x<0
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

According to Lemma 3.4 .2 the solution $u(x, t)$ to the Cauchy problem (3.1.1), (3.4.3) given by the D'Alembert formula will be an odd function for all $t$. Therefore

$$
u(0, t)=-u(0, t)=0 \quad \text { for all } t
$$

Example. Consider the evolution of a triangular initial profile on the half-line. The graph of the initial function $\phi(x)$ is non-zero on the interval $[l, 3 l]$; the initial velocity $\psi(x)=0$. The evolution is shown on Fig. 5 for few instants of time. Observe the reflected profile (the dotted line) on the negative half-line.

In a similar way one can treat the mixed problem on the half-line with a free boundary. In this case the vertical component $T u_{x}$ of the tension at the left edge must vanish at all times. Thus the boundary condition (3.4.2) has to be replaced with

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x}(0, t)=0 \quad \text { for all } t \geq 0 \tag{3.4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can solve the mixed problem (3.1.1), (3.4.1), (3.4.4) by using even extension of the initial data onto the negative half-line. We leave the details of the construction as an exercise for the reader.


Fig. 5. The solution of the Cauchy problem for wave equation on the half-line with a triangular initial profile.

### 3.5 Periodic problem for wave equation. Introduction to Fourier series

Let us look for solutions to the wave equation (3.1.1) periodic in $x$ with a given period $L>0$. Thus we are looking for a solution $u(x, t)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x+L, t)=u(x, t) \quad \text { for any } \quad t \geq 0 \tag{3.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The initial data of the Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 0)=\phi(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=\psi(x) \tag{3.5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

must also be $L$-periodic functions.
Theorem 3.5.1 Given L-periodic initial data $\phi(x) \in \mathcal{C}^{2}(\mathbb{R}), \psi(x) \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ the periodic Cauchy problem (3.5.1), (3.5.2) for the wave equation (3.1.1) has a unique solution.

Proof: According to the results of Section 3.2 the solution $u(x, t)$ to the Cauchy problem (3.1.1), (3.5.2) on $-\infty<x<\infty$ exists and is unique and is given by the D'Alembert formula. Denote

$$
\tilde{u}(x, t):=u(x+L, t) .
$$

Since the coefficients of the wave equation do not depend on $x$ the function $\tilde{u}(x, t)$ satisfies the same equation. The initial data for this function have the form

$$
\tilde{u}(x, 0)=\phi(x+L)=\phi(x), \quad \tilde{u}_{t}(x, t)=\psi(x+L)=\psi(x)
$$

because of periodicity of the functions $\phi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$. So the initial data of the solutions $u(x, t)$ and $\tilde{u}(x, t)$ coincide. From the uniqueness of the solution we conclude that $\tilde{u}(x, t)=$ $u(x, t)$ for all $x$ and $t$, i.e. the function $u(x, t)$ is periodic in $x$ with the same period $L$.

Exercise 3.5.2 Prove that the complex exponential function $e^{i k x}$ is L-periodic iff the wave number $k$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{2 \pi n}{L}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following two exercises we will consider the particular case $L=2 \pi$. In this case the complex exponential

$$
e^{\frac{2 \pi i n x}{L}}
$$

obtained in the previous exercise reduces to $e^{i n x}$.

Exercise 3.5.3 Prove that the solution of the periodic Cauchy problem with the Cauchy data

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 0)=e^{i n x}, \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=0 \tag{3.5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=e^{i n x} \cos n a t . \tag{3.5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 3.5.4 Prove that the solution of the periodic Cauchy problem with the Cauchy data

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 0)=0, \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=e^{i n x} \tag{3.5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by the formula

$$
u(x, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{rc}
e^{i n x \frac{\sin n a t}{n a}}, & n \neq 0  \tag{3.5.7}\\
t, & n=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using the theory of Fourier series we can represent any solution to the periodic problem to the wave equation as a superposition of the solutions (3.5.5), (3.5.7). Let us first recall some basics of the theory of Fourier series.

Let $f(x)$ be a $2 \pi$-periodic continuously differentiable complex valued function on $\mathbb{R}$. The Fourier series of this function is defined by the formula

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{n} e^{i n x}  \tag{3.5.8}\\
& c_{n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(x) e^{-i n x} d x \tag{3.5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

The following theorem is a fundamental result of the theory of Fourier series.

Theorem 3.5.5 For any function $f(x)$ satisfying the above conditions the Fourier series is uniformly convergent to the function $f(x)$.

In particular we conclude that any $\mathcal{C}^{1}$-smooth $2 \pi$-periodic function $f(x)$ can be represented as a sum of uniformly convergent Fourier series

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{n} e^{i n x}, \quad c_{n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(x) e^{-i n x} d x \tag{3.5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For completeness we remind the proof of this Theorem.
Let us introduce Hermitean inner product in the space of complex valued $2 \pi$-periodic continuous functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f, g)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \bar{f}(x) g(x) d x \tag{3.5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the bar stands for complex conjugation. This inner product satisfies the following properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (g, f)=\overline{(f, g)}  \tag{3.5.12}\\
& \left(\lambda f_{1}+\mu f_{2}, g\right)=\bar{\lambda}\left(f_{1}, g\right)+\bar{\mu}\left(f_{2}, g\right) \quad \text { for any } \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \\
& \left(f, \lambda g_{1}+\mu g_{2}\right)=\lambda\left(f, g_{1}\right)+\mu\left(f, g_{2}\right)  \tag{3.5.13}\\
& (f, f)>0 \text { for any nonzero continuous function } f(x) .
\end{align*}
$$

The real nonnegative number $(f, f)$ will be used for defining the $L_{2}$-norm of the function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|:=\sqrt{(f, f)} \tag{3.5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 3.5.6 Prove that the $L_{2}$-norm satisfies the triangle inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f+g\| \leq\|f\|+\|g\| \tag{3.5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that the complex exponentials $e^{i n x}$ form an orthonormal system with respect to the inner product (3.5.11):

$$
\left(e^{i m x}, e^{i n x}\right)=\delta_{m n}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1, & m=n  \tag{3.5.17}\\
0 & m \neq n
\end{array}\right.
$$

(check it!).
Let $f(x)$ be a continuous function; denote $c_{n}$ its Fourier coefficients. The following formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=\left(e^{i n x}, f\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives a simple interpretation of the Fourier coefficients as the coefficients of decomposition of the function $f$ with respect to the orthonormal system made from exponentials. Moreover, the partial sum of the Fourier series

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{N}(x)=\sum_{n=-N}^{N} c_{n} e^{i n x} \tag{3.5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be interpreted as the orthogonal projection of the vector $f$ onto the $(2 N+1)$-dimensional linear subspace

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{N}=\operatorname{span}\left(1, e^{ \pm i x}, e^{ \pm 2 i x}, \ldots, e^{ \pm i N x}\right) \tag{3.5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

consisting of all trigonometric polynomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{N}(x)=\sum_{n=-N}^{N} p_{n} e^{i n x} \tag{3.5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

of degree $N$. Here $p_{0}, p_{ \pm 1}, \ldots p_{ \pm N}$ are arbitrary complex numbers.

Lemma 3.5.7 The following inequality holds true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=-N}^{N}\left|c_{n}\right|^{2} \leq\|f\|^{2} \tag{3.5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The statement of this lemma is called Bessel inequality.
Proof: We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leq\left\|f(x)-\sum_{n=-N}^{N} c_{n} e^{i n x}\right\|^{2}=\left(f(x)-\sum_{n=-N}^{N} c_{n} e^{i n x}, f(x)-\sum_{n=-N}^{N} c_{n} e^{i n x}\right) \\
& =(f, f)-\sum_{n=-N}^{N}\left[c_{n}\left(f, e^{i n x}\right)+\bar{c}_{n}\left(e^{i n x}, f\right)\right]+\sum_{m, n=-N}^{N} \bar{c}_{m} c_{n}\left(e^{i m x}, e^{i n x}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (3.5.18) and orthonormality (3.5.17) we recast the right hand side of the last equation in the form

$$
(f, f)-\sum_{n=-N}^{N}\left|c_{n}\right|^{2}
$$

This proves Bessel inequality.

Geometrically the Bessel inequality says that the square length of the orthogonal projection of a vector onto the linear subspace $V_{N}$ cannot be longer than the square length of the vector itself.

Corollary 3.5.8 For any continuous function $f(x)$ the series of squares of absolute values of Fourier coefficients converges:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|c_{n}\right|^{2}<\infty . \tag{3.5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following extremal property says that the $N$-th partial sum of the Fourier series gives the best $L_{2}$-approximation of the function $f(x)$ among all trigonometric polynomials of degree $N$.

Lemma 3.5.9 For any trigonometric polynomial $P_{N}(x)$ of degree $N$ the following inequality holds true

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(x)-S_{N}(x)\right\| \leq\left\|f(x)-P_{N}(x)\right\| . \tag{3.5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $S_{N}(x)$ is the $N$-th partial sum (3.5.19) of the Fourier series of the function $f$. The equality in (3.5.24) takes place iff the trigonometric polynomial $P_{N}(x)$ coincides with $S_{N}(x)$, i.e.,

$$
p_{n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(x) e^{-i n x} d x, \quad n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm N
$$

Proof: From (3.5.18) we derive that

$$
\left(f(x)-S_{N}(x), P_{N}(x)\right)=0 \quad \text { for any } \quad P_{N}(x) \in V_{N} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|f(x)-P_{N}(x)\right\|^{2}=\|\left(f-S_{N}\right)+\left(S_{N}-P_{N} \|^{2}=\right. \\
& =\left(f-S_{N}, f-S_{N}\right)+\left(f-S_{N}, Q_{N}\right)+\left(Q_{N}, f-S_{N}\right)+\left(Q_{N}, Q_{N}\right) \\
& =\left(f-S_{N}, f-S_{N}\right)+\left(Q_{N}, Q_{N}\right) \geq\left(f-S_{N}, f-S_{N}\right)=\left\|f-S_{N}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we denote

$$
Q_{N}=S_{N}(x)-P_{N}(x) \in V_{N}
$$

Clearly the equality takes place iff $Q_{N}=0$, i.e. $P_{N}=S_{N}$.

Lemma 3.5.10 For any continuous $2 \pi$-periodic function the following Parseval equality holds true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|c_{n}\right|^{2}=\|f\|^{2} \tag{3.5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Parseval equality can be considered as an infinite-dimensional analogue of the Pythagoras theorem: sum of the squares of orthogonal projections of a vector on the coordinate axes is equal to the square length of the vector.
Proof: According to Stone - Weierstrass theorem ${ }^{5}$ any continuous $2 \pi$-periodic function can be uniformly approximated by Fourier polynomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{N}(x)=\sum_{n=-N}^{N} p_{n} e^{i n x} . \tag{3.5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

That means that for a given function $f(x)$ and any $\epsilon>0$ there exists a trigonometric polynomial $P_{N}(x)$ of some degree $N$ such that

$$
\sup _{x \in[0,2 \pi]}\left|f(x)-P_{N}(x)\right|<\epsilon .
$$

Then

$$
\left\|f-P_{N}\right\|^{2}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f(x)-P_{N}(x)\right|^{2} d x<\epsilon^{2} .
$$

Therefore, due to the extremal property (see Lemma 3.5.9 above), we obtain the following inequality

$$
\left\|f-S_{N}\right\|^{2}<\epsilon^{2} .
$$

Repeating the computation used in the proof of Bessel inequality

$$
\left\|f-S_{N}\right\|^{2}=\|f\|^{2}-\sum_{n=-N}^{N}\left|c_{n}\right|^{2}<\epsilon^{2}
$$

[^4]we arrive at the proof of Lemma.
The Parseval equality is also referred to as completeness of the trigonometric system of functions
$$
1, e^{ \pm i x}, e^{ \pm 2 i x}, \ldots
$$

For the case of infinite-dimensional spaces equipped with a Hermitean (or Euclidean) inner product the property of completeness is the right analogue of the notion of an orthonormal basis of the space.

Corollary 3.5.12 Two continuous $2 \pi$-periodic functions $f(x), g(x)$ with all equal Fourier coefficients identically coincide.

Proof: Indeed, the difference $h(x)=f(x)-g(x)$ is continuous function with zero Fourier coefficients. The Parseval equality implies $\|h\|^{2}=0$. So $h(x) \equiv 0$.

We can now prove uniform convergence of the Fourier series of a $\mathcal{C}^{1}$-function. Denote $c_{n}^{\prime}$ the Fourier coefficients of the derivative $f^{\prime}(x)$. Integrating by parts we derive the following formula:

$$
c_{n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(x) e^{-i n x} d x=-\left.\frac{1}{2 \pi i n} f(x) e^{-i n x}\right|_{0} ^{2 \pi}+\frac{1}{2 \pi i n} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f^{\prime}(x) e^{-i n x} d x=-\frac{i}{n} c_{n}^{\prime} .
$$

This implies convergence of the series

$$
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|c_{n}\right| .
$$

Indeed,

$$
\left|c_{n}\right|=\frac{\left|c_{n}^{\prime}\right|}{n} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left|c_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)
$$

The series $\sum\left|c_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{2}$ converges according to the Corollary 3.5.8; convergence of the series $\sum \frac{1}{n^{2}}$ is well known. Using Weierstrass theorem we conclude that the Fourier series converges absolutely and uniformly

$$
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|c_{n} e^{i n x}\right|=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|c_{n}\right|<\infty
$$

Denote $g(x)$ the sum of this series. It is a continuos function. The Fourier coefficients of $g$ coincide with those of $f$ :

$$
\left(e^{i n x}, g\right)=c_{n}
$$

Hence $f(x) \equiv g(x)$.
For the specific case of real valued function the Fourier coefficients satisfy the following property.

Lemma 3.5.13 The smooth function $f(x)$ is real valued iff its Fourier coefficients satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{c}_{n}=c_{-n} \quad \text { for all } \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Reality of the function can be written in the form

$$
\bar{f}(x)=f(x)
$$

Since

$$
\overline{e^{i n x}}=e^{-i n x}
$$

we have

$$
\bar{c}_{n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \bar{f}(x) e^{i n x} d x=c_{-n}
$$

Note that the coefficient

$$
c_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(x) d x
$$

is always real if $f(x)$ is a real valued function.
Let us establish the correspondence of the complex form (3.5.10) of the Fourier series of a real valued function with the real form.

Lemma 3.5.14 Let $f(x)$ be a real valued $2 \pi$-periodic smooth function. Denote $c_{n}$ its Fourier coefficients (3.5.9). Introduce coefficients

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{n}=c_{n}+c_{-n}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(x) \cos n x d x, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots  \tag{3.5.28}\\
& b_{n}=i\left(c_{n}-c_{-n}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(x) \sin n x d x, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.5.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the function $f(x)$ is represented as a sum of uniformly convergent Fourier series of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{a_{0}}{2}+\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(a_{n} \cos n x+b_{n} \sin n x\right) \tag{3.5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We leave the proof of this Lemma as an exercise for the reader.

Exercise 3.5.15 For any real valued continuous function $f(x)$ prove the following version ${ }^{6}$ of Bessel inequality (3.5.22):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{0}^{2}}{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(a_{n}^{2}+b_{n}^{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f^{2}(x) d x \tag{3.5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Parseval equality (3.5.25)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{0}^{2}}{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n}^{2}+b_{n}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f^{2}(x) d x \tag{3.5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^5]The following statement can be used in working with functions with an arbitrary period.
Exercise 3.5.16 Given an arbitrary constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and a solution $u(x, t)$ to the wave equation (3.1.1) then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}(x, t)=u(c x, c t) \tag{3.5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

also satisfies (3.1.1).
Note that for $c \neq 0$ the function $\tilde{u}(x, t)$ is periodic in $x$ with the period $L=\frac{2 \pi}{c}$ if $u(x, t)$ was $2 \pi$-periodic.

For non-smooth functions the problem of convergence of Fourier series is more delicate. Let us consider an example giving some idea about the convergence of Fourier series for piecewise smooth functions. Consider the function

$$
\operatorname{sign} x=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1, & x>0  \tag{3.5.34}\\
0, & x=0 \\
-1, & x<0
\end{array} .\right.
$$

This function will be considered on the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ and then continued $2 \pi$-periodically onto entire real line. The Fourier coefficients of this function can be easily computed:

$$
a_{n}=0, \quad b_{n}=\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\left(1-(-1)^{n}\right)}{n} .
$$

So the Fourier series of this functions reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\sin (2 k-1) x}{2 k-1} \tag{3.5.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can prove that this series converges to the sign function at every point of the interval $(-\pi, \pi)$. Moreover this convergence is uniform on every closed subinterval non containing 0 or $\pm \pi$. However the character of convergence near the discontinuity points $x=0$ and $x= \pm \pi$ is more complicated as one can see from the following graph of a partial sum of the series (3.5.35).


Fig. 6. Graph of the partial sum $S_{n}(x)=\frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\sin (2 k-1) x}{2 k-1}$ for $n=50$.

In general for piecewise smooth functions $f(x)$ with some number of discontinuity points one can prove that the Fourier series converges to the mean value $\frac{1}{2}\left(f\left(x_{0}+0\right)+f\left(x_{0}-0\right)\right)$ at every first kind discontinuity point $x_{0}$. The non vanishing oscillatory behavior of partial sums near discontinuity points is known as Gibbs phenomenon (see Exercise 3.8.9 below).

Let us return to the wave equation. Using the theory of Fourier series we can represent any periodic solution to the Cauchy problem (3.5.2) as a superposition of solutions of the form (3.5.5), (3.5.7). Namely, let us expand the initial data in Fourier series:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{n} e^{i n x}, \quad \psi(x)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{n} e^{i n x} . \tag{3.5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the solution to the periodic Cauchy problem reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{n} e^{i n x} \cos a n t+\psi_{0} t+\frac{1}{a} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash 0} \psi_{n} e^{i n x} \frac{\sin a n t}{n} . \tag{3.5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.5.17 The formula (3.5.37) says that the solutions

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{n}^{(1)}(x, t)=e^{i n x} \cos a n t \\
& u_{n}^{(2)}(x, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
t, & n=0 \\
e^{i n x \frac{\sin a n t}{n}}, & n \neq 0
\end{array}\right. \tag{3.5.38}
\end{align*}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ form a basis in the space of $2 \pi$-periodic solutions to the wave equation. Observe that all these solutions can be written in the so-called separated form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=X(x) T(t) \tag{3.5.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some smooth functions $X(x)$ and $T(t)$. A rather general method of separation of variables for solving boundary value problems for linear PDEs has this observation as a starting point. This method will be explained later on.

### 3.6 Finite vibrating string. Standing waves

Let us proceed to considering a finite string of the length $l$. We begin with considering the oscillations of the string with fixed endpoints. So we have to solve the following mixed problem for the wave equation (3.1.1)

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(x, 0)=\phi(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=\psi(x), \quad x \in[0, l]  \tag{3.6.1}\\
& u(0, t)=0, \quad u(l, t)=0 \quad \text { for all } \quad t>0 . \tag{3.6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The idea of solution is, again, in a suitable extension of the problem onto entire line.

Lemma 3.6.1 Let the initial data $\phi(x), \psi(x)$ of the Cauchy problem (3.2.1) for the wave equation on $\mathbb{R}$ be odd $2 l$-periodic functions. Then the solution $u(x, t)$ will also be an odd $2 l$-periodic function for all $t$ satisfying the boundary conditions (3.6.2).

Proof: As we already know from Lemma 3.4.2 the solution is an odd function for all $t$. So

$$
u(0, t)=0 \text { for all } t>0 .
$$

Next, the solution will be $2 l$-periodic for all $t$ according to Theorem 3.5.1 above. So

$$
u(l-x, t)=-u(x-l, t)=-u(x+l, t) .
$$

Substituting $x=0$ we get

$$
u(l, t)=-u(l, t), \quad \text { i.e. } \quad u(l, t)=0 .
$$

The above Lemma gives an algorithm for solving the mixed problem (3.6.1), (3.6.2) for the wave equation. Namely, we extend the initial data $\phi(x), \psi(x)$ from the interval $[0, x]$ onto the real axis as odd $2 l$-periodic functions. After this we apply D'Alembert formula to the extended initial data. The resulting solution will satisfy the initial conditions (3.6.1) on the interval $[0, l]$ as well as the boundary conditions (3.6.2) at the end points of the interval.

We will apply now the technique of Fourier series to the mixed problem (3.6.1), (3.6.2).
Lemma 3.6.2 Let a $2 \pi$-periodic functions $f(x)$ be represented as the sum of its Fourier series

$$
f(x)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{n} e^{i n x}, \quad c_{n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-i n x} d x
$$

The function $f(x)$ is even/odd iff the Fourier coefficients satisfy

$$
c_{-n}= \pm c_{n}
$$

respectively.
Proof: For an even function one must have

$$
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{n} e^{i n x}=f(x)=f(-x)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{n} e^{-i n x}=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{-n} e^{i n x} .
$$

This proves $c_{-n}=c_{n}$. A similar argument gives $c_{-n}=-c_{n}$ for the case of an odd function.

Corollary 3.6.3 Any even/odd smooth $2 \pi$-periodic function can be expanded in Fourier series in cosines/sines:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
f(x)=\frac{a_{0}}{2}+\sum_{n \geq 1} a_{n} \cos n x, \quad a_{n}=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) \cos n x d x, \quad f(x) \quad \text { is even } \\
f(x)=\sum_{n \geq 1} b_{n} \sin n x, \quad b_{n}=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) \sin n x d x, \quad f(x) \quad \text { is odd. } \tag{3.6.4}
\end{array}
$$

Proof: Let us consider the case of an odd function. In this case we have $c_{-n}=-c_{n}$, and, in particular, $c_{0}=0$, so we rewrite the Fourier series in the following form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=\sum_{n \geq 1} c_{n} e^{i n x}+\sum_{n \leq-1} c_{n} e^{i n x} \\
& =\sum_{n \geq 1} c_{n}\left(e^{i n x}-e^{-i n x}\right)=2 i \sum_{n \geq 1} c_{n} \sin n x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote

$$
b_{n}=2 i c_{n}, \quad n \geq 1 .
$$

For this coefficient we obtain

$$
b_{n}=\frac{2 i}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-i n x} d x=\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-i n x} d x+\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{0} f(x) e^{-i n x} d x
$$

In the second integral we change the integration variable $x \mapsto-x$ and use that $f(-x)=-f(x)$ to arrive at

$$
b_{n}=\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-i n x} d x+\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\pi}^{0} f(x) e^{i n x} d x=\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(x)\left[e^{-i n x}-e^{i n x}\right] d x=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) \sin n x d x .
$$

Let us return to the solution to the wave equation on the interval $[0, l]$ with the fixed endpoints boundary condition. Summarizing the previous considerations we arrive at the following

Theorem 3.6.4 Let $\phi(x) \in \mathcal{C}^{3}([0, l]), \psi(x) \in \mathcal{C}^{2}([0, l])$ be two arbitrary functions. Then the solutions to the mixed problem (3.6.1), (3.6.2) for the wave equation is written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(x, t)=\sum_{n \geq 1} \sin \frac{\pi n x}{l}\left(b_{n} \cos \frac{\pi a n t}{l}+\dot{b}_{n} \sin \frac{\pi a n t}{l}\right)  \tag{3.6.5}\\
& b_{n}=\frac{2}{l} \int_{0}^{l} \phi(x) \sin \frac{\pi n x}{l} d x, \quad \dot{b}_{n}=\frac{2}{\pi a n} \int_{0}^{l} \psi(x) \sin \frac{\pi n x}{l} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Particular solutions to the wave equation giving a basis in the space of all solutions satisfying the boundary conditions (3.6.1) have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}^{(1)}(x, t)=\sin \frac{\pi n x}{l} \cos \frac{\pi a n t}{l}, \quad u_{n}^{(2)}(x, t)=\sin \frac{\pi n x}{l} \sin \frac{\pi a n t}{l}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

are called standing waves. Observe that these solutions have the separated form (3.5.39). The shape of these waves essentially does not change in time, only the size does change. In particular the location of the nodes

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k}=k \frac{l}{n}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n \tag{3.6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the $n$-th solution $u_{n}^{(1)}(x, t)$ or $u_{n}^{(2)}(x, t)$ does not depend on time. The $n$-th standing waves (3.6.6) have $(n+1)$ nodes on the string. The solution takes zero values at the nodes at all times.

### 3.7 Energy of vibrating string

Let us consider the vibrating string with fixed points $x=0$ and $x=l$. It is clear that the kinetic energy of the string at the moment $t$ is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{l} \rho u_{t}^{2}(x, t) d x \tag{3.7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now compute the potential energy $U$ of the string. By definition $U$ is equal to the work done by the elastic force moving the string from the equilibrium $u \equiv 0$ to the actual position given by the graph $u(x)$. The motion can be described by the one-parameter family of curves

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x ; s)=s u(x) \tag{3.7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the parameter $s$ changes from $s=0$ (the equilibrium) to $s=1$ (the position of the string). As we already know the vertical component of the force acting on the interval of the string (3.7.2) between $x$ and $x+\Delta x$ is equal to

$$
F=T\left(v_{x}(x+\Delta x ; s)-v_{x}(x ; s)\right) \simeq s T u_{x x}(x) \Delta x .
$$

The work $A$ to move the string from the position $v(x ; s)$ to $v(x ; s+\Delta s)$ is therefore equal to

$$
A=-F \cdot[v(x ; s+\Delta s)-v(x ; s)] \simeq-s T u_{x x}(x) u(x) \Delta x \Delta s
$$

(the negative sign since the direction of the force is opposite to the direction of the displacement). The total work of the elastic forces for moving the string of length $l$ from the equilibrium $s=0$ to the given configuration at $s=1$ is obtained by integration:

$$
U=-\int_{0}^{1} d s \int_{0}^{l} s T u_{x x}(x) u(x) d x=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{l} T u_{x x}(x) u(x) d x .
$$

By definition this work is equal to the potential energy of the string. Integrating by parts and using the boundary conditions

$$
u(0)=u(l)=0
$$

we finally arrive at the following expression for the potential energy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{l} T u_{x}^{2}(x) d x . \tag{3.7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summarizing (3.7.1) and (3.7.3) gives the formula for the total energy $E=E(t)$ of the vibrating string at the moment $t$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=K+U=\int_{0}^{l}\left(\frac{1}{2} \rho u_{t}^{2}(x, t)+\frac{1}{2} T u_{x}^{2}(x, t)\right) d x \tag{3.7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 3.7.1 Prove that the same expression (3.7.3) holds true for the total work of elastic forces moving the string from the equilibrium to the given position $u(x)$ along an arbitrary path $v(x ; s)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v(x ; 0) \equiv 0, \quad v(x ; 1)=u(x) \\
& v(0 ; s)=v(l ; s)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

in the space of configurations.
It is understood that $v(x ; t)$ is a smooth function on $[0, l] \times[0,1]$.

We will now prove that the total energy $E$ of vibrating string with fixed end points does not depend on time.

Lemma 3.7.2 Let the function $u(x, t)$ satisfy the wave equation. Then the following identity holds true

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{1}{2} \rho u_{t}^{2}(x, t)+\frac{1}{2} T u_{x}^{2}(x, t)\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(T u_{x} u_{t}\right) . \tag{3.7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: A straightforward differentiation using $u_{t t}=a^{2} u_{x x}$ yields

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{1}{2} \rho u_{t}^{2}(x, t)+\frac{1}{2} T u_{x}^{2}(x, t)\right)=\rho a^{2} u_{t} u_{x x}+T u_{x} u_{x t} .
$$

Since

$$
a^{2}=\frac{T}{\rho}
$$

(see above) we rewrite the last equation in the form

$$
=T\left(u_{t} u_{x x}+u_{t x} u_{x}\right)=T\left(u_{t} u_{x}\right)_{x} .
$$

Corollary 3.7.3 Denote $E_{[a, b]}(t)$ the energy of a segment of vibrating string

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{[a, b]}(t)=\int_{a}^{b}\left(\frac{1}{2} \rho u_{t}^{2}(x, t)+\frac{1}{2} T u_{x}^{2}(x, t)\right) d x \tag{3.7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following formula describes the dependence of this energy on time:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} E_{[a, b]}(t)=\left.T u_{t} u_{x}\right|_{x=b}-\left.T u_{t} u_{x}\right|_{x=a} . \tag{3.7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.7.4 In physics literature the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \rho u_{t}^{2}(x, t)+\frac{1}{2} T u_{x}^{2}(x, t) \tag{3.7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called energy density. It is equal to the energy of a small piece of the string from $x$ to $x+d x$ at the moment $t$. The total energy of a piece of a string is obtained by integration of this density in $x$. Another important notion is the flux density

$$
\begin{equation*}
-T u_{t} u_{x} . \tag{3.7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The formula (3.7.7) says that the change of the energy of a given piece of the string for the time $d t$ is given by the total flux through the boundary of the piece.

Finally we arrive at the conservation law of the total energy of a vibrating string with fixed end points.

Theorem 3.7.5 The total energy (3.7.4) of the vibrating string with fixed end points does not depend on $t$ :

$$
\frac{d}{d t} E=0
$$

Proof: The formula (3.7.7) for the particular case $a=0, b=l$ gives

$$
\frac{d}{d t} E=T\left(u_{t}(l, t) u_{x}(l, t)-u_{t}(0, t) u_{x}(0, t)\right)=0
$$

since

$$
u_{t}(0, t)=\partial_{t} u(0, t)=0, \quad u_{t}(l, t)=\partial_{t} u(l, t)=0
$$

due to the boundary conditions $u(0, t)=u(l, t)=0$.
The conservation law of total energy makes it evident that the vibrating string is a conservative system.

Exercise 3.7.6 Derive the formula for the total energy and prove the conservation law for a vibrating string of finite length with free boundary conditions $u_{x}(0, t)=u_{x}(l, t)=0$.

Exercise 3.7.7 Prove that the energy of the vibrating string represented as sum (3.6.5) of standing waves (3.6.6) is equal to the sum of energies of standing waves.

The conservation of total energy can be used for proving uniqueness of solution for the wave equation. Indeed, if $u^{(1)}(x, t)$ and $u^{(2)}(x, t)$ are two solutions vanishing at $x=0$ and $x=l$ with the same initial data. The difference

$$
u(x, t)=u^{(2)}(x, t)-u^{(1)}(x, t)
$$

solves wave equation, satisfies the same boundary conditions and has zero initial data $u(x, 0)=$ $\phi(x)=0, u_{t}(x, 0)=\psi(x)=0$. The conservation of energy for this solution gives

$$
E(t)=\int_{0}^{l}\left(\frac{1}{2} \rho u_{t}^{2}(x, t)+\frac{1}{2} T u_{x}^{2}(x, t)\right) d x=E(0)=\int_{0}^{l}\left(\frac{1}{2} \rho \psi^{2}(x)+\frac{1}{2} T \phi_{x}^{2}(x)\right) d x=0
$$

Hence $u_{x}(x, t)=u_{t}(x, t)=0$ for all $x, t$. Using the boundary conditions one concludes that $u(x, t) \equiv 0$,

### 3.8 Exercises to Section 3

Exercise 3.8.1 For few instants of time $t \geq 0$ make a graph of the solution $u(x, t)$ to the wave equation with the initial data

$$
u(x, 0)=0, \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1, & x \in\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right] \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}, \quad-\infty<x<\infty .\right.
$$

Exercise 3.8.2 Let the initial data $u(x, 0)=\phi(x), u_{t}(x, 0)=\psi(x)$ of the Cauchy problem for the wave equation on $-\infty<x<\infty$ have the following form: the graph of $\phi(x)$ consists of two isosceles triangles with the non-overlapping bases $\left[\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right]$ and $\left[\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}\right]$ (i.e., $\beta_{1}<\alpha_{2}$ ) of the heights $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ respectively, and $\psi(x) \equiv 0$. Denote $u(x, t)$ the solution to the problem. Find

$$
\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}, t>0} u(x, t) .
$$

Compare this number with

$$
\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0} u(x, t)
$$

Exercise 3.8.3 For few instants of time $t \geq 0$ make a graph of the solution $u(x, t)$ to the wave equation on the half line $x \geq 0$ with the free boundary condition

$$
u_{x}(0, t)=0
$$

and with the initial data

$$
u(x, 0)=\phi(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=0, \quad x>0
$$

where the graph of the function $\phi(x)$ is an isosceles triangle of height 1 and the base $[l, 3 l]$.
Exercise 3.8.4 For few instants of time $t \geq 0$ make a graph of the solution $u(x, t)$ to the wave equation on the half line $x \geq 0$ with the fixed point boundary condition

$$
u(0, t)=0
$$

and with the initial data

$$
u(x, 0)=0, \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1, & x \in[l, 3 l] \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}, \quad x>0\right.
$$

Exercise 3.8.5 Prove that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin n x}{n}=\frac{\pi-x}{2} \quad \text { for } \quad 0<x<2 \pi
$$

Compute the sum of the Fourier series for all other values of $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
Exercise 3.8.6 Compute the sums of the following Fourier series:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin 2 n x}{2 n}, \quad 0<x<\pi \\
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n} \sin n x, \quad|x|<\pi
\end{aligned}
$$

Exercise 3.8.7 Prove that

$$
x^{2}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}+4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n^{2}} \cos n x, \quad|x|<\pi .
$$

Exercise 3.8.8 Compute the sums of the following Fourier series:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos (2 n-1) x}{(2 n-1)^{2}} \\
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos n x}{n^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Exercise 3.8.9 Denote

$$
S_{n}(x)=\frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\sin (2 k-1) x}{2 k-1}
$$

the $n$-th partial sum of the Fourier series (3.5.35). Prove that

1) for any $x \in(-\pi, \pi)$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n}(x)=\operatorname{sign} x .
$$

Hint: derive the following expression for the derivative

$$
S_{n}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\sin 2 n x}{\sin x} .
$$

2) Verify that the $n$-th partial sum has a maximum at

$$
x_{n}=\frac{\pi}{2 n}
$$

3) Prove that

$$
S_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)=\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\pi}{n} \cdot \frac{\sin \frac{(2 k-1) \pi}{2 n}}{\frac{(2 k-1) \pi}{2 n}} \rightarrow \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\sin x}{x} d x \simeq 1.17898
$$

for $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Thus for the trigonometric series (3.5.35)

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n}(x)>1 \quad \text { for } \quad x>0
$$

In a similar way one can prove that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n}(x)<-1 \quad \text { for } \quad x<0
$$

Exercise 3.8.10 Prove conservation of the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t)=\int_{0}^{l} \rho u_{t}(x, t) u_{x}(x, t) d x \tag{3.8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P(t)=P(0)$ for vibrating string with fixed end points.
This quantity can be interpreted as the total momentum of vibrating string.

## 4 Laplace equation

### 4.1 Ill-posedness of Cauchy problem for Laplace equation

In the study of various classes of solutions to the Cauchy problem for the wave equation we were able to establish

- existence of the solution in a suitable class of functions;
- uniqueness of the solution;
- continuous dependence of the solution on the initial data (see Exercise 3.2.2 above) with respect to a suitable topology.

One may ask whether these properties remain valid for all evolutionary PDEs satisfying conditions of the Cauchy - Kovalevskaya theorem?

Let us consider a counterexample found by J.Hadamard (1922). Changing the sign in the wave equation one arrives at an equation of elliptic type

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}+a^{2} u_{x x}=0 \tag{4.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(The equation (4.1.1) is usually called Laplace equation.) Does the change of the type of equation affect seriously the properties of solutions?

To be more specific we will deal with the periodic Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 0)=\phi(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=\psi(x) \tag{4.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with two $2 \pi$-periodic smooth initial functions $\phi(x), \psi(x)$. For simplicity let us choose $a=1$. We will see that the solution to this Cauchy problem does not depend continuously on the initial data. To do this let us consider the following sequence of initial data: for any integer $k>0$ denote $u_{k}(x, t)$ solution to the Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 0)=0, \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=\frac{\sin k x}{k} . \tag{4.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $2 \pi$-periodic solution can be expanded in Fourier series

$$
u_{k}(x, t)=\frac{a_{0}(t)}{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[a_{n}(t) \cos n x+b_{n}(t) \sin n x\right]
$$

with some coefficients $a_{n}(t), b_{n}(t)$. Substituting the series into equation

$$
u_{t t}+u_{x x}=0
$$

we obtain an infinite system of ODEs

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ddot{a}_{n}=n^{2} a_{n} \\
& \ddot{b}_{n}=n^{2} b_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

$n=0,1,2, \ldots$. The initial data for this infinite system of ODEs follow from the Cauchy problem (4.1.3):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{n}(0)=0, & \dot{a}_{n}(0)=0 \quad \forall n, \\
b_{n}(0)=0, & \dot{b}_{n}(0)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1 / k, & n=k \\
0, & n \neq k .
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}
$$

The solution has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{n}(t)=0 \quad \forall n, \quad b_{n}(t)=0 \quad \forall n \neq k \\
& b_{k}(t)=\frac{1}{k^{2}} \sinh k t .
\end{aligned}
$$

So the solution to the Cauchy problem (4.1.2) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}(x, t)=\frac{1}{k^{2}} \sin k x \sinh k t . \tag{4.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this explicit solution we can prove the following
Theorem 4.1.1 For any positive $\epsilon, M$, $t_{0}$ there exists an integer $K$ such that for any $k \geq K$ the initial data (4.1.3) satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in[0,2 \pi]}\left(\left|u_{k}(x, 0)\right|+\left|\partial_{t} u_{k}(x, 0)\right|\right)<\epsilon \tag{4.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

but the solution $u_{k}(x, t)$ at the moment $t=t_{0}>0$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in[0,2 \pi]}\left(\left|u_{k}\left(x, t_{0}\right)\right|+\left|\partial_{t} u_{k}\left(x, t_{0}\right)\right|\right) \geq M \tag{4.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Choosing an integer $K_{1}$ satisfying

$$
K_{1}>\frac{1}{\epsilon}
$$

we will have the inequality (4.1.5) for any $k \geq K_{1}$. In order to obtain a lower estimate of the form (4.1.6) let us first observe that

$$
\sup _{x \in[0,2 \pi]}\left(\left|u_{k}(x, t)\right|+\left|\partial_{t} u_{k}(x, t)\right|\right)=\frac{1}{k^{2}} \sinh k t+\frac{1}{k} \cosh k t>\frac{e^{k t}}{k^{2}}
$$

where we have used an obvious inequality

$$
\frac{1}{k}>\frac{1}{k^{2}} \quad \text { for } \quad k>1
$$

The function

$$
y=\frac{e^{x}}{x^{2}}
$$

is monotone increasing for $x>2$ and

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{e^{x}}{x^{2}}=+\infty
$$

Hence for any $t_{0}>0$ there exists $x_{0}$ such that

$$
\frac{e^{x}}{x^{2}}>\frac{M}{t_{0}^{2}} \quad \text { for } \quad x>x_{0}
$$

Let $K_{2}$ be a positive integer satisfying

$$
K_{2}>\frac{x_{0}}{t_{0}}
$$

Then for any $k>K_{2}$

$$
\frac{e^{k t_{0}}}{k^{2}}=t_{0}^{2} \frac{e^{k t_{0}}}{\left(k t_{0}\right)^{2}}>t_{0}^{2} \frac{e^{x_{0}}}{x_{0}^{2}}>M
$$

Choosing

$$
K=\max \left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)
$$

we complete the proof of the Theorem.

The statement of the Theorem is usually referred to as ill-posedness of the Cauchy problem (4.1.1), (4.1.2).

A natural question arises: what kind of initial or boundary conditions can be chosen in order to uniquely specify solutions to Laplace equation without violating the continuous dependence of the solutions on the boundary/initial conditions?

### 4.2 Dirichlet and Neumann problems for Laplace equation on the plane

The Laplace operator in the $d$-dimensional Euclidean space is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{d}^{2}} \tag{4.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symbol (coinciding with the principal symbol) of this operator is equal to

$$
-\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+\cdots+\xi_{d}^{2}\right)<0 \quad \text { for all } \quad \xi \neq 0
$$

So Laplace operator is an example of an elliptic operator.
In this section we will formulate the two main boundary value problems (b.v.p.'s) for the Laplace equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u=0, \quad u=u(x), \quad(x) \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d} . \tag{4.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solutions to the Laplace equation are called harmonic functions in the domain $\Omega$.
We will assume that the boundary $\partial \Omega$ of the domain $\Omega$ is a smooth hypersurface. Moreover we assume that the domain $\Omega$ does not go to infinity, i.e., $\Omega$ belongs to some ball in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Denote $n=n(x)$ the unit external normal vector at every point $x \in \partial \Omega$ of the boundary.

Problem 1 (Dirichlet problem). Given a function $f(x)$ defined at the points of the boundary find a function $u=u(x)$ satisfying the Laplace equation on the internal part of the domain $\Omega$ and the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u(x)\right|_{x \in \partial \Omega}=f(x) \tag{4.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the boundary of the domain.

Problem 2 (Neumann problem). Given a function $g(x)$ defined at the points of the boundary find a function $u=u(x)$ satisfying the Laplace equation on the internal part of the domain $\Omega$ and the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial n}\right)_{x \in \partial \Omega}=g(x) \tag{4.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the boundary of the domain.
The normal derivative in this equation is defined as follows. Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d}$ be the angles between the coordinate axes and the unit normal vector $n$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial n}=\cos \alpha_{1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}+\cdots+\cos \alpha_{d} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{d}} \tag{4.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 1. For $d=1$ the Laplace operator is just the second derivative

$$
\Delta=\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}
$$

The Dirichlet b.v.p. in the domain $\Omega=(a, b)$

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(x)=0, \quad u(a)=f_{a}, \quad u(b)=f_{b}
$$

has an obvious unique solution

$$
u(x)=\frac{f_{b}-f_{a}}{b-a}(x-a)+f_{a}
$$

The Neumann b.v.p. in the same domain

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(x)=0, \quad-u^{\prime}(a)=g_{a}, \quad u^{\prime}(b)=g_{b}
$$

has solution only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{a}+g_{b}=0 \tag{4.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 2. In two dimensions the Laplace operator reads.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} \tag{4.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 4.2.1 Prove that in the polar coordinates

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x=r \cos \phi  \tag{4.2.8}\\
y=r \sin \phi
\end{array}\right\}
$$

the Laplace operator takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}} \tag{4.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the particular case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\left\{(x, y) \mid x^{2}+y^{2}<\rho^{2}\right\} \tag{4.2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a circle of radius $\rho$ ) the Dirichlet b.v.p. is formulated as follows: find a solution to the Laplace equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}=0, \quad u=u(x, y), \quad \text { for } \quad x^{2}+y^{2}<\rho^{2} \tag{4.2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u\right|_{r=\rho}=f(\phi) . \tag{4.2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we represent the boundary condition defined on the boundary of the circle as a function depending only on the polar angle $\phi$. Similarly, the Neumann problem consists of finding a solution to the Laplace equation satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)_{r=\rho}=g(\phi) \tag{4.2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a given function $g(\phi)$. Indeed, the partial derivative

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}=\cos \phi \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\sin \phi \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}
$$

coincides with the normal derivative (see the formula (4.2.5)).
Let us return to the general $d$-dimensional case. The following identity will be useful in the study of harmonic functions.

Theorem (Green's formula). For arbitrary smooth functions $u$, $v$ on the closed domain $\bar{\Omega}$ with a piecewise smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ the following identity holds true

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v d V+\int_{\Omega} u \Delta v d V=\int_{\partial \Omega} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} d S \tag{4.2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\nabla u \cdot \nabla v=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}
$$

is the inner product of the gradients of the functions,

$$
d V=d x_{1} \ldots d x_{d}
$$

is the Euclidean volume element, $n$ the external normal and $d S$ is the area element on the hypersurface $\partial \Omega$.

Example 1. For $d=1$ and $\Omega=(a, b)$ the Green's formula reads

$$
\int_{a}^{b} u_{x} v_{x} d x+\int_{a}^{b} u v_{x x} d x=\left.u v_{x}\right|_{a} ^{b}
$$

since the oriented boundary of the interval consists of two points $\partial[a, b]=b-a$. This is an easy consequence of integration by parts.

Example 2. For $d=2$ and a rectangle $\Omega=(a, b) \times(c, d)$ the Green's formula becomes

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{x} v_{x}+u_{y} v_{y}\right) d x d y+\int_{\Omega} u\left(v_{x x}+v_{y y}\right) d x d y=\int_{a}^{b}\left(u v_{y}\right)_{c}^{d} d x+\int_{c}^{d}\left(u v_{x}\right)_{a}^{b} d y
$$

(the sum of integrals over four pieces of the boundary $\partial \Omega$ stands in the right hand side of the formula).

Let us return to the general discussion of Laplace equation. The following corollary follows immediately from the Green's formula.

Corollary 4.2.2 For a function u harmonic in a domain $\Omega$ with a piecewise smooth boundary the following identity holds true

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}(\nabla u)^{2}=\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{1}{2} \partial_{n} u^{2} d S . \tag{4.2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: This is obtained from (4.2.14) by choosing $u=v$.
Using this identity we can easily derive uniqueness of solution to the Dirichlet problem.
Theorem 4.2.3 1) Let $u_{1}$, $u_{2}$ be two functions harmonic in the domain $\Omega$ and smooth in the closed domain $\bar{\Omega}$ coinciding on the boundary $\partial \Omega$. Then $u_{1} \equiv u_{2}$.
2) Under the same assumptions about the functions $u_{1}, u_{2}$, if the normal derivatives on the boundary coincide

$$
\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial n}=\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial n}
$$

then the functions differ by a constant.

Proof: Applying to the difference $u=u_{2}-u_{1}$ the identity (4.2.15) one obtains

$$
\int_{\Omega}(\nabla u)^{2} d V=0
$$

since the right hand side vanishes. Hence $\nabla u=0$, and thus the function $u$ is equal to a constant. The value of this constant on the boundary is zero. Therefore $u \equiv 0$. The second statement has a similar proof.

The following counterexample shows that the uniqueness does not hold true for infinite domains. Let $\Omega$ be the upper half plane:

$$
\Omega=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid y>0 .\right\}
$$

The linear function $u(x, y)=y$ is harmonic in $\Omega$ and vanishes on the boundary. Clearly $u \neq 0$ on $\Omega$.

Our goal is to solve the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary value problems. The first result in this direction is the following

Theorem 4.2.4 For an arbitrary $\mathcal{C}^{1}$-smooth $2 \pi$-periodic function $f(\phi)$ the solution to the Dirichlet b.v.p. (4.2.11), (4.2.12) exists and is unique. Moreover it is given by the following formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(r, \phi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\rho^{2}-r^{2}}{\rho^{2}-2 \rho r \cos (\phi-\psi)+r^{2}} f(\psi) d \psi \tag{4.2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression (4.2.16) for the solution to the Dirichlet b.v.p. in the circle is called Poisson formula.

Proof: We will first use the method of separation of variables in order to construct particular solutions to the Laplace equation. At the second step we will represent solutions to the Dirichlet b.v.p. as a linear combination of the particular solutions.

The method of separation of variables starts from looking for solutions to the Laplace equation in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=R(r) \Phi(\phi) \tag{4.2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $r, \phi$ are the polar coordinates on the plane (see Exercise 4.2 .1 above). Using the form (4.2.9) we reduce the Laplace equation $\Delta u=0$ to

$$
R^{\prime \prime}(r) \Phi(\phi)+\frac{1}{r} R^{\prime}(r) \Phi(\phi)+\frac{1}{r^{2}} R(r) \Phi^{\prime \prime}(\phi)=0
$$

After division by $\frac{1}{r^{2}} R(r) \Phi(\phi)$ we can rewrite the last equation in the form

$$
\frac{R^{\prime \prime}(r)+\frac{1}{r} R^{\prime}(r)}{\frac{1}{r^{2}} R(r)}=-\frac{\Phi^{\prime \prime}(\phi)}{\Phi(\phi)}
$$

The left hand side of this equation depends on $r$ while the right hand side depends on $\phi$. The equality is possible only if both sides are equal to some constant $\lambda$. In this way we arrive at two ODEs for the functions $R=R(r)$ and $\Phi=\Phi(\phi)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{r} R^{\prime}-\frac{\lambda}{r^{2}} R=0  \tag{4.2.18}\\
& \Phi^{\prime \prime}+\lambda \Phi=0 \tag{4.2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

We have now to determine the admissible values of the parameter $\lambda$. To this end let us begin from the second equation (4.2.19). Its solutions have the form

$$
\Phi(\phi)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
A e^{\sqrt{-\lambda} \phi}+B e^{-\sqrt{-\lambda} \phi}, & \lambda<0 \\
A+B \phi, & \lambda=0 \\
A \cos \sqrt{\lambda} \phi+B \sin \sqrt{\lambda} \phi, & \lambda>0
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Since the pairs of polar coordinates $(r, \phi)$ and $(r, \phi+2 \pi)$ correspond to the same point on the Euclidean plane the solution $\Phi(\phi)$ must be a $2 \pi$-periodic function. Hence we must discard the negative values of $\lambda$. Moreover $\lambda$ must have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=n^{2}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{4.2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\phi)=A \cos n \phi+B \sin n \phi \tag{4.2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first ODE (4.2.18) for $\lambda=n^{2}$ becomes

$$
R^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{r} R^{\prime}-\frac{n^{2}}{r^{2}} R=0 .
$$

This is a particular case of Euler equation. One can look for solutions in the form

$$
R(r)=r^{k} .
$$

The exponent $k$ has to be determined from the characteristic equation

$$
k(k-1)+k-n^{2}=0
$$

obtained by the direct substitution of $R=r^{k}$ into the equation. The roots of the characteristic equation are $k= \pm n$. For $n>0$ this gives the general solution of the equation (4.2.18) in the form

$$
R=a r^{n}+\frac{b}{r^{n}}
$$

with two integration constants $a$ and $b$. For $n=0$ the general solution is

$$
R=a+b \log r .
$$

As the solution must be smooth at $r=0$ one must always choose $b=0$ for all $n$. In this way we arrive at the following family of particular solutions to the Laplace equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}=r^{n}\left(a_{n} \cos n \phi+b_{n} \sin n \phi\right), \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{4.2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We want now to represent any solution to the Dirichlet b.v.p. in the circle of radius $\rho$ as a linear combination of these solutions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=\frac{A_{0}}{2}+\sum_{n \geq 1} r^{n}\left(A_{n} \cos n \phi+B_{n} \sin n \phi\right)  \tag{4.2.23}\\
& \left.u\right|_{r=\rho}=f(\phi) .
\end{align*}
$$

The boundary data function $f(\phi)$ must be a $2 \pi$-periodic function. Assuming this function to be $\mathcal{C}^{1}$-smooth let us expand it in Fourier series

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(\phi)=\frac{a_{0}}{2}+\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(a_{n} \cos n \phi+b_{n} \sin n \phi\right) \\
& a_{n}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(\phi) \cos n \phi d \phi, \quad b_{n}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(\phi) \sin n \phi d \phi . \tag{4.2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparison of (4.2.23) with (4.2.24) yields

$$
A_{n}=\frac{a_{n}}{\rho^{n}}, \quad B_{n}=\frac{b_{n}}{\rho^{n}},
$$

or, equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{a_{0}}{2}+\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^{n}\left(a_{n} \cos n \phi+b_{n} \sin n \phi\right) . \tag{4.2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that this formula holds true on the circle of radius $\rho$, i.e., for

$$
r \leq \rho
$$

The last formula can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & =\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\frac{1}{2}+\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^{n}(\cos n \phi \cos n \psi+\sin n \phi \sin n \psi)\right] f(\psi) d \psi \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\frac{1}{2}+\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^{n} \cos n(\phi-\psi)\right] f(\psi) d \psi
\end{aligned}
$$

To compute the sum in the square bracket we represent it as a geometric series converging for $r<\rho$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}+\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^{n} \cos n(\phi-\psi)=\frac{1}{2}+\operatorname{Re} \sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^{n} e^{i n(\phi-\psi)} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}+\operatorname{Re} \frac{r e^{i(\phi-\psi)}}{\rho-r e^{i(\phi-\psi)}}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r e^{i(\phi-\psi)}}{\rho-r e^{i(\phi-\psi)}}+\frac{r e^{-i(\phi-\psi)}}{\rho-r e^{-i(\phi-\psi)}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho^{2}-r^{2}}{\rho^{2}-2 \rho r \cos (\phi-\psi)+r^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In a similar way one can treat the Neumann boundary problem. However in this case one has to impose an additional constraint for the boundary value of the normal derivative (cf. (4.2.6) above in dimension 1).

Lemma 4.2.5 Let $v$ be a smooth function on the closed domain $\bar{\Omega}$ harmonic inside the domain. Then the integral of the normal derivative of $v$ over the boundary $\partial \Omega$ vanishes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} d S=0 \tag{4.2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Applying the Green formula to the pair of functions $u \equiv 1$ and $v$ one obtains

$$
\int_{\Omega} \Delta v d V=\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} d S
$$

The left hand side of the equation vanishes since $\Delta v=0$ in $\Omega$.

Corollary 4.2.6 The Neumann problem (4.2.4) can have a solution only if the boundary function $g$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \Omega} g d S=0 . \tag{4.2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will now prove, for the particular case of a circle domain in the dimension $d=2$ that this necessary condition of solvability is also a sufficient one.

Theorem 4.2.7 For an arbitrary $\mathcal{C}^{1}$-smooth $2 \pi$-periodic function $g(\phi)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} g(\phi) d \phi=0 \tag{4.2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Neumann b.v.p. (4.2.11), (4.2.4) has a solution unique up to an additive constant. This solution can be represented by the following integral formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(r, \phi)=\frac{\rho}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \frac{\rho^{2}}{\rho^{2}-2 \rho r \cos (\phi-\psi)+r^{2}} g(\psi) d \psi \tag{4.2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Repeating the above arguments one arrives at the following expression for the solution $u=u(r, \phi)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=\frac{A_{0}}{2}+\sum_{n \geq 1} r^{n}\left(A_{n} \cos n \phi+B_{n} \sin n \phi\right) \\
& \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)_{r=\rho}=g(\phi) \tag{4.2.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us consider the Fourier series of the function $g(\phi)$

$$
g(\phi)=\frac{a_{0}}{2}+\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(a_{n} \cos n \phi+b_{n} \sin n \phi\right)
$$

Due to the constraint (4.2.28) the constant term vanishes:

$$
a_{0}=0
$$

Comparing this series with the boundary condition (4.2.30) we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(r, \phi)=\frac{A_{0}}{2}+\rho \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^{n}\left(a_{n} \cos n \phi+b_{n} \sin n \phi\right) \\
& a_{n}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \cos n \psi g(\psi) d \psi, \quad b_{n}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sin n \psi g(\psi) d \psi
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $A_{0}$ is an arbitrary constant. Combining the two last equations we arrive at the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(r, \phi)=\frac{\rho}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^{n} \cos n(\phi-\psi) g(\psi) d \psi \tag{4.2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to compute the sum of the trigonometric series in the last formula.

Lemma 4.2.8 Let $R$ and $\theta$ be two real numbers, $R<1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} R^{n} \cos n \theta=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{1-2 R \cos \theta+R^{2}} \tag{4.2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: The series under consideration can be represented as the real part of a complex series

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} R^{n} \cos n \theta=\operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} R^{n} e^{i n \theta}
$$

The latter can be written as follows:

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} R^{n} e^{i n \theta}=\int_{0}^{R} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{R} R^{n} e^{i n \theta} d R
$$

We can easily compute the sum of the geometric series with the denominator $R e^{i \theta}$. Integrating we obtain

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} R^{n} e^{i n \theta}=\int_{0}^{R} \frac{e^{i \theta}}{1-R e^{i \theta}} d R=-\log \left(1-R e^{i \theta}\right) .
$$

Hence

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} R^{n} \cos n \theta=\frac{1}{2}\left[\log \frac{1}{1-R e^{i \theta}}+\log \frac{1}{1-R e^{-i \theta}}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{1-2 R \cos \theta+R^{2}}
$$

Applying the formula of the Lemma to the series (4.2.31) we complete the proof of the Theorem.

### 4.3 Properties of harmonic functions: mean value theorem, the maximum principle

In this section we will establish, for the specific case of dimension $d=2$, the two fundamental properties of harmonic functions.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a domain. Recall that a point $x_{0} \in \Omega$ is called internal if there exists a ball of some radius $R>0$ with the centre at $x_{0}$ entirely belonging to $\Omega$. For an internal point $x_{0} \in \Omega$ denote

$$
S^{d-1}\left(x_{0}, R\right)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}| | x-x_{0} \mid=R\right\}
$$

a sphere of radius $R>0$ with the center at $x_{0}$. The radius is chosen small enough to guarantee that the sphere belongs to the domain $\Omega$. Denote $a_{d-1}$ the area ${ }^{7}$ of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. For any continuous function $f(x)$ on the sphere the mean value is defined by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{f}=\frac{1}{a_{d-1} R^{d-1}} \int_{S^{d-1}\left(x_{0}, R\right)} f(x) d S \tag{4.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the particular case of a constant function the mean value coincides with the value of the function.

[^6]Clearly the area of a sphere of radius $R$ is equal to $a_{d-1} R^{d-1}$.

For example, in dimension $d=1$ the "sphere" consists of two points $x_{0} \pm R$. The formula (4.3.1) for the area of the zero-dimensional sphere gives

$$
a_{0}=\frac{\pi^{1 / 2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}=2 .
$$

So the mean value of a function is just the arithmetic mean value of the two numbers $f\left(x_{0} \pm R\right)$ :

$$
\bar{f}=\frac{f\left(x_{0}+R\right)+f\left(x_{0}-R\right)}{2} .
$$

In the next case $d=2$ the sphere is just a circle of radius $R$ with the centre at $x_{0}$. The area (i.e., the length) element is $d S=R d \phi$. The restriction of $f$ to the circle is a $2 \pi$-periodic function $f(\phi)$. So the mean value on this circle is given by

$$
\bar{f}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(\phi) d \phi
$$

Theorem 4.3.1 Let $u=u(x)$ be a function harmonic in a domain $\Omega$. Then the mean value of $u$ over a small sphere centered at a point $x_{0} \in \Omega$ is equal to the value of the function at this point:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{a_{d-1} R^{d-1}} \int_{S^{d-1}\left(x_{0}, R\right)} u(x) d S . \tag{4.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof for $d=2$. Denote $f(\phi)$ the restriction of the harmonic function $u$ onto the small circle $\left|x-x_{0}\right|=R$. By definition the function $u(x)$ satisfies the Dirichlet b.v.p. inside the circle:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta u(x)=0, \quad\left|x-x_{0}\right|<R \\
& \left.u(x)\right|_{\left|x-x_{0}\right|=R}=f(\phi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As we already know from the proof of Theorem 4.2.4 the solution to this b.v.p. can be represented by the Fourier series

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(r, \phi)=\frac{a_{0}}{2}+\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{n}\left(a_{n} \cos n \phi+b_{n} \sin n \phi\right) \tag{4.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r:=\left|x-x_{0}\right|<R$ (cf. (4.2.25) above). In this formula $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ are the Fourier coefficients of the boundary function

$$
f(\phi)=\left.u(x)\right|_{\left|x-x_{0}\right|=R} .
$$

In particular

$$
\frac{a_{0}}{2}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(\phi) d \phi
$$

is the mean value of the function $u$ on the circle. On the other side the value of the function $u$ at the center of the circle can be evaluated substituting $r=0$ in the formula (4.3.4):

$$
u\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{a_{0}}{2} .
$$

Comparing the last two equations we arrive at (4.3.3).

Using the mean value theorem we will now prove another important property of harmonic functions, namely the maximum principle. Recall that a function $u(x)$ defined on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is said to have a local maximum at the point $x_{0}$ if the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x) \leq u\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{4.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds true for any $x \in \Omega$ sufficiently close to $x_{0}$. A local minimum is defined in a similar way.

Theorem 4.3.2 Let a function $u(x)$ be harmonic in a bounded connected domain $\Omega$ and continuous in a closed domain $\bar{\Omega}$. Denote

$$
M=\sup _{x \in \partial \Omega} u(x), \quad m=\inf _{x \in \partial \Omega} u(x) .
$$

Then

1) $m \leq u(x) \leq M$ for all $x \in \Omega$;
2) if $u(x)=M$ or $u(x)=m$ for some internal point $x \in \Omega$ then the function $u$ is constant.

Proof: It is based on the following Main Lemma.

Lemma 4.3.3 Let the harmonic function $u(x)$ have a local maximum/minimum at an internal point $x_{0} \in \Omega$. Then $u(x) \equiv u\left(x_{0}\right)$ on some neighborhood of the point $x_{0}$.

Proof: Let us consider the case of a local maximum. Choosing a sufficiently small sphere with the centre at $x_{0}$ we obtain, according to the mean value theorem, that

$$
u\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{a_{d-1} R^{d-1}} \int_{\left|x-x_{0}\right|=R} u(x) d S .
$$

We can assume the inequality (4.3.5) holds true for all $x$ on the sphere. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{a_{d-1} R^{d-1}} \int_{\left|x-x_{0}\right|=R} u(x) d S \leq \frac{1}{a_{d-1} R^{d-1}} \int_{\left|x-x_{0}\right|=R} u\left(x_{0}\right) d S=u\left(x_{0}\right) . \tag{4.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there exists a point $x$ sufficiently close to $x_{0}$ such that $u(x)<u\left(x_{0}\right)$ then also the inequality (4.3.6) is strict. Such a contradiction shows that the function $u(x)$ takes constant values on some ball with the centre at $x_{0}$. The case of a local minimum can be treated in a similar way.

Let us return to the proof of the Theorem. Denote

$$
M^{\prime}=\sup _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u(x)
$$

the maximum of the function $u$ continuous on the compact $\bar{\Omega}$. We want to prove that $M^{\prime} \leq M$. Indeed, if $M^{\prime}>M$ then there exists an internal point $x_{0} \in \Omega$ such that $u\left(x_{0}\right)=M^{\prime}$. Denote $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \Omega$ the set of points $x$ of the domain where the function $u$ takes the same value $M^{\prime}$. According to the Main Lemma this subset is open. Clearly it is also closed and nonempty. Hence $\Omega^{\prime}=\Omega$ since the domain is connect. In other words the function is constant everywhere
in $\Omega$. Because of continuity it takes the same value $M^{\prime}$ at the points of the boundary $\partial \Omega$. Hence $M^{\prime} \leq M$. The contradiction we arrived at shows that the value of a harmonic function at an internal point of the domain cannot be bigger than the value of this function on the boundary of the domain. Moreover if the harmonic function takes the value $M$ at an internal point then it is constant. In a similar way we prove that a non-constant harmonic function cannot have a minimum outside the boundary of the domain.

Corollary 4.3.4 Given two functions $u_{1}(x), u_{2}(x)$ harmonic in a bounded domain $\Omega$ and continuous in the closed domain $\bar{\Omega}$. If

$$
\left|u_{1}(x)-u_{2}(x)\right| \leq \epsilon \quad \text { for } \quad x \in \partial \Omega
$$

then

$$
\left|u_{1}(x)-u_{2}(x)\right| \leq \epsilon \quad \text { for any } \quad x \in \Omega
$$

Proof: Denote

$$
u(x)=u_{1}(x)-u_{2}(x)
$$

The function $u$ is harmonic in $\Omega$ and continuous in $\bar{\Omega}$. By assumption we have $-\epsilon \leq u(x) \leq \epsilon$ for any $x \in \partial \Omega$. So

$$
-\epsilon \leq \inf _{x \in \partial \Omega} u(x), \quad \sup _{x \in \partial \Omega} u(x) \leq \epsilon
$$

According to the maximum principle it must be also

$$
-\epsilon \leq \inf _{x \in \Omega} u(x), \quad \sup _{x \in \Omega} u(x) \leq \epsilon
$$

The Corollary implies that the solution to the Dirichlet boundary value problem, if exists, depends continuously on the boundary data.

### 4.4 Harmonic functions on the plane and complex analysis

Recall that a differentiable complex valued function $f(x, y)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y)$ on a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is called holomorphic if it satisfies the following system of Cauchy - Riemann equations

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}=0  \tag{4.4.1}\\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

or, in the complex form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}+i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}=0 \tag{4.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing complex combinations of the Euclidean coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z=x+i y \\
& \bar{z}=x-i y
\end{aligned}
$$

we will have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)  \tag{4.4.3}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+i \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

So the Cauchy - Riemann equations can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}=0 . \tag{4.4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example. Let $f(x, y)$ be a polynomial

$$
f(x, y)=\sum_{k, l} a_{k l} x^{k} y^{l} .
$$

It is a holomorphic function iff, after the substitution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\frac{z+\bar{z}}{2} \\
& y=\frac{z-\bar{z}}{2 i}
\end{aligned}
$$

there will be no dependence on $\bar{z}$ :

$$
\sum_{k, l} a_{k l}\left(\frac{z+\bar{z}}{2}\right)^{k}\left(\frac{z-\bar{z}}{2 i}\right)^{l}=\sum_{m} c_{m} z^{m}
$$

In that case the result will be a polynomial in $z$. For example a quadratic polynomial

$$
f(x, y)=a x^{2}+2 b x y+c y^{2}
$$

is holomorphic iff $a+c=0$ and $b=\frac{i}{2}(a-c)$.
More generally holomorphic functions are denoted $f=f(z)$. The partial derivative $\partial / \partial z$ of a holomorphic function is denoted $d f / d z$ or $f^{\prime}(z)$. One can also define antiholomorphic functions $f=f(\bar{z})$ satisfying equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}=0 . \tag{4.4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the complex conjugate $\overline{f(z)}$ to a holomorphic function is an antiholomorphic function.

From complex analysis it is known that any function $f$ holomorphic on a neighborhood of a point $z_{0}$ is also a complex analytic function, i.e., it can be represented as a sum of a power series

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n} \tag{4.4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

convergent uniformly and absolutely for sufficiently small $\left|z-z_{0}\right|$. In particular it is continuously differentiable any number of times. Its real and imaginary parts $u(x, y)$ and $v(x, y)$ are infinitely smooth functions of $x$ and $y$.

Theorem 4.4.1 The real and imaginary parts of a function holomorphic in a domain $\Omega$ are harmonic functions on the same domain.

Proof: Differentiating the first equation in (4.4.1) in $x$ and the second one in $y$ and adding we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}=0
$$

Similarly, differentiating the second equation in $x$ and subtracting the first one differentiated in $y$ gives

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}}=0
$$

Corollary 4.4.2 For any integer $n \geq 1$ the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} z^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Im} z^{n} \tag{4.4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

are polynomial solutions to the Laplace equation.

Polynomial solutions to the Laplace equation are called harmonic polynomials. We obtain a sequence of harmonic polynomials

$$
x, y, x^{2}-y^{2}, x y, x^{3}-3 x y^{2}, 3 x^{2} y-y^{3}, \ldots
$$

Observe that the harmonic polynomials of degree $n$ can be represented in the polar coordinates $r, \phi$ as

$$
\operatorname{Re} z^{n}=r^{n} \cos n \phi, \quad \operatorname{Im} z^{n}=r^{n} \sin n \phi
$$

These are exactly the same functions we used to solve the main boundary value problems for the circle.

Exercise 4.4.3 Prove that the Laplace operator

$$
\Delta=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}
$$

in the coordinates $z, \bar{z}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=4 \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} \tag{4.4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 4.4.4 Prove that any harmonic polynomial is a linear combination of the polynomials (4.4.7).

Using the representation (4.4.8) of the two-dimensional Laplace operator one can describe all complex valued solutions to the Laplace equation.

Theorem 4.4.5 Any complex valued solution $u$ to the Laplace equation $\Delta u=0$ on the plane can be represented as a sum of a holomorphic and an antiholomorphic function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y)=f(z)+g(\bar{z}) \tag{4.4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Let the $\mathcal{C}^{2}$-smooth function $u(x, y)$ satisfy the Laplace equation

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}=0
$$

Denote

$$
F=\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}
$$

The Laplace equation implies that this function is holomorphic, $F=F(z)$. From complex analysis it is known that any holomorphic function admits a holomorphic primitive,

$$
F(z)=f^{\prime}(z) .
$$

Consider the difference $g:=u-f$. It is an antiholomorphic function, $g=g(\bar{z})$. Indeed,

$$
\frac{\partial g}{\partial z}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}-f^{\prime}=0 .
$$

So $u=f(z)+g(\bar{z})$.
Corollary 4.4.6 Any harmonic function of two variables can be represented as the real part of a holomorphic function.

Notice that the imaginary part of a holomorphic function $f(z)$ is equal to the real part of the function $-i f(z)$ that is holomorphic as well.

Corollary 4.4.7 Any harmonic function of two variables is $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$-smooth.

Another important consequence of the complex representation (4.4.8) of the Laplace operator on the plane is invariance of the Laplace equation under conformal transformation. Recall that a smooth map

$$
f: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega^{\prime}
$$

is called conformal if it preserves the angles between smooth curves. Translations

$$
(x, y) \mapsto\left(x+x_{0}, y+y_{0}\right),
$$

dilatations

$$
(x, y) \mapsto(k x, k y)
$$

with $k \neq 0$, rotations by the angle $\phi$

$$
(x, y) \mapsto(x \cos \phi-y \sin \phi, x \sin \phi+y \sin \phi)
$$

and reflections

$$
(x, y) \mapsto(x,-y)
$$

are examples of linear conformal transformations. These examples and their superpositions exhaust the class of linear conformal maps. The general description of conformal maps on the plane are given by

Lemma 4.4.8 Let $f(z)$ be a function holomorphic in the domain $\Omega$ with never vanishing derivative:

$$
\frac{d f(z)}{d z} \neq 0 \quad \forall z \in \Omega
$$

Then the map

$$
z \mapsto f(z)
$$

of the domain $\Omega$ to $\Omega^{\prime}=f(\Omega)$ is conformal. Same for antiholomorphic functions. Conversely, if the smooth map $(x, y) \mapsto(u(x, y), v(x, y))$ is conformal then the function $f=u+i v$ is holomorphic or antiholomorphic with nonvanishing derivative.

Proof: Let us consider the differential of the map $(x, y) \mapsto(u(x, y), v(x, y))$ given by the real $u=\operatorname{Re} f$ and imaginary $v=\operatorname{Im} f$ parts of the holomorphic function $f$. It is a linear map defined by the Jacobi matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\partial u / \partial x & \partial u / \partial y \\
\partial v / \partial x & \partial v / \partial y
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\partial u / \partial x & -\partial v / \partial x \\
\partial v / \partial x & \partial u / \partial x
\end{array}\right)
$$

(we have used the Cauchy - Riemann equations). Since

$$
0 \neq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}=\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)^{2}
$$

we can introduce the numbers $r>0$ and $\phi$ by

$$
r=\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|, \quad \cos \phi=\frac{\partial u / \partial x}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)^{2}}}, \quad \sin \phi=\frac{\partial v / \partial x}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)^{2}}} .
$$

The Jacobi matrix then becomes a combination of the rotation by the angle $\phi$ and a dilatation with the coefficient $r$ :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\partial u / \partial x & \partial u / \partial y \\
\partial v / \partial x & \partial v / \partial y
\end{array}\right)=r\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\
\sin \phi & \cos \phi
\end{array}\right) .
$$

This is a linear conformal transformation preserving the angles. A similar computation works for an antiholomorphic map with nonvanishing derivatives $f^{\prime}(\bar{z}) \neq 0$.

Conversely, the Jacobi matrix of a conformal transformation must have the form

$$
r\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\
\sin \phi & \cos \phi
\end{array}\right)
$$

or

$$
r\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \phi & \sin \phi \\
\sin \phi & -\cos \phi
\end{array}\right)
$$

In the first case one obtains the differential of a holomorphic map while the second matrix corresponds to the antiholomorphic map.

We are ready to prove

Theorem 4.4.9 Let

$$
f: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega^{\prime}
$$

be a conformal map. Then the pull-back of any function harmonic in $\Omega^{\prime}$ will be harmonic in $\Omega$.

Proof: According to the Lemma the conformal map is given by a holomorphic or an antiholomorphic function. Let us consider the holomorphic case,

$$
z \mapsto w=f(z) .
$$

The transformation law of the Laplace operator under such a map is clear from the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}=\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial w \partial \bar{w}} \tag{4.4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus any function $U$ on $\Omega^{\prime}$ satisfying

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial w \partial \bar{w}}=0
$$

will also satisfy

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}=0
$$

The case of an antiholomorphic map can be considered in a similar way.

A conformal map

$$
f: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega^{\prime}
$$

is called conformal transformation if it is one-to-one. In that case the inverse map

$$
f^{-1}: \Omega^{\prime} \rightarrow \Omega
$$

exists and is also conformal. The following fundamental Riemann theorem is the central result of the theory of conformal transformations on the plane.

Theorem 4.4.10 For any connected and simply connected domain $\Omega$ on the plane not coinciding with the plane itself there exists a conformal transformation of $\Omega$ to the unit circle.

The Riemann theorem, together with conformal invariance of the Laplace equation gives a possibility to reduce the main boundary value problems for any connected simply connected domain to similar problems for the unit circle.

### 4.5 Exercises to Section 4

Exercise 4.5.1 Find a function $u(x, y)$ satisfying

$$
\Delta u=x^{2}-y^{2}
$$

for $r<a$ and the boundary condition $\left.u\right|_{r=a}=0$.

Exercise 4.5.2 Find a harmonic function on the annular domain

$$
a<r<b
$$

with the boundary conditions

$$
\left.u\right|_{r=a}=1, \quad\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)_{r=b}=\cos ^{2} \phi .
$$

Exercise 4.5.3 Find solution $u(x, y)$ to the Dirichlet b.v.p. in the rectangle

$$
0 \leq x \leq a, \quad 0 \leq y \leq b
$$

satisfying the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u(0, y)=A y(b-y), \quad u(a, y)=0 \\
u(x, 0)=B \sin \frac{\pi x}{a}, \quad u(x, b)=0
\end{array}
$$

Hint: use separation of variables in Euclidean coordinates.

## 5 Heat equation

### 5.1 Derivation of heat equation

The heat equation for the function $u=u(x, t), x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=a^{2} \Delta u \tag{5.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Delta$ is the Laplace operator in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. We will consider only the case of constant coefficients $a=$ const. For $d=3$ this equation describes the distribution of temperature in the homogeneous and isotropic media at the moment $t$.

The derivation of heat equation is based on the following assumptions.

1. The heat $Q$ necessary for changing from $u_{1}$ to $u_{2}$ the temperature of a piece of mass $m$ is proportional to the mass and to the difference of temperatures:

$$
Q=c_{p} m\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right) .
$$

The coefficient $c_{p}$ is called specific heat capacity.
2. The Fourier law describing the quantity of heat spreading through a surface $S$ during the time interval $\Delta t$. It says that this quantity $\Delta Q$ is proportional to the area $A(S)$ of the surface, to the time $\Delta t$ and to the derivative of the temperature $u$ along the normal $n$ to the surface:

$$
\Delta Q=-k A(S) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \Delta t
$$

Here the coefficient $k>0$ is called thermal conductivity. The negative sign means that the heat is spreading from hot to cold regions.

In order to derive the heat equation let us consider the heat balance within a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. The total change of heat in the domain during the time interval $\Delta t$ is

$$
\Delta Q=\int_{\Omega} c_{p} \rho[u(t+\Delta t, x)-u(t, x)] d V
$$

where $\rho$ is the mass density, such that the mass of the media contained in the volume is equal to

$$
m=\int_{\Omega} \rho d V
$$

In the case of a homogeneous media the mass density is constant, so the heat quantity is equal to

$$
\Delta Q \simeq c_{p} \rho \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \Delta t d V
$$

This change of heat must be equal, with the negative sign, to the one passing through the boundary $\partial \Omega$

$$
\Delta Q=\int_{\partial \Omega} k \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} d S \cdot \Delta t
$$

Using Green formula we can rewrite this heat flow in the form

$$
k \int_{\Omega} \Delta u d V \cdot \Delta t
$$

Dividing by $\Delta t$ in the limit $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ we arrive at the equation

$$
c_{p} \rho \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} d V=k \int_{\Omega} \Delta u d V
$$

Since the domain $\Omega$ is arbitrary this gives the heat equation with the coefficient

$$
a^{2}=\frac{k}{c_{p} \rho}
$$

(it is called thermal conductivity or thermal diffusivity).

### 5.2 Main boundary value problems for heat equation

The simplest boundary value problem is in finding a function $u(x, t)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=a^{2} \Delta u  \tag{5.2.1}\\
& u(x, 0)=\phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} .
\end{align*}
$$

This is the already familiar Cauchy problem. The physical meaning of this problem is clear: given the initial temperature distribution in the space to determine the temperature at any time $t>0$ at any point $x$ of the space.

Often we are interested in the temperature distribution only within the bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$. In this case one has to add to the Cauchy data within $\Omega$ also the information about
the temperature on the boundary $\partial \Omega$ or about the heat flux through the boundary. In this way we arrive at two main mixed problems in a bounded domain:

The first mixed problem: find a function $u(x, t)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=a^{2} \Delta u, \quad t>0, \quad x \in \Omega \\
& u(x, 0)=\phi(x), \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{5.2.2}\\
& u(x, t)=f(x, t), \quad t>0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega .
\end{align*}
$$

The second mixed problem is obtained from (5.2.2) by replacing the last condition by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right)_{x \in \partial \Omega}=g(x, t), \quad t>0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega \tag{5.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this equation $n$ is the unit external normal to the boundary.
In the particular case of the boundary data independent of time

$$
f=f(x) \quad \text { or } \quad g=g(x)
$$

one can look for a stationary solution $u$ satisfying

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=0 .
$$

In this case the first and the second mixed problem for the heat equation reduce respectively to the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary value problem for the Laplace equation in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

### 5.3 Fourier transform

Our next goal is to solve the one-dimensional Cauchy problem for heat equation on the line. To this end we will develop a continuous analogue of Fourier series.

Let $f(x)$ be an absolutely integrable complex valued function on the real line, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|f(x)| d x<\infty \tag{5.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 5.3.1 The function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}(p):=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-i p x} d x \tag{5.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the real variable $p$ is called the Fourier transform of $f(x)$.

Due to the condition (5.3.1) the integral converges absolutely and uniformly with respect to $p \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus the function $\hat{f}(p)$ is continuous in $p$.

Example. Let us compute the Fourier transform of the Gaussian function

$$
f(x)=e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}}
$$

We have

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}-i p x} d x=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x+i p)^{2}-\frac{p^{2}}{2}} d x
$$

We want to perform a change of variables

$$
s=x+i p
$$

To do this one can consider the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint_{C} e^{-\frac{z^{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{2} p^{2}} d z, \quad z=x+i y \tag{5.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

over the boundary $C$ of the rectangle on the complex $z$-plane

$$
-R \leq x \leq R, \quad 0 \leq y \leq p
$$

It is easy to see that the integrals over the vertical segments $x= \pm R, 0 \leq y \leq p$ in (5.3.3) tend to zero when $R \rightarrow \infty$. The total integral is equal to zero since the integrand is holomorphic on the entire complex plane. Hence

$$
\int_{-R}^{R} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}-\frac{1}{2} p^{2}} d x+\int_{R}^{-R} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x+i p)^{2}-\frac{p^{2}}{2}} d x \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad R \rightarrow \infty,
$$

so

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}-\frac{1}{2} p^{2}} d x=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x+i p)^{2}-\frac{p^{2}}{2}} d x .
$$

Using the Euler integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}} d x=\sqrt{2 \pi} \tag{5.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we finally obtain the Fourier transform of the Gaussian function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}(p)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{p^{2}}{2}} . \tag{5.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will now establish, under certain additional assumptions, validity of the inversion formula for the Fourier transform:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(p) e^{i p x} d p=f(x) \tag{5.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5.3.2 Let the absolutely integrable function $f(x)$ be differentiable at any point $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^{R} \hat{f}(p) e^{i p x} d p=f(x) \tag{5.3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Denote $I_{R}(x)$ the integral in the left hand side of (5.3.7). Using continuity and uniform convergence of the Fourier integral (5.3.2) we can apply Fubini theorem to this integral and
thus rewrite it as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{R}(x)=\int_{-R}^{R} \hat{f}(p) e^{i p x} d p=\int_{-R}^{R}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) e^{-i p y} d y\right) e^{i p x} d p \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y)\left(\int_{-R}^{R} e^{i p(x-y)} d p\right) d y=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) \frac{\sin R(x-y)}{x-y} d y \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x+s) \frac{\sin R s}{s} d s=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}[f(x+s)+f(x-s)] \frac{\sin R s}{s} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

We will now use the following Dirichlet integral:

Exercise 5.3.3 Prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin x}{x} d x=\frac{\pi}{2} \tag{5.3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this value we can rewrite the difference $I_{R}(x)-f(x)$ in the form

$$
I_{R}(x)-f(x)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f(x+s)-2 f(x)+f(x-s)}{s} \sin R s d s .
$$

Because of differentiability
$\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+s)-2 f(x)+f(x-s)}{s}=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+s)-f(x)}{s}+\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x-s)-f(x)}{s}=f^{\prime}(x)-f^{\prime}(x)=0$.
the integrand

$$
F(s ; x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{f(x+s)-2 f(x)+f(x-s)}{s}, & s \neq 0 \\
0, & s=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

is a continuous functions in $s$ depending on the parameter $x$. The proof of the inversion formula (5.3.7) will follow from the following Riemann-Lebesgue lemma.

Lemma 5.3.4 Let a continuous function $f(x)$ be absolutely integrable on $\mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{i \lambda x} d x=0
$$

Proof: Because of convergence of the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) d x$ the difference

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) d x-\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x
$$

tends to zero when $a \rightarrow-\infty b \rightarrow \infty$. So it suffices to prove the Lemma for the finite integral. Because of integrability of $f(x)$ there exists, for any given $\epsilon>0$, a partition of the interval

$$
a=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}=b
$$

such that

$$
0<\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x-\sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{j} \Delta x_{j}<\epsilon
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta x_{j}=x_{j}-x_{j-1} \\
m_{j}=\inf _{x \in\left[x_{j-1}, x_{j}\right]} f(x) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Introduce a step-like function

$$
g(x)=m_{j} \quad \text { for } \quad x \in\left[x_{j-1}, x_{j}\right], \quad j=1, \ldots, n
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{a}^{b} f(x) e^{i \lambda x} d x-\int_{a}^{b} g(x) e^{i \lambda x} d x\right| \leq \int_{a}^{b}|f(x)-g(x)|\left|e^{i \lambda x}\right| d x \\
& =\int_{a}^{b}[f(x)-g(x)] d x<\epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

But the integral

$$
\int_{a}^{b} g(x) e^{i \lambda x} d x=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i \lambda}\left(e^{i \lambda x_{j}}-e^{i \lambda x_{j-1}}\right) m_{j}
$$

tends to zero when $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

In order to complete the proof of the Theorem let us represent the last integral in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f(x+s)-2 f(x)+f(x-s)}{s} \sin R s d s=\int_{0}^{1} F(s ; x) \sin R s d s \\
& +\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{f(x+s)+f(x-s)}{s} \sin R s d s-2 f(x) \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin R s}{s} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

The first integral in the r.h.s. vanishes according to the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma. The same is true for the second integral. Finally the last integral by a change of integration variable $x=R s$ reduces to

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin R s}{s} d s=\int_{R}^{\infty} \frac{\sin x}{x} d x \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { for } \quad R \rightarrow \infty
$$

Exercise 5.3.5 Let $f(x)$ be an absolutely integrable piecewise continuous function of $x \in \mathbb{R}$ differentiable on every interval of continuity. Let us also assume that at every discontinuity point $x_{0}$ the left and right limits $f_{-}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $f_{+}\left(x_{0}\right)$ exists and, moreover, the left and right derivatives

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0-} \frac{f\left(x_{0}+s\right)-f_{-}\left(x_{0}\right)}{s} \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{s \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f\left(x_{0}+s\right)-f_{+}\left(x_{0}\right)}{s}
$$

exist as well. Prove the following modification of the inversion formula for the Fourier transform

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^{R} \hat{f}(p) e^{i p x} d p=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
f(x), & x \text { is a continuity point }  \tag{5.3.9}\\
\frac{f_{-}(x)+f_{+}(x)}{2}, & x \text { is a discontinuity point }
\end{array}\right.
$$

The main property of Fourier transform used for solving linear PDEs is given by the following formula:

Lemma 5.3.6 Let $f(x)$ be an absolutely integrable continuously differentiable function with absolutely integrable derivative $f^{\prime}(x)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^{\prime}(x) e^{-i p x} d x=i p \hat{f}(p) \tag{5.3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: From integrability of $f^{\prime}(x)$ it follows existence of limits

$$
f( \pm \infty):=\lim _{x \rightarrow \pm \infty} f(x)=f(0)+\lim _{x \rightarrow \pm \infty} \int_{0}^{x} f^{\prime}(y) d y
$$

Because of absolute integrability of $f$ the limiting values $f( \pm \infty)$ must be equal to zero. Integrating by parts

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^{\prime}(x) e^{-i p x} d x=\left(e^{-i p x} f(x)\right)_{-\infty}^{\infty}+i p \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^{\prime}(x) e^{-i p x} d x=i p \hat{f}(p)
$$

we arrive at the needed formula.

Denote $\mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow p}$ the map of the space of functions in $x$ variable to the space of functions in $p$ variable given by the Fourier transform:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow p}(f)=\hat{f}(p) . \tag{5.3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inverse Fourier transform will be denoted $\mathcal{F}_{p \rightarrow x}$. The property formulated in the above Lemma says that the operator of $x$-derivative transforms to the operator of multiplication by the independent variable, up to a factor $i$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow p}\left(\frac{d}{d x} f\right)=i p \mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow p}(f) . \tag{5.3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This property of the Fourier transform will be used in the next section for solving the Cauchy problem for heat equation.

A similar calculation gives the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow p}(x f)=i \frac{d}{d p} \mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow p}(f) \tag{5.3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid for functions $f=f(x)$ absolutely integrable together with $x f(x)$. We leave the proof of this formula as an exercise for the reader.

### 5.4 Solution to the Cauchy problem for heat equation on the line

Let us consider the one-dimensional Cauchy problem for the heat equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=a^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}, \quad t>0  \tag{5.4.1}\\
& u(x, 0)=\phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} .
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 5.4.1 Let the initial data $\phi(x)$ be absolutely integrable function on $\mathbb{R}$. Then the Cauchy problem (5.4.1) has a unique solution $u(x, t)$ absolutely integrable in $x \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $t>0$ represented by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x-y ; t) \phi(y) d y . \tag{5.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x ; t)=\frac{1}{2 a \sqrt{\pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 a^{2} t}} . \tag{5.4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral representation (5.4.2) of solutions to the Cauchy problem is called Poisson integral.

Proof: Denote

$$
\hat{u}(p, t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x, t) e^{-i p x} d x
$$

the Fourier-image of the unknown solution. According to Lemma 5.3.6 the function $\hat{u}(p, t)$ satisfies equation

$$
\frac{\partial \hat{u}(p, t)}{\partial t}=-a^{2} p^{2} \hat{u}(p, t)
$$

This equation can be easily solved

$$
\hat{u}(p, t)=\hat{u}(p, 0) e^{-a^{2} p^{2} t}
$$

Due to the initial condition we obtain

$$
\hat{u}(p, 0)=\hat{\phi}(p)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) e^{-i p x} d x
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{u}(p, t)=\hat{\phi}(p) e^{-a^{2} p^{2} t} \tag{5.4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to apply the inverse Fourier transform to this formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(x, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i x p} \hat{\phi}(p) e^{-a^{2} p^{2} t} d p=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(e^{i x p-a^{2} p^{2} t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i p y} \phi(y) d y\right) d p \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(y)\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i p(x-y)-a^{2} p^{2} t} d p\right) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

The integral in $p$ is nothing but the (inverse) Fourier transform of the Gaussian function. A calculation similar to the above one gives the value for this integral

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i p(x-y)-a^{2} p^{2} t} d p=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{a \sqrt{t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 a^{2} t}}
$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

Remark 5.4.2 The formula (5.4.2) can work also for not necessarily absolutely integrable functions. For example for the constant initial data $\phi(x) \equiv \phi_{0}$ we obtain $u(x, t) \equiv \phi_{0}$ due to the following integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 a \sqrt{\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 a^{2} t}} d y \equiv 1 \tag{5.4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will now use the Poisson integral (5.4.2) in order to prove an analogue of the maximum principle for solutions to the heat equation.

Theorem 5.4.3 The solution to the Cauchy problem represented by the Poisson integral (5.4.2) for all $t>0$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}} \phi(x) \leq u(x, t) \leq \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}} \phi(x) . \tag{5.4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if some of the inequalities becomes equality for some $t>0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ then $u(x, t) \equiv$ const.

Proof: The inequalities (5.4.6) easily follow from positivity of the Gaussian function and from the integral (5.4.5). Due to the same positivity the equality can have place only if $\phi(x)=$ const. But then also $u(x, t)=$ const.

Corollary 5.4.4 The solution to the Cauchy problem (5.4.1) for the heat equation depends continuously on the initial data.

Proof: Let $u_{1}(x, t), u_{2}(x, t)$ be two solutions to the heat equation with the initial data $\phi_{1}(x)$ and $\phi_{2}(x)$ respectively. If the initial data differ by $\epsilon$, i.e.

$$
\left|\phi_{1}(x)-\phi_{2}(x)\right| \leq \epsilon \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

then from the maximum principle applied to the solution $u(x, t)=u_{1}(x, t)-u_{2}(x, t)$ it follows that

$$
\left|u_{1}(x, t)-u_{2}(x, t)\right| \leq \epsilon
$$

### 5.5 Mixed boundary value problems for the heat equation

Let us begin with the periodic problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=a^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}, \quad t>0 \\
& u(x+2 \pi, t)=u(x, t), \quad t>0  \tag{5.5.1}\\
& u(x, 0)=\phi(x)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi(x)$ is a smooth $2 \pi$-periodic function.

Theorem 5.5.1 There exists a unique solution to the problem (5.5.1). It can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \Theta(x-y ; t) \phi(y) d y, \quad t>0 \tag{5.5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(x ; t)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-a^{2} n^{2} t+i n x} \tag{5.5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Let us expand the unknown periodic function $u(x, t)$ in the Fourier series:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(x, t)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{u}_{n}(t) e^{i n x} \\
& \hat{u}_{n}(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} u(x, t) e^{-i n x} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

The substitution to the heat equation yields

$$
\frac{\partial \hat{u}_{n}(t)}{\partial t}=-a^{2} n^{2} \hat{u}_{n}(t)
$$

so

$$
\hat{u}_{n}(t)=\hat{u}_{n}(0) e^{-a^{2} n^{2} t}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} .
$$

At $t=0$ one must meet the initial conditions, hence we arrive at the formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{u}_{n}(t)=\hat{\phi}_{n} e^{-a^{2} n^{2} t} \\
& \hat{\phi}_{n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \phi(y) e^{-i n y} d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the function $u(x, t)$ we obtain

$$
u(x, t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-a^{2} n^{2} t+i n(x-y)} \phi(y) d y .
$$

In order to complete the proof of the Theorem it suffices to show that the series (5.5.3) converges absolutely and uniformly for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $t>0$. This easily follows from convergence of the integral

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-a^{2} x^{2} t} d x<\infty \quad \text { for } \quad t>0
$$

In a similar way one can prove that the series (5.5.3) can be differentiated any number of times. The theorem is proved.

The function defined by the series (5.5.3) is called theta-function. It is expressed via the Jacobi theta-function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{3}(\phi \mid \tau)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i n^{2} \tau+2 \pi i n \phi} \tag{5.5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

by a change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(x ; t)=\theta_{3}(\phi \mid \tau), \quad \phi=\frac{1}{2 \pi} x, \quad \tau=i \frac{a^{2} t}{\pi} . \tag{5.5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The convergence of the series (5.5.4) for Jacobi theta function takes place for all complex values of $\tau$ provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} \tau>0 \tag{5.5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\Theta(x ; t)$ is periodic in $x$ with the period $2 \pi$ while the Jacobi theta-function is periodic in $\phi$ with the period 1. It satisfies many remarkable properties. Some of them will be now formulated as a series of exercises.

Exercise 5.5.2 Prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \Theta(x ; t) d x=2 \pi \tag{5.5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 5.5.3 Prove that the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-a^{2} n^{2} t+i n z} \tag{5.5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges for any complex number $z=x+$ iy uniformly on the strips $|\operatorname{Im} z| \leq M$ for any positive M. Derive that the theta-function (5.5.3) can be analytically continued to a function $\Theta(z ; t)$ holomorphic on the entire complex $z$-plane.

Exercise 5.5.4 Prove that the function $\Theta(z ; t)$ satisfies the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta\left(z+2 i a^{2} t ; t\right)=e^{-a^{2} t+i z} \Theta(z ; t) \tag{5.5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The complex number $2 i a^{2} t$ is called quasi-period of the theta-function.

Exercise 5.5.5 Prove that the theta-function has zeroes at the points

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k l}=\pi(2 k+1)+i a^{2} t(2 l+1), \quad k, l \in \mathbb{Z} . \tag{5.5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 5.5.6 Prove that the theta-function has no other zeroes on the complex plane. Derive that, in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(x ; t)>0 \quad \text { for } \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{5.5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hint: Compute the integral

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{C} \frac{d \Theta(z ; t)}{\Theta(z, t)}
$$

over the oriented boundary of the rectangle

$$
C=\left\{0 \leq x \leq 2 \pi, 0 \leq y \leq 2 a^{2} t\right\}
$$

on the complex $z$-plane, $z=x+i y$.
Another proof of positivity of the theta-function follows from the following Poisson summation formula that is of course of interest on its own.

Lemma 5.5.7 Let $f(x)$ be a continuously differentiable absolutely integrable function satisfying the inequalities

$$
|f(x)|<C(1+|x|)^{-1-\epsilon}, \quad|\hat{f}(p)|<C(1+|p|)^{-1-\epsilon}
$$

for some positive $\epsilon$. Here $\hat{f}(p)$ is the Fourier transform of $f(x)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(2 \pi n)=\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(m) . \tag{5.5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: We will actually prove a somewhat more general formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x+2 \pi n)=\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(m) e^{i m x} . \tag{5.5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the function in the left hand side is $2 \pi$-periodic in $x$, it suffices to check that the Fourier coefficients $c_{m}$ of this function coincide with $\hat{f}(m)$. Indeed, the $m$-th Fourier coefficient of the left hand side is equal to

$$
c_{m}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x+2 \pi n)\right) e^{-i m x} d x
$$

Due to absolute and uniform (in $x$ ) convergence of the series

$$
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x+2 \pi n)
$$

one interchange the order of summation and integration to arrive at

$$
c_{m}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(x+2 \pi n) e^{-i m x} d x
$$

Doing a shift in the $n$-the integral

$$
y=x+2 \pi n
$$

one rewrites the sum as follows:

$$
c_{m}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2 \pi n}^{2 \pi(n+1)} f(y) e^{-i m y-2 \pi i m n} d y=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) e^{-i m y} d y=\hat{f}(m)
$$

since $e^{-2 \pi i m n}=1$.
Using the Poisson summation formula we can prove the following remarkable identity for the theta-function.

Proposition 5.5.8 The theta-function (5.5.1) satisfies the following identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(x ; t)=\frac{1}{a} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\frac{(x+2 \pi n)^{2}}{4 a^{2} t}} . \tag{5.5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: It can be obtained by applying the Poisson summation formula to the function

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{a} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 a^{2} t}}, \quad \hat{f}(p)=e^{-a^{2} p^{2} t} .
$$

Remark 5.5.9 The formula (5.5.14) is the clue to derivation of the transformation law for the Jacobi theta-function under modular transformations

$$
\tau \mapsto \frac{a \tau+b}{c \tau+d}, \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad a d-b c=1
$$

Let us now consider the first mixed problem for heat equation on the interval $[0, l]$ with zero boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=a^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq l, \quad t>0 \\
& u(0, t)=u(l, t)=0  \tag{5.5.15}\\
& u(x, 0)=\phi(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq l
\end{align*}
$$

Like in Section 3.6 above, let us extend the initial data $\phi(x)$ to the real line as an odd $2 l$ periodic function. We leave as an exercise for the reader to check that the solution to this periodic Cauchy problem will remain an odd periodic function for all times and, hence, it will vanish at the points $x=0$ and $x=l$. In this way one arrives at the following

Theorem 5.5.10 The mixed b.v.p. (5.5.15) has a unique solution for an arbitrary smooth function $\phi(x)$. It can be represented by the following integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=\frac{1}{l} \int_{0}^{l} \tilde{\Theta}(x, y ; t) \phi(y) d y \tag{5.5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Theta}(x, y ; t)=2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-a^{2} n^{2} t} \sin \frac{\pi n x}{l} \sin \frac{\pi n y}{l} . \tag{5.5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.6 More general boundary conditions for the heat equation. Solution to the inhomogeneous heat equation

In the previous section the simplest b.v.p. for the heat equation has been considered. We will now address the more general problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=a^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}, \quad t>0, \quad 0<x<l  \tag{5.6.1}\\
& u(0, t)=f_{0}(t), \quad u(l, t)=f_{1}(t), \quad t>0 \\
& u(x, 0)=\phi(x), \quad 0<x<l .
\end{align*}
$$

The following simple procedure reduces the above problem to the b.v.p. with zero boundary condition for the inhomogeneous heat equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=a^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}+F(x, t), \quad t>0, \quad 0<x<l  \tag{5.6.2}\\
& v(0, t)=v(l, t)=0, \quad t>0 \\
& v(x, 0)=\Phi(x), \quad 0<x<l
\end{align*}
$$

where the functions $F(x, t), \Phi(x)$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(x, t)=-\left[\frac{d f_{0}(t)}{d t}+\frac{x}{l}\left(\frac{d f_{1}(t)}{d t}-\frac{d f_{0}(t)}{d t}\right)\right] \\
& \Phi(x)=\phi(x)-\left[f_{0}(0)+\frac{x}{l}\left(f_{1}(0)-f_{0}(0)\right)\right] . \tag{5.6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Indeed, it suffices to do the following substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=v(x, t)+\left[f_{0}(t)+\frac{x}{l}\left(f_{1}(t)-f_{0}(t)\right)\right] \tag{5.6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

observing that the expression in the square brackets is annihilated by the operator $\partial^{2} / \partial x^{2}$. Moreover, the function in the square brackets takes the needed values $f_{0}(t)$ and $f_{1}(t)$ at the endpoints of the interval.

In the more general case of multidimensional heat equation with non-vanishing boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=a^{2} \Delta u, \quad t>0, \quad x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}  \tag{5.6.5}\\
& \left.u(x, t)\right|_{x \in \partial \Omega}=f(x, t), \quad t>0 \\
& u(x, 0)=\phi(x), \quad x \in \Omega
\end{align*}
$$

the procedure is similar to the above one. Namely, denote $u_{0}(x, t)$ the solution to the Dirichlet boundary value problem for the Laplace equation in $x$ depending on $t$ as on the parameter:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta u_{0}=0, \quad x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}  \tag{5.6.6}\\
& \left.u_{0}(x, t)\right|_{x \in \partial \Omega}=f(x, t) .
\end{align*}
$$

We already know that the solution to the Dirichlet boundary value problem is unique and depends continuously on the boundary conditions. Therefore the solution $u_{0}(x, t)$ is a continuous function on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. One can also prove that this functions is smooth, if the boundary data $f(x, t)$ are so. Then the substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=v(x, t)+u_{0}(x, t) \tag{5.6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

reduces the mixed b.v.p. (5.6.6) to the one with zero boundary conditions

$$
\left.v(x, t)\right|_{x \in \partial \Omega}=0, \quad t>0
$$

with the modified initial data

$$
v(x, 0)=\phi(x)-u_{0}(x, 0), \quad x \in \Omega
$$

but the heat equation becomes inhomogeneous one:

$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=a^{2} \Delta v+F(x, t), \quad F(x, t)=-\frac{\partial u_{0}(x, t)}{\partial t}, \quad x \in \Omega
$$

We will now explain a simple method for solving the inhomogeneous heat equation. For the sake of simplicity let us consider in details the case of one spatial variable. Moreover we will concentrate on the infinite line case. So the problem under consideration is in finding a function $u(x, t)$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=a^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+f(x, t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t>0  \tag{5.6.8}\\
& u(x, 0)=\phi(x)
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 5.6.1 The solution to the inhomogeneous problem (5.6.8) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} d \tau \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x-y ; t-\tau) f(y, \tau) d y+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x-y ; t) \phi(y) d y \tag{5.6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $G(x ; t)$ was defined in (5.4.3).
Proof: As we already know from Theorem 5.4.1 the second term

$$
u_{2}(x, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x-y ; t) \phi(y) d y
$$

in (5.6.9) solves the homogeneous heat equation and satisfies initial condition

$$
u_{2}(x, 0)=\phi(x) .
$$

The first term

$$
u_{1}(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} d \tau \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x-y ; t-\tau) f(y, \tau) d y
$$

clearly vanishes at $t=0$. Let us prove that it satisfies the inhomogeneous heat equation

$$
\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t}=a^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial x^{2}}+f(x, t)
$$

Denote

$$
v(x, t ; \tau)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x-y ; t-\tau) f(y, \tau) d y
$$

Like in the Theorem 5.4.1 we derive that this is a solution to the homogeneous heat equation in $x, t$ depending on the parameter $\tau$. This solution is defined for $t \geq \tau$; for $t=\tau$ it satisfies the initial condition

$$
v(x, \tau ; \tau)=f(x, \tau)
$$

Applying the heat operator to the function

$$
u_{1}(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} v(x, t ; \tau) d \tau
$$

one obtains

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-a^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\right) u_{1}(x, t)=v(x, t ; t)+\int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-a^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\right) v(x, t ; \tau) d \tau=v(x, t ; t)=f(x, t)
$$

### 5.7 Exercises to Section 5

Exercise 5.7.1 Let the function $f(x)$ belong to the class $\mathcal{C}^{k}(\mathbb{R})$ and, moreover, all the functions $f(x), f^{\prime}(x), \ldots, f^{(k)}(x)$ be absolutely integrable on $\mathbb{R}$. Prove that then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}(p)=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{p^{k}}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad|p| \rightarrow \infty \tag{5.7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 5.7.2 Let $\hat{f}(p)$ be the Fourier transform of the function $f(x)$. Prove that $e^{i a p} \hat{f}(p)$ is the Fourier transform of the shifted function $f(x+a)$.

Exercise 5.7.3 Find Fourier transforms of the following functions.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
f(x)=\Pi_{A}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{1}{2 A}, & |x|<A \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right. \\
f(x)=\Pi_{A}(x) \cos \omega x \\
f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{A}\left(1-\frac{|x|}{A}\right), & |x|<A \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right. \\
f(x)=\cos a x^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad f(x)=\sin a x^{2} \quad(a>0)
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{lll}
-\quad \text { and } \quad f(x)=|x|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-a x} & (a>0)
\end{array}
$$

Exercise 5.7.4 Find the function $f(x)$ if its Fourier transform is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}(p)=e^{-k|p|}, \quad k>0 \tag{5.7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 5.7.5 Let $u=u(x, y)$ be a solution to the Laplace equation on the half-plane $y \geq 0$ satisfying the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta u(x, y)=0, \quad y>0 \\
& u(x, 0)=\phi(x) \\
& u(x, y) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad y \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { for every } \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{5.7.8}
\end{align*}
$$

1) Prove that the Fourier transform of $u$ in the variable $x$

$$
\hat{u}(p, y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x, y) e^{-i p x} d x
$$

has the form

$$
\hat{u}(p, y)=\hat{\phi}(p) e^{-y|p|}
$$

Here $\hat{\phi}(p)$ is the Fourier transform of the boundary function $\phi(x)$.
2) Derive the following formula for the solution to the b.v.p. (5.7.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{y}{(x-s)^{2}+y^{2}} \phi(s) d s \tag{5.7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6 Introduction to nonlinear PDEs

### 6.1 Method of characteristics for the first order quasilinear equations

Let us recall (see Section 2.5 above) the procedure of construction of the general solution for the first order linear homogeneous equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_{i}(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} . \tag{6.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and below $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$. One has to consider the system of equations for the characteristics of (6.1.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}_{i}=a_{i}(x, t), \quad i=1, \ldots, d \\
& \dot{t}=-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $t$ as the parameter along the characteristics one can recast the above system into the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x_{i}}{d t}+a_{i}(x, t)=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, d \tag{6.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any solution to the system (6.1.1) is a function $u=u(x, t)$ constant along the characteristics. Recall that such functions are called first integrals of the system of ODEs (6.1.2).

In order to construct the general solution to (6.1.1) one has to find $d$ independent first integrals, i.e., $d$ particular solutions $u_{1}(x, t), \ldots, u_{d}(x, t)$ to the PDE (6.1.1) satisfying the condition

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial u_{1} / \partial x_{1} & \ldots & \partial u_{1} / \partial x_{d}  \tag{6.1.3}\\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\partial u_{d} / \partial x_{1} & \ldots & \partial u_{d} / \partial x_{d}
\end{array}\right) \neq 0
$$

at a given point $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}$. Then the general solution to the $\operatorname{PDE}$ (6.1.1) near this point can be written as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=U\left(u_{1}(x, t), \ldots, u_{d}(x, t)\right) \tag{6.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d}\right)$ is an arbitrary smooth function of $d$ variables. Indeed, the following simple statement holds true.

Proposition 6.1.1 Let $u(x, t)$ be a solution to the Cauchy problem for the equation (6.1.1) defined in a neighborhood of the point $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ and satisfying the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(x, t_{0}\right)=\phi(x), \quad\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\rho \tag{6.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a smooth function $\phi(x)$ defined on the ball $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\rho$ for some positive $\rho$. Then there exists a smooth function $U\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d}\right)$ on some neighborhood of the point

$$
u^{0}:=\left(u_{1}^{0}, \ldots, u_{d}^{0}\right)=\left(u_{1}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right), \ldots, u_{d}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}
$$

such that the solution $u(x, t)$ can be represented in the form (6.1.4) for $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\rho_{1}$ for some positive $\rho_{1} \leq \rho$.

Proof: Applying the theorem about the inverse mapping to the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}=u_{1}\left(x, t_{0}\right) \\
& \ldots \quad \quad \ldots \quad \ldots \\
& u_{d}=u_{d}\left(x, t_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

one obtains smooth functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=x_{1}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d}\right) \\
& \ldots \quad \ldots \quad \ldots \quad \ldots \\
& x_{d}=x_{d}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

defined on some neighborhood of the point $u^{0}$ and uniquely determined by the conditions

$$
x_{i}\left(u_{1}^{0}, \ldots, u_{d}^{0}\right)=x_{i}^{0}, \quad i=1, \ldots, d .
$$

This can be done due to the assumption (6.1.3). We put

$$
U\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d}\right):=\phi\left(x_{1}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d}\right), \ldots, x_{d}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d}\right)\right) .
$$

Such a function gives the needed representation of the solution $u(x, t)$.
Let us now consider a quasilinear equation, not necessarily homogeneous. By definition such an equation has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_{i}(u, x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}+b(u, x, t) \tag{6.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the coefficients $a_{1}(u, x, t), \ldots, a_{d}(u, x, t), b(u, x, t)$ being smooth functions on some neighborhood of a point $\left(u_{0}, x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}$. The following trick reduces the problem (6.1.6) to the previous one. Let us look for solutions to (6.1.6) written in the implicit form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u, x, t)=0 \tag{6.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(u, x, t)$ is a smooth function defined on some neighborhood of the point ( $u_{0}, x_{0}, t_{0}$ ) satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{u}\left(u_{0}, x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \neq 0 \tag{6.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the implicit function theorem, the assumption (6.1.8) implies existence and uniqueness of a smooth function $u(x, t)$ defined on some neighborhood of the point $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}$ and satisfying $u\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)=u_{0}$. Let us derive the condition for the function $f$ that guarantees that $u(x, t)$ satisfies (6.1.6). According to the implicit function theorem the partial derivatives of the function $u(x, t)$ determined by (6.1.7) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=-\frac{f_{t}(u, x, t)}{f_{u}(u, x, t)}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}=-\frac{f_{x_{i}}(u, x, t)}{f_{u}(u, x, t)}, \quad i=1, \ldots, d . \tag{6.1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The substitution to (6.1.6) yields a linear homogeneous PDE for the function $f$ of $d+2$ variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_{i}(u, x, t) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}-b(u, x, t) \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} . \tag{6.1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution $f(u, x, t)$ to this PDE with the initial data chosen in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(u, x, t_{0}\right)=u-\phi(x) \tag{6.1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

give a solution to the original PDE (6.1.6) specified by the initial data

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(x, t_{0}\right)=\phi(x), \quad\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\rho \tag{6.1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive $\rho$. Note that the function $\phi$ must satisfy $\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=u_{0}$. The PDE (6.1.10) can be solved by the method of characteristics. The characteristics in the $(d+2)$-dimensional space with the coordinates $u, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}, t$ can be determined from the following system of ODEs

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial t}+a_{i}(u, x, t)=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, d  \tag{6.1.13}\\
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=b(u, x, t)
\end{align*}
$$

Like above, one has to find $(d+1)$ independent first integrals, i.e., $(d+1)$ particular solutions $f_{0}(u, x, t), \ldots, f_{d}(u, x, t)$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\partial f_{0} / \partial u & \partial f_{0} / \partial x_{1} & \ldots & \partial f_{0} / \partial x_{d}  \tag{6.1.14}\\
\partial f_{1} / \partial u & \partial f_{1} / \partial x_{1} & \ldots & \partial f_{1} / \partial x_{d} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\partial f_{d} / \partial u & \partial f_{d} / \partial x_{1} & \ldots & \partial f_{d} / \partial x_{d}
\end{array}\right) \neq 0
$$

at the given point ( $u_{0}, x_{0}, t_{0}$ ). The general solution to the PDE (6.1.10) can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u, x, t)=F\left(f_{0}(u, x, t), f_{1}(u, x, t), \ldots, f_{d}(u, x, t)\right) . \tag{6.1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The smooth function $F$ of $(d+1)$ variables has to be determined from the Cauchy data (6.1.11)

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(f_{0}\left(u, x, t_{0}\right), \ldots, f_{d}\left(u, x, t_{0}\right)\right)=u-\phi(x) . \tag{6.1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

As above we establish local existence and uniqueness of such a solution. We leave the details of the proof as an exercise for the reader.

Let us consider in more details the case of quasilinear homogeneous equations in one spatial dimension with coefficients independent from $x$ and $t$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=a(u) u_{x} . \tag{6.1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations for the characteristics become very simple in this particular case:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d x}{d t}+a(u)=0  \tag{6.1.18}\\
& \frac{d u}{d t}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

The solutions are straight lines

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\text { const }, \quad x+a(u) t=\text { const } . \tag{6.1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the general solution $u=u(x, t)$ can be written in the implicit form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+a(u)\left(t-t_{0}\right)=f(u) . \tag{6.1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $f(u)$ has to be determined from the initial condition

$$
u\left(x, t_{0}\right)=\phi(x) .
$$

This gives

$$
x=f(\phi(x)) .
$$

The solution to the last equation exists if the initial function $\phi(x)$ is monotonous near the point $x=x_{0}$. Then the function $f$ coincides with the inverse function $\phi^{-1}$.

Example. In the proof of the Cauchy-Kovalevskaya theorem we arrived at the following Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{t}=\frac{M n}{1-\frac{n}{r} v} v_{x} \\
& v(x, 0)=\frac{M x}{\rho-x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(see (1.2.26) above). The general solution to the PDE in the implicit form reads

$$
x+\frac{M n}{1-\frac{n}{r} v} t=f(v)
$$

for an arbitrary function $f(v)$ to be determined by the initial data. To do this one has to solve the equation

$$
v=\frac{M x}{\rho-x}
$$

for $x$. This gives

$$
x=\frac{\rho v}{M+v}=: f(v) .
$$

Thus the solution to the above Cauchy problem has to be determined from the algebraic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+\frac{M n}{1-\frac{n}{r} v} t=\frac{\rho v}{M+v} . \tag{6.1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This coincides with (1.2.27).
For the particular case $a(u)=c=$ const the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}+a(u) u_{x}=0 \tag{6.1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

describes propagation of waves with constant speed $c$. The characteristics in this case are just parallel lines

$$
x=c t+x_{0} .
$$

We will now concentrate our attention at the simplest example of a nonlinear PDE of the above form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{t}+v v_{x}=0 \tag{6.1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

called Hopf equation. This equation can be used as the simplest example of equations describing motion of an ideal incompressible fluid. The fluid can be considered as a system of an infinite number of particles distributed with some density $\rho$ that in the incompressible case will be assumed to be constant. The particles can be "labeled" in two different ways. In the Lagrange parameterization one can label the particles by their positions $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ at a certain initial moment of time. The motion then will be described by a pair of functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=x(\xi, t)  \tag{6.1.24}\\
& v=v(\xi, t)
\end{align*}
$$

where $x(\xi, t)$ and $v(\xi, t)$ are the coordinate and the velocity of the particle with the "number $\xi "$ at the moment $t$. By definition we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial x(\xi, t)}{\partial t}=v(\xi, t) \tag{6.1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the Euler parameterization we just follow the motion of the particle passing through the point $x$ at the moment $t$. Any physical quantity $f$ assigned to every particle (e.g., the temperature ${ }^{8}$ of the particle) will be characterized by a function $f=f(x, t)$.

Proposition 6.1.2 If the quantity $f$ is conserved, i.e., it depends only on the initial position of the particles, $f=f(\xi)$, then the function $f(x, t)$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f(x, t)}{\partial t}+v(x, t) \frac{\partial f(x, t)}{\partial x}=0 . \tag{6.1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: By using the chain rule along with (6.1.25) we obtain

$$
0=\frac{d}{d t} f(\xi)=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} .
$$

Exercise 6.1.3 In the three-dimensional case of a fluid moving with the velocity $v=\left(v_{x}, v_{y}, v_{z}\right)$ derive a similar equation for dependence of a conserved quantity $f=f(x, y, z ; t)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}+v_{x} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}+v_{y} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}+v_{z} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}=0 . \tag{6.1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider the free motion of an ideal incompressible fluid. In this case no external forces act on the particles of the fluid. Because of this the momentum of every particle is conserved. From the Proposition 6.1.2 one immediately obtains

[^7]Corollary 6.1.4 For the free motion of an ideal incompressible fluid the velocity $v(x, t)$ satisfies equation (6.1.23).

According to the general procedure the Cauchy problem for the equation (6.1.23) with the initial data

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, 0)=\phi(x) \tag{6.1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for small time $t$ can be written in the implicit form

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=v t+f(v)  \tag{6.1.29}\\
& f(\phi(x))=x
\end{align*}
$$

on every interval of monotonicity of the initial data $\phi(x)$. Let us try to figure out what can happen when the time is not that small.

The solution $v=v(x, t)$ to the equation (6.1.29) exists provided the conditions of the implicit function theorem hold true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t+f^{\prime}(v) \neq 0 \tag{6.1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this moment the function $v t+f(v)$ is not monotone any more, so the equation (6.1.29) cannot be solved for $v$. Let us assume for simplicity that the initial data is a globally monotone decreasing function. Then the inverse function $f(v)$ will be monotone decreasing as well. Denote $t_{0}$ the first moment of time for which the function $v t+f(v)$ becomes not a monotone function at some point $v_{0}$. It is clear that $v_{0}$ must be an inflection point of the graph

$$
x=v t+f(v)
$$

i.e., at this point one must have

$$
f^{\prime \prime}\left(v_{0}\right)=0
$$

In this way we arrive at the considering the following "bad points" $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ where the implicit function theorem does not work any more. The coordinates of these points can be determined from the following system

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{0}=v_{0} t_{0}+f\left(v_{0}\right) \\
& t_{0}+f^{\prime}\left(v_{0}\right)=0  \tag{6.1.31}\\
& f^{\prime \prime}\left(v_{0}\right)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Such a point $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ is called the point of gradient catastrophe. The solution to the Cauchy problem (6.1.28) exists for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ only for $t<t_{0}$; the derivatives $u_{x}$ and $u_{t}$ become infinite at the point of gradient catastrophe.

### 6.2 Higher order perturbations of the first order quasilinear equations. Solution of the Burgers equation

As we have seen in the previous section the life span of a typical solution to the equations of motion of an ideal incompressible fluid is finite: the solution does not exist beyond the point of gradient catastrophe. Such a phenomenon suggests that the real physical process can be
only approximately described by the equation (6.1.23). Near the point of catastrophe higher corrections have to be taken into account.

We will consider two main classes of such perturbations of Hopf equation: Burgers equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{t}+v v_{x}=\nu v_{x x} \tag{6.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Korteweg - de Vries (KdV) equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{t}+v v_{x}+\epsilon^{2} v_{x x x}=0 . \tag{6.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The small parameters $\nu$ and $\epsilon$ will be assumed to be positive. The Burgers equation arises in the description of one-dimensional waves in the presence of small dissipative effects; the small parameter $\nu$ is called the viscosity coefficient. The Korteweg - de Vries (KdV) equation describes one-dimensional waves with no dissipation but in the presence of small dispersion. It turns out that in both cases the perturbation, whatever small it be, resolves the problem with non-existence of solutions to the Cauchy problem for large time. However we will see that the properties of solutions to the equations (6.2.1) and (6.2.2) are rather different.

Let us first explain in what sense the equation (6.2.1) has to be considered as a dissipative equation but there is no dissipation in (6.2.2). First observe that both equations have a family of constant solutions

$$
v=c .
$$

We will now apply the general linearization procedure in order to study small perturbations of constant solutions. The idea is to look for the perturbed solutions in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, t)=c+\delta v(x, t) \tag{6.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The perturbation as well as its derivatives are assumed to be small, so we will neglect the terms quadratic in $\delta v$ etc.. In such a way we arrive at the linearized Burgers equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta v_{t}+c \delta v_{x}=\nu \delta v_{x x} \tag{6.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the linearized KdV equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta v_{t}+c \delta v_{x}+\epsilon^{2} \delta v_{x x x}=0 \tag{6.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us look for the plane wave solutions to these equations:

$$
\delta v=a e^{i k x-i \omega t}
$$

The substitution to (6.2.4) and (6.2.7) yields the dispersion relation between the wave number $k$ and the frequency $\omega$. Namely, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=c k-i \nu k^{2} \tag{6.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for Burgers equation and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=c k-\epsilon^{2} k^{3} \tag{6.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the KdV equation. We conclude that the small perturbations of the constant solutions to the Burgers equation exponentially decay at $t \rightarrow+\infty$

$$
\delta v=a e^{i k(x-c t)-\nu k^{2} t}, \quad|\delta v|=|a| e^{-\nu k^{2} t} \rightarrow 0
$$

while for the KdV equation the magnitude of small perturbations remains unchanged:

$$
\delta v=a e^{i k(x-c t)+i \epsilon^{2} k^{3} t}, \quad|\delta v| \equiv|a| .
$$

We postpone the explanation of the dispersive nature of the KdV equation till Section 6.4. We will now concentrate our attention on the solutions to Burgers equation. We will first prove global solvability for (6.2.1) for a suitable class of initial data.

Theorem 6.2.1 The solution to the Cauchy problem

$$
v(x, 0)=\phi(x)
$$

for the Burgers equation (6.2.1) exists and is unique for all $t>0$. It can be represented in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, t)=-2 \nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log \left\{\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi \nu t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 \nu t}-\frac{1}{2 \nu} \int_{0}^{y} \phi\left(y^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime}\right] d y\right\} \tag{6.2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: The central step in the derivation of the formula (6.2.8) is in the following
Lemma 6.2.2 (Cole - Hopf transformation). The substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=-2 \nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log u \tag{6.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

transforms the Burgers equation (6.2.1) to the heat equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\nu u_{x x} . \tag{6.2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{t}=2 \nu \frac{u_{t} u_{x}-u u_{x t}}{u^{2}} \\
v_{x}=2 \nu \frac{u_{x}^{2}-u u_{x x}}{u^{2}} \\
v_{x x}=2 \nu \frac{3 u u_{x} u_{x x}-u^{2} u_{x x x}-2 u_{x}^{3}}{u^{3}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

After substitution into the Burgers equation and division by $(-2 \nu)$ we arrive at

$$
0=\frac{u\left(u_{t}-\nu u_{x x}\right)_{x}-u_{x}\left(u_{t}-\nu u_{x x}\right)}{u^{2}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{u_{t}-\nu u_{x x}}{u} .
$$

So, if $u=u(x, t)$ satisfies heat equation (6.2.10) then the function $v$ given by (6.2.9) satisfies Burgers equation.

We can now complete the proof of the Theorem. The solution to the heat equation (6.2.10) with the initial data $u(x, 0)=\psi(x)$ can be represented by the Poisson integral

$$
u(x, t)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi \nu t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 \nu t}} \psi(y) d y .
$$

According to (6.2.9) the initial data for the Burgers and heat equations must be related by

$$
\phi(x)=-2 \nu[\log \psi(x)]_{x} .
$$

Integrating ${ }^{9}$ one obtains

$$
\psi(x)=e^{-\frac{1}{2 \nu} \int_{0}^{x} \phi\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime}}
$$

Hence

$$
u(x, t)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi \nu t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 \nu t}-\frac{1}{2 \nu} \int_{0}^{y} \phi\left(y^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime}} d y .
$$

Applying the transformation (6.2.9) one arrives at the formula (6.2.8).

Example. Let us consider the solution to the Burgers equation (6.2.1) with the step-like initial data

$$
\phi(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1, & x<0  \tag{6.2.11}\\
-1, & x>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Integrating one obtains the initial data for the heat equation The Poisson integral gives the solution in the form

$$
\psi(x)=e^{\frac{|x|}{2 \nu}}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(x, t)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi \nu t}}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 \nu t}-\frac{y}{2 \nu}} d y+\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 \nu t}+\frac{y}{2 \nu}} d y\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi \nu t}}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-\frac{(y-x+t)^{2}}{4 \nu t}+\frac{t-2 x}{4 \nu}} d y+\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(y-x-t)^{2}}{4 \nu t}+\frac{t+2 x}{4 \nu}} d y\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left[e^{\frac{t-2 x}{4 \nu}} \int_{\frac{x-t}{2 \sqrt{\nu t}}}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2}} d s+e^{\frac{t+2 x}{4 \nu}} \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{x+t}{2 \sqrt{\nu t}}} e^{-s^{2}} d s\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left\{e^{\frac{t-2 x}{4 \nu}}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2}} d s-\int_{0}^{\frac{x-t}{2 \sqrt{\nu t}}} e^{-s^{2}} d s\right]+e^{\frac{t+2 x}{4 \nu}}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-s^{2}} d s+\int_{0}^{\frac{x+t}{2 \sqrt{\nu t}}} e^{-s^{2}} d s\right]\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{t}{4 \nu}}\left(e^{\frac{x}{2 \nu}}+e^{-\frac{x}{2 \nu}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{t}{4 \nu}}\left[e^{\frac{x}{2 \nu}} \operatorname{Erf}\left(\frac{x+t}{2 \sqrt{\nu t}}\right)-e^{-\frac{x}{2 \nu}} \operatorname{Erf}\left(\frac{x-t}{2 \sqrt{\nu t}}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{Erf}(x)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{x} e^{-s^{2}} d s
$$

is the error function.

[^8]

Fig. 7. Graph of the error function

Observe that the error function takes values very close to $\pm 1$ away from the interval ( $-2,2$ ); near the origin it is well approximated by the linear function with the slope

$$
\operatorname{Erf}^{\prime}(0)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \simeq 1.128
$$

Substitution to the formula (6.2.8) gives, after simple computations, the solution to the Burgers equation with the step-like initial data

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, t)=-\frac{\sinh \frac{x}{2 \nu}+\frac{1}{2}\left[e^{\frac{x}{2 \nu}} \operatorname{Erf} \frac{x+t}{2 \sqrt{\nu t}}+e^{-\frac{x}{2 \nu}} \operatorname{Erf} \frac{x-t}{2 \sqrt{\nu t}}\right]}{\cosh \frac{x}{2 \nu}+\frac{1}{2}\left[e^{\frac{x}{2 \nu}} \operatorname{Erf} \frac{x+t}{2 \sqrt{\nu t}}-e^{-\frac{x}{2 \nu}} \operatorname{Erf} \frac{x-t}{2 \sqrt{\nu t}}\right]} \tag{6.2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $t \rightarrow+0$ the arguments of the Erf functions tend to $\pm \infty$ for $x>0$ or $x<0$ respectively. So for positive $x$ the numerator and the denominator both tend to $\sinh \frac{x}{2 \nu}+\cosh \frac{x}{2 \nu}$, thus the function $v(x, t)$ tends to -1 . For negative $x$ the numerator tends to $\sinh \frac{x}{2 \nu}-\cosh \frac{x}{2 \nu}$, and the denominator tends to $\cosh \frac{x}{2 \nu}-\sinh \frac{x}{2 \nu}$, thus $v(x, t) \rightarrow+1$.

It is also easy to describe the large time asymptotics of the solution (6.2.12). Indeed, for $t \rightarrow+\infty$ one has

$$
\frac{x+t}{2 \sqrt{\nu t}} \rightarrow+\infty, \quad \frac{x-t}{2 \sqrt{\nu t}} \rightarrow-\infty
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} v(x, t)=-\tanh \frac{x}{2 \nu} . \tag{6.2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that for small $\nu$ the limiting curve is very close to the original step-like profile.


Fig. 8. Solution to the Burgers equation with $\nu=1$ with the step-like initial data (6.2.11)
From Fig. 8 it is clear that, for small time the solution $v(x, t)$ departs rapidly from the initial data but then the deviation becomes more slow. The next picture suggests that the smaller is the viscosity $\nu$ the closer to the initial step-like data remains the solution.


Fig. 9. Solution to the Burgers equation with $\nu=0.2$ with the step-like initial data (6.2.11)

Exercise 6.2.3 Prove that the solution (6.2.12) for any $x, t$ (with $t>0$ ) for $\nu \rightarrow 0$ tends to the step-like function (6.2.11).

One can prove that, more generally speaking a generic solution to the Burgers equation in the limit of small viscosity $\nu \rightarrow 0$ tends to a discontinuous function within a certain region of the $(x, t)$-half-plane. In fluid dynamics such discontinuities can be interpreted as shock waves. The proof of this statement will not be given in the lectures. Our nearest goal is to study the behaviour of generic solutions to the Burgers equation for $\nu \rightarrow 0$. In the next section we will introduce a necessary analytic tool for such a study.

### 6.3 Asymptotics of Laplace integrals. Stationary phase asymptotic formula

In this section we will derive an important asymptotic formula for calculation of the Laplace integrals of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\epsilon)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) e^{-\frac{S(x)}{\epsilon}} d x \tag{6.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with smooth functions $f(x), S(x)$ depending on a small positive parameter $\epsilon$. The basic idea is that, for $\epsilon \rightarrow+0$ the main contribution to the integral comes from the minima of the phase function $S(x)$. More precise statements are contained in the following propositions.

Lemma 6.3.1 Denote $m=\inf _{x \in(a, b)} S(x)$. Suppose that the integral (6.3.1) converges absolutely for some $\epsilon_{0}>0$. Then it converges absolutely also for any positive $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_{0}$ and the following estimate holds true

$$
\begin{equation*}
|I(\epsilon)| \leq A e^{-\frac{m}{\epsilon}} \tag{6.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some real constant $A$.

Proof: Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |I(\epsilon)|=\left|\int_{a}^{b} f(x) e^{-\frac{S(x)}{\epsilon}} d x\right|=\left|\int_{a}^{b} f(x) e^{-\frac{S(x)}{\epsilon_{0}}} e^{-\left(\frac{S(x)}{\epsilon}-\frac{S(x)}{\epsilon_{0}}\right)} d x\right| \\
& \leq e^{-m\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}-\frac{1}{\epsilon_{0}}\right)} \int_{a}^{b}|f(x)| e^{-\frac{S(x)}{\epsilon_{0}}} d x=A e^{-\frac{m}{\epsilon}}, \\
& A=e^{\frac{m}{\epsilon_{0}}} \int_{a}^{b}|f(x)| e^{-\frac{S(x)}{\epsilon_{0}}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

The next statement gives a rough estimate of the contribution of a minimum of the phase function.

Lemma 6.3.2 Let the smooth function $S(x)$ attain a minimum $m=\inf _{x \in(a, b)} S(x)$ at an point $x_{0} \in[a, b]$, and the smooth function $f(x)$ satisfies $f\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Assume that the integral (6.3.1) converges for some $\epsilon_{0}>0$. Then for every $\delta>0$ and every sufficiently small neighborhood $U_{x_{0}} \subset[a, b]$ one has the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{U_{x_{0}}} f(x) e^{-\frac{S(x)}{\epsilon}} d x\right| \geq B e^{-\frac{S\left(x_{0}\right)+\delta}{\epsilon}} \tag{6.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $B$ valid for any positive $\epsilon<\epsilon_{0}$.
Proof: For a given $\delta>0$ take any neighborhood $U_{x_{0}}$ of $x_{0}$ such that

$$
m=S\left(x_{0}\right) \leq S(x) \leq S\left(x_{0}\right)+\delta \quad \text { and } \quad|f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|f\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \quad \text { for } \quad x \in U_{x_{0}}
$$

Then we have

$$
\left|\int_{U_{x_{0}}} f(x) e^{-\frac{S(x)}{\epsilon}} d x\right|=\int_{U_{x_{0}}}|f(x)| e^{-\frac{S(x)}{\epsilon}} d x \geq \int_{U_{x_{0}}} \frac{1}{2}\left|f\left(x_{0}\right)\right| e^{-\frac{S(x)}{\epsilon}} d x \geq B e^{-\frac{S\left(x_{0}\right)+\delta}{\epsilon}}
$$

Lemma 6.3.3 (Localization principle) Let the integral (6.3.1) converges for some $\epsilon_{0}>0$. Let the function $S(x)$ have a unique point $x_{0} \in[a, b]$ of absolute minimum. Assume that $f\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) e^{-\frac{S(x)}{\epsilon}} d x=\int_{U_{x_{0}}} f(x) e^{-\frac{S(x)}{\epsilon}} d x\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^{n}\right)\right) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \tag{6.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an arbitrary small neighborhood $U_{x_{0}}$ of the point $x_{0}$.
Proof: As it follows from the second lemma for any $\delta>0$ and a sufficiently small neighborhood of the point $x_{0}$ one has an estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{U_{x_{0}}} f(x) e^{-\frac{S(x)}{\epsilon}} d x\right|>B e^{-\frac{S\left(x_{0}\right)+\delta}{\epsilon}} \tag{6.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $B$. At the same time from the first lemma we derive the following estimate for the integral over the complement

$$
\left|\int_{\left[a, b \backslash \backslash U_{x_{0}}\right.} f(x) e^{-\frac{S(x)}{\epsilon}} d x\right| \leq A e^{-\frac{\mu}{\epsilon}}, \quad \mu=\inf _{x \in[a, b] \backslash U_{x_{0}}} S(x)>S\left(x_{0}\right) .
$$

Hence the inequality (6.3.5) holds true for an arbitrary small neighborhood $U_{x_{0}}$ of $x_{0}$. Representing

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) e^{-\frac{S(x)}{\epsilon}} d x=\int_{U_{x_{0}}} f(x) e^{-\frac{S(x)}{\epsilon}} d x+\int_{[a, b] \backslash U_{x_{0}}} f(x) e^{-\frac{S(x)}{\epsilon}} d x
$$

one arrives at the proof of (6.3.4).

Proposition 6.3.4 Let $S^{\prime}(x)>0$ for all $x \in[a, b]$ and $f(a) \neq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) e^{-\frac{S(x)}{\epsilon}} d x=\epsilon \frac{f(a)}{S^{\prime}(a)} e^{-\frac{S(a)}{\epsilon}}(1+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)) . \tag{6.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Doing a change of the integration variable

$$
y=S(x)
$$

one arrives at the integral

$$
I(\epsilon)=\int_{S(a)}^{S(b)} F(y) e^{-\frac{y}{\epsilon}} d y, \quad F(y)=\frac{f(x)}{S^{\prime}(x)} .
$$

Integration twice by parts yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I(\epsilon)=-\epsilon F(y) e^{-\frac{y}{\epsilon}}\left|\begin{array}{l}
S(b) \\
S(a)
\end{array} \epsilon^{2} F^{\prime}(y) e^{-\frac{y}{\epsilon}}\right| \begin{array}{l}
S(b) \\
S(a)
\end{array}+\epsilon^{2} \int_{S(a)}^{S(b)} F^{\prime \prime}(y) e^{-\frac{y}{\epsilon}} d y \\
& =\epsilon\left[F(a)+\epsilon F^{\prime}(a)-\left(F(b)+\epsilon F^{\prime}(b)\right) e^{-\frac{S(b)-S(a)}{\epsilon}}\right] e^{-\frac{S(a)}{\epsilon}}+\epsilon^{2} \int_{S(a)}^{S(b)} F^{\prime \prime}(y) e^{-\frac{y}{\epsilon}} d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

The term $e^{-\frac{S(b)-S(a)}{\epsilon}}$ is exponentially small for $\epsilon \rightarrow+0$. The last integral can be estimated as

$$
\left|\epsilon^{2} \int_{S(a)}^{S(b)} F^{\prime \prime}(y) e^{-\frac{y}{\epsilon}} d y\right|<A e^{-\frac{S(a)}{\epsilon}}
$$

This completes the proof of the proposition.
Theorem 6.3.5 (Laplace formula) Let the smooth function $S(x)$ have a unique nondegenerate minimum at an internal point $x_{0} \in(a, b)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\epsilon)=\sqrt{\frac{2 \pi \epsilon}{S^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}} f\left(x_{0}\right) e^{-\frac{S\left(x_{0}\right)}{\epsilon}}(1+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)) \tag{6.3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: According to the localization principle one can reduce to the integration over a small neighborhood $\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right]$ of the point $x_{0} \in\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$,

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) e^{-\frac{S(x)}{\epsilon}} d x=\int_{a_{1}}^{b_{1}} f(x) e^{-\frac{S(x)}{\epsilon}} d x(1+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon))
$$

Choosing the neighborhood sufficiently small we can assume that

$$
S(x)>S\left(x_{0}\right), \quad S^{\prime}(x) \neq 0, \quad x \in\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right], \quad x \neq x_{0} .
$$

On such an interval the change of variable

$$
y=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\sqrt{S(x)-S\left(x_{0}\right)}, & x>x_{0} \\
0, & x=0 \\
-\sqrt{S(x)-S\left(x_{0}\right)}, & x<x_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

is smooth and smoothly invertible. We arrive at the integral

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a_{1}}^{b_{1}} f(x) e^{-\frac{S(x)}{\epsilon}} d x=e^{-\frac{S\left(x_{0}\right)}{\epsilon}} \int_{A_{1}}^{B_{1}} F(y) e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{\epsilon}} d y, \\
& A_{1}=-\sqrt{S\left(a_{1}\right)-S\left(x_{0}\right)}, \quad B_{1}=\sqrt{S\left(b_{1}\right)-S\left(x_{0}\right)} \\
& F(y)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{2 f(x) \sqrt{S(x)-S\left(x_{0}\right)}}{S^{\prime}(x)}, & y>0 \\
f\left(x_{0}\right) \sqrt{\frac{2}{S^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}}, & y=0 \\
-\frac{2 f(x) \sqrt{S(x)-S\left(x_{0}\right)}}{S^{\prime}(x)}, & y<0 .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The last step in the proof is given by the following
Lemma 6.3.6 Let the function $F(y)$ be smooth on the segment $\left[A_{1}, B_{1}\right] \ni 0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A_{1}}^{B_{1}} F(y) e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{\epsilon}} d y=\sqrt{\pi \epsilon} F(0)(1+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)) \tag{6.3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Due to the localization principle one may assume the interval $\left[A_{1}, B_{1}\right]$ to be sufficiently small in such a way that the function $F(y)$ admits a representation

$$
F(y)=F(0)+y F^{\prime}(0)+r(y), \quad|r(y)|<C y^{2}, \quad y \in\left[A_{1}, B_{1}\right]
$$

for some positive constant $C$. So

$$
\int_{A_{1}}^{B_{1}} F(y) e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{\epsilon}} d y=F(0) \int_{A_{1}}^{B_{1}} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{\epsilon}} d y+F^{\prime}(0) \int_{A_{1}}^{B_{1}} y e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{\epsilon}} d y+\int_{A_{1}}^{B_{1}} r(y) e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{\epsilon}} d y
$$

The first and the second integral can be replaced by

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{\epsilon}} d y=\sqrt{\pi \epsilon} \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{\epsilon}} d y=0
$$

respectively since the contribution of the tails

$$
\int_{A_{1}}^{\infty} \text { and } \int_{-\infty}^{B_{1}}
$$

is exponentially small. The last integral can be estimated by
$\left|\int_{A_{1}}^{B_{1}} r(y) e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{\epsilon}} d y\right| \leq \int_{A_{1}}^{B_{1}}|r(y)| e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{\epsilon}} d y<C \int_{A_{1}}^{B_{1}} y^{2} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{\epsilon}} d y<C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^{2} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{\epsilon}} d y=C \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \epsilon^{3 / 2}$.
This proves the Lemma and completes the proof of the Theorem.
We leave as an exercise to generalize the Laplace formula (6.3.7) to the case of infinite intervals.

Let us apply the Laplace formula to the study of small viscosity solutions to the Burgers equation (6.2.1). According to the previous Section the solution is proportional to the logarithmic derivative of the function

$$
u(x, t)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi \nu t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{S(y ; x, t)}{\nu}} d y
$$

where the phase function $S(y ; x, t)$ depending on the parameters $x, t$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(y ; x, t)=\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{y} \phi\left(y^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime} \tag{6.3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\phi(x)$ is the initial data for the Burgers equation.

Theorem 6.3.7 Let $\phi(x)$ be a monotone increasing smooth function. Then the solution $v(x, t)$ to the Cauchy problem to the Burgers equation with the initial data $\phi(x)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|v(x, t)-w(x, t)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { for } \quad \nu \rightarrow 0 \tag{6.3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on compact subsets of the half-plane $(x, t)$. Here $w=w(x, t)$ is the solution to the Hopf equation with the same initial data:

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{t}+w w_{x}=0  \tag{6.3.11}\\
& w(x, 0)=\phi(x) .
\end{align*}
$$

The same asymptotics (6.3.10) holds true for monotone decreasing initial data for the times before the time $t_{0}$ of gradient catastrophe for the solution to the Hopf equation (6.3.11) provided that the derivative $\phi^{\prime}(x)$ of the initial function is bounded on the real line.

Proof: The stationary point $y=y(x, t)$ of the phase function is determined from the equation

$$
S_{y}(y ; x, t)=\frac{y-x}{2 t}+\frac{1}{2} \phi(y)=0
$$

equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=y+t \phi(y) \tag{6.3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $t=0$ the solution is unique, $y(x, 0)=x$. For a monotone increasing function $\phi$ the solution remains a unique one also for all $t>0$ since the $y$-derivative of the equation (6.3.12) remains positive for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. This stationary point is a nondegenerate minimum. Indeed, the second derivative at the stationary point is always positive

$$
S_{y y}(y(x, t) ; x, t)=\frac{1+t \phi^{\prime}(y)}{2 t}>0 .
$$

Applying the Laplace formula one obtains

$$
u(x, t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t \phi^{\prime}(y)}} e^{-\frac{S(y(x, t) ; x, t)}{\nu}}(1+\mathcal{O}(\nu)) .
$$

Taking the logarithmic derivative yields

$$
v(x, t)=-2 \nu \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t}=2 S_{x}(y(x, t) ; x, t)+\mathcal{O}(\nu)=\phi(y(x, t))+\mathcal{O}(\nu) .
$$

It remains to observe that the function $w=\phi(y(x, t))$ satisfies the implicit function equation

$$
x=f(w)+t w
$$

where, as above, the function $f$ is inverse to $\phi$. Thus $w=w(x, t)$ coincides with the solution to the Cauchy problem (6.3.11).

For the case of monotone decreasing initial function $\phi(x)$ with bounded derivative $\phi^{\prime}(x)$ all above arguments remain valid for small times, $t<t_{0}$, where $t_{0}$ is the time of the gradient catastrophe for the solution to the Cauchy problem (6.3.11).

At the end of this Section let us give, without proof, the complex version of the Laplace formula. This is the so-called stationary phase formula for the asymptotics of the integrals with complex phase function

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\epsilon)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) e^{\frac{i S(x)}{\epsilon}} d x . \tag{6.3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Like in the case of Laplace integrals the localization principle says that the main contribution to the asymptotics comes from the stationary points of the phase function $S(x)$ and from the boundary of the integration segment. However, differently from the Laplace method, the stationary phase asymptotics involve contributions from all stationary points of the phase function, not only from the minima. More precisely,

Proposition 6.3.8 Let $f(x), S(x)$ be $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ functions, such that $f(x)$ vanishes at the boundary of the segment $[a, b]$ with all derivatives, and $S^{\prime}(x) \neq 0 \forall x \in[a, b]$. Then

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) e^{\frac{i S(x)}{\epsilon}} d x=\mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^{n}\right) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}
$$

Proposition 6.3.9 Let $f(x), S(x)$ be $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ functions, such that $f(x)$ vanishes at the boundary of the segment $[a, b]$ with all derivatives, and $S(x)$ has a unique nondegenerate stationary point $x_{0} \in(a, b)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) e^{\frac{i S(x)}{\epsilon}} d x=\sqrt{\frac{2 \pi \epsilon}{\left|S^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|}} e^{\frac{i S\left(x_{0}\right)}{\epsilon}+\frac{i \pi}{4} \operatorname{sign} S^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(f\left(x_{0}\right)+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\right) . \tag{6.3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The crucial step in the derivation of the stationary phase formula is in the computation of the following integral.

Exercise 6.3.10 Prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} e^{\frac{i x^{2}}{\epsilon}} d x=\sqrt{\pi \epsilon} e^{\frac{i \pi}{4}}(1+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)) \tag{6.3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.4 Dispersive waves. Solitons for KdV

We are now in a position to explain the effect of dispersion in the theory of linear waves. Let us assume that a linear PDE admits plane wave solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, t)=a e^{i(k x-\omega(k) t)} \tag{6.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any real $k$. Moreover we assume that the dispersion law

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\omega(k) \tag{6.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a real valued function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{\prime \prime}(k) \neq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad k \neq 0 \tag{6.4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

These assumptions hold true, e.g., for the linearized KdV equation (6.2.7) where

$$
\omega(k)=c k-\epsilon^{2} k^{3}
$$

Another example is given by the Klein-Gordon equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{t t}-v_{x x}+m^{2} v=0 \tag{6.4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case the dispersion relation splits into two branches

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(k)= \pm \sqrt{k^{2}+m^{2}} \tag{6.4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the linear wave equation

$$
v_{t t}=a^{2} v_{x x}
$$

the dispersion relation reads

$$
\omega(k)= \pm a k
$$

The condition (6.4.3) does not hold.
More general solutions can be written as linear superpositions of the plane wave

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, t)=\int_{K} a(k) e^{i(k x-\omega(k) t)} d k \tag{6.4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integration is taken over a domain in the space of wave numbers. Here $a(k)$ is the complex amplitude of the $k$-th wave. Let us describe the asymptotic behaviour of the solution (6.4.6) for large $x$ and $t$. More precisely the question is: what will see the observer moving with a constant speed $c$ for sufficiently large time? The answer is given by the following

Lemma 6.4.1 Let us assume that the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\omega^{\prime}(k) \tag{6.4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a unique root $k=k_{0}$ belonging to $K$. Then for $t \rightarrow \infty$ the solution (6.4.6) restricted onto the line

$$
x=c t+x_{0}
$$

behaves as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, t)=\sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{t\left|\omega^{\prime \prime}\left(k_{0}\right)\right|}} a\left(k_{0}\right) e^{i t\left[c k_{0}-\omega\left(k_{0}\right)\right]-\frac{i \pi}{4} \operatorname{sign} \omega^{\prime \prime}\left(k_{0}\right)+i k_{0} x_{0}}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right) \tag{6.4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: It follows immediately from the stationary phase formula (6.3.14).

Let us apply the result of the Lemma to the case of wave-trains, i.e., solutions of the form (6.4.6) obtained by integration over a small neighborhood of a point $k_{*}$. In this case the remote observer will be able to detect a nonzero value of the wave only if

$$
\frac{x}{t} \simeq \omega^{\prime}\left(k_{*}\right) .
$$

We conclude that, from the point of view of the remote observer the wave-train with the wave number $k_{*}$ propagates with the velocity $\omega^{\prime}\left(k_{*}\right)$. For this reason the number $\omega^{\prime}\left(k_{*}\right)$ is called the group velocity of the wave-train.

In short we can say that the velocity of propagation of dispersive waves depends on the wave number.

The linearized KdV equation is an example of a dispersive system. Indeed, the group velocity is equal to

$$
\omega^{\prime}(k)=c-3 \epsilon^{2} k^{2} .
$$

That means that the rapidly oscillating (i.e., $|k| \gg 1$ ) small perturbations propagate from right to left. At the same time, as we know from the analysis of Hopf equation, the slow varying solutions with positive magnitude propagate from left to right.

The full mathematical theory of solutions to the KdV equation is too complicated to present it here. Here we will present only a small output of this theory describing an important class of particular solutions to the KdV equation. They are created by a balance between the nonlinear and dispersive effects. The idea is to look for solutions in the form of simple waves

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, t)=V\left(\frac{x-c t}{\epsilon}\right) . \tag{6.4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution to (6.2.2) yields an ODE for the function $V=V(X)$

$$
-c V^{\prime}+V V^{\prime}+V^{\prime \prime \prime}=0
$$

Integrating one obtains a second order ODE

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{2} V^{2}-c V=a \tag{6.4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is an integration constant. This equation can be interpreted as the Newton law for the motion of a particle in the field of a cubic potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{\partial P(V)}{\partial V}, \quad P(V)=\frac{1}{6} V^{3}-\frac{c}{2} V^{2}-a V . \tag{6.4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

One should expect to apply the law of conservation of energy to integration of this equation. Indeed, after multiplication of (6.4.10) by $V^{\prime}$ one can integrate once more to arrive at a first order equation

$$
\frac{1}{2} V^{\prime 2}+\frac{1}{6} V^{3}-\frac{c}{2} V^{2}-a V=b
$$

where $b$ is another integration constant (the total energy of the system (6.4.11)). The last equation can be integrated by quadratures

$$
\begin{equation*}
X-X_{0}=\int \frac{d V}{\sqrt{2\left(-\frac{1}{6} V^{3}+\frac{c}{2} V^{2}+a V+b\right)}} \tag{6.4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For general values of the constants $a, b, c$ the solution (6.4.12) can be expressed via elliptic functions. We will now determine the values of these parameters that allow a reduction to elementary functions. This can happen when the cubic polynomial under the square root has a multiple root. Moreover we will assume that this double root is at $V=0$. To meet such a requirement one must have

$$
a=b=0 .
$$

We arrive at computation of the integral

$$
X-X_{0}=\int \frac{d V}{V \sqrt{c-\frac{1}{3} V}}=-\frac{2}{\sqrt{c}} \tanh ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{c-\frac{V}{3}}}{\sqrt{c}}
$$

Inverting one obtains

$$
V=3 c\left(1-\tanh ^{2} \frac{\sqrt{c}\left(X-X_{0}\right)}{2}\right)=\frac{3 c}{\cosh ^{2} \frac{\sqrt{c}\left(X-X_{0}\right)}{2}}
$$

We arrive at the following family of solutions to the KdV equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, t)=\frac{3 k^{2}}{\cosh ^{2} \frac{k\left(x-x_{0}\right)-k^{3} t}{2 \epsilon}} \tag{6.4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we put $k=\sqrt{c}$.


Fig. 10. Soliton solutions to the KdV equation with $t=0, k=1$ for various values of $\epsilon$

### 6.5 Exercises to Section 6

Exercise 6.5.1 Derive the following formula for the solution to the Cauchy problem

$$
\delta v(x, 0)=\phi(x)
$$

for the linearized Burgers equation (6.2.4):

$$
\delta v(x, t)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi \nu t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-y-c t)^{2}}{4 \nu t}} \phi(y) d y
$$

Exercise 6.5.2 Obtain the following representation for solutions to the linearized KdV equation (6.2.7) with the initial data $\delta v(x, 0)=\phi(x)$ rapidly decreasing at $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
\delta v(x, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A\left(x-y-c t, \epsilon^{2} t\right) \phi(y) d y
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x, t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\left(k x+k^{3} t\right)} d k \tag{6.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral (6.5.1) can be expressed via Airy function

$$
A(x, t)=\frac{1}{(3 t)^{1 / 3}} A i\left(\frac{x}{(3 t)^{1 / 3}}\right)
$$

defined by the integral

$$
A i(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\left(s x+\frac{s^{3}}{3}\right)} d s
$$



Fig. 11. Graph of Airy function

Exercise 6.5.3 Derive the following Stirling formula for the asymptotics of gamma function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(x+1)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x} e^{-t} d t=\sqrt{2 \pi x}\left(\frac{x}{e}\right)^{x}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right), \quad x \rightarrow+\infty \tag{6.5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hint: after the substitution $t=x s$ the integral rewrites as follows

$$
\Gamma(x+1)=x^{x+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x(s-\log s)} d s
$$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The function $\phi(x, y)$, resp. $\psi(x, y)$, is a first integral for the ODE $(2.6 .5)$, resp. $(2.6 .6)$, that is, it takes constant values along the integral curves of this differential equation.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ It suffices to take the functions of the $\mathcal{C}{ }^{2}$ class.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ This means that the length $s$ of the segment of the string between $x=x_{1}$ and $x=x_{2}$ is equal to

    $$
    s=\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} d s(x),
    $$

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ In physics literature the number $-\omega$ is called frequency.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ The Stone - Weierstrass theorem is a very general result about uniform approximation of continuous functions on a compact $K$ in a metric space. Let us recall this important theorem. Let $A \subset \mathcal{C}(K)$ be a subset of functions in the space of continuous real- or complex-valued functions on a compact $K$. The following requirements must hold true.

    1. A must be a subalgebra in $\mathcal{C}(K)$, i.e. for $f, g \in A, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ (or $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ ) the linear combination and the product belong to $A$ :

    $$
    \alpha f+\beta g \in A, \quad f \cdot g \in A .
    $$

    2. The functions in $A$ must separate points in $K$, i.e., $\forall x, y \in K, x \neq y$ there exists $f \in A$ such that

    $$
    f(x) \neq f(y)
    $$

    3. The subalgebra is non-degenerate, i.e., $\forall x \in K$ there exists $f \in A$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$.

    The last condition has to be imposed in the complex situation.
    4. The subalgebra $A$ is said to be self-adjoint if for any function $f \in A$ the complex conjugate function $\bar{f}$ also belongs to $A$.

    Theorem 3.5.11 Given an algebra of functions $A \subset \mathcal{C}(K)$ that separates points, is non-degenerate and, for complex-valued functions, is self-adjoint then $A$ is an everywhere dense subset in $\mathcal{C}(K)$.

    Recall that density means that for any continuous function $F \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ and an arbitrary $\epsilon>0$ there exists $f \in A$ such that

    $$
    \sup _{x \in K}|F(x)-f(x)|<\epsilon .
    $$

    In the particular case of algebra of polynomials one obtains the classical Weierstrass theorem about polynomial approximations of continuous functions on a finite interval. For the needs of the theory of Fourier series one has to apply the Stone - Weierstrass theorem to the subalgebra of Fourier polynomials in the space of continuous $2 \pi$-periodic functions. We leave as an exercise to verify applicability of the Stone - Weierstrass theorem in this case.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ Notice a change in the normalization of the $L_{2}$ norm.

[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ The area of the $(d-1)$-dimensional sphere of radius 1 in the Euclidean space is given by the formula

    $$
    \begin{equation*}
    a_{d-1}=d \cdot \frac{\pi^{d / 2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right)} \tag{4.3.1}
    \end{equation*}
    $$

[^7]:    ${ }^{8}$ In the case $f=$ temperature of the water in the river the function $f(x, t)$ is obtained by measuring the temperature sitting on the beach while $f(\xi, t)$ can be measured from the boat drifting freely along the stream of the river.

[^8]:    ${ }^{9}$ It is easy to see that another choice of the integration constant changes $u \mapsto c u$ with a nonzero constant c. Such a change leaves invariant the logarithmic derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log u$.

